C		
5	UPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	IN AND FOR THI	E COUNTY OF MENDOCINO
THE PEOP	LE OF THE STATE (OF CALIFORNIA,
	Plaintiff	,
VS.		
PETER RI	CHARD KEEGAN,	
	Defendant	•
		/
		ENT - VOLUME V
	Pages	s 498 - 716
	=	's Transcript of
	<u>-</u>	dictment Proceedings ay, August 8, 2017.
		ne Ramirez, C.S.R. 6186.
APPEARAN	CES OF COUNSEL	
For t	he Plaintiff:	TIMOTHY O. STOEN
		Deputy District Attorney
		Mendocino County Courthouse Ukiah, California 95482

			499
1	WITNESS INDEX (Chronological)		
2	(CIII OIIO I OGICAI)		
3	VOLUME V	PAGE	
4			
5	PETER RICHARD KEEGAN		
6	Examination by Mr. Stoen	502	
7			
8	A. JAY CHAPMAN, M.D.		
9	Examination by Mr. Stoen	641	
10			
11	ANDREW ALVARADO		
12	Examination by Mr. Stoen	692	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

GRAND JURY EXHIBITS VOLUME V IDENTIFICATION RECEIVED Susan Keegan e-mails and resume from October/November A. Jay Chapman, M.D., Case Review received 3/31/15 Peter Keegan letter to AVA dated 2/11/11 Peter Keegan's submission of Susan Keegan pre-2002 poem and diary entries Peter Keegan's "Exculpatory" e-mail received 8/7/17 A. Jay Chapman's glossy photos 655 EXHIBITS MARKED FOR RECORD ONLY - NOT EVIDENCE Grand juror written questions asked of witnesses Grand juror written questions not asked of witnesses Peter Keegan's submission of e-mail not found admissible - page 505 2.1

```
(Proceedings held on Tuesday, August 8, 2017.)
 1
 2
 3
               MR. STOEN: Do you want to take the roll,
     Madam Foreperson, at your convenience.
 4
               JURY FOREPERSON: Yes.
 5
 6
                (Roll call taken; all jurors present.)
               MR. STOEN: Can you please declare everybody
 7
     is present, if they are.
 8
 9
               JURY FOREPERSON: Everybody is present.
               MR. STOEN: Okay. Our first witness will be
10
11
     Dr. Peter Keegan.
12
                THE REPORTER: Will you raise your right hand.
13
14
                        PETER RICHARD KEEGAN
15
     Called as a witness, having been sworn, testified as
16
     follows:
17
18
               MR. STOEN: Good morning, sir. Will that
19
     chair be comfortable enough for you?
20
                THE WITNESS: I'll give it a try.
2.1
               MR. STOEN: If it's a problem, let me know.
22
               THE WITNESS: I have extra cushions I brought.
23
                THE REPORTER: Will you please state your name
24
     and spell it.
25
                THE WITNESS: Peter Richard Keegan.
```

1 P-e-t-e-r, R-i-c-h-a-r-d, K-e-e-g-a-n.

MR. STOEN: Dr. Keegan, could you speak so that you can speak to the last row here or should we move the chair forward?

THE WITNESS: I can reach the last row.

JURY FOREPERSON: Grand Jury proceedings and investigations are secret. You are therefore admonished on behalf of the Mendocino County Superior Court and the criminal grand jury not to disclose your grand jury subpoena or your grand jury appearance to anyone and not to reveal to any person any questions asked or any responses given in the grand jury or any other matters concerning the nature or subject of the grand jury's investigation which you learned about by your grand jury subpoena or during your grand jury appearance, except to your own legal counsel. This admonition continues until such time as a transcript of the grand jury proceeding is made public or until disclosure is otherwise authorized by the Court or by operation of law. Violation of this admonition is punishable as contempt of court.

22

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

23

24

EXAMINATION

Q (BY MR. STOEN) Good morning, Dr. Keegan.

Dr. Keegan, you are appearing voluntarily in

- 1 this grand jury proceeding based on an invitation to
- 2 appear; is that correct?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And you are not appearing based on a subpoena; 5 is that correct?
- A Yes.

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- Q And you realize that the accusation that's being considered against you is second degree murder of Susan Keegan on November 10 or 11, 2010? Do you realize that that's the accusation that is being considered here at this proceeding?
- 12 A I know that now.
- Q Okay. And do you realize that what you say on the witness stand today may turn out not to be in your legal interest, but you are appearing on the witness stand anyway?
 - A Yes. My attorney has clearly advised me not to do this, but I want to.
 - Q Okay. Now, based on my invitation to submit exculpatory evidence, you have arranged to have submitted to me by e-mail 16 pages of documents that were not numbered in sequence; is that true?
 - A I don't know the number of pages that were sent to you.
- In addition to sending you documentation, I

- gave you lists or people who should be subpoenaed to
- 2 provide additional evidence about the situation, and I
- 3 specifically asked that exculpatory evidence include
- 4 Susan's e-mails, which I think go to her state of mind
- 5 before she died.
- 6 Q Okay. We'll go into some of that in a little
- 7 | bit, but before I proceed -- and I want to do this step
- 8 by step.
- 9 The documents that I referred to that you
- 10 | sent -- that I received by e-mail are in this set here,
- 11 | and I'd ask you to just page through them and tell me if
- 12 those are the documents you wanted to have me look at.
- 13 A Yes. I would like you to look at these
- 14 documents and I'd like for all the members of the grand
- 15 jury to look at these documents.
- 16 Q We'll get to that, too.
- Now, I would represent to you, by my own
- 18 | count, there's 15 pages here. There was a sixteenth
- document that you submitted which I have identified as
- 20 | number 44, which I am not going to admit because it
- 21 | doesn't meet the standards of the evidence code. So I'm
- 22 stating that on the record.
- We will go into these 15 pages that I showed
- 24 you, but not a certain e-mail that you wrote to Norm
- 25 Rosen on October 28th. That will not be admitted. And

- 1 | that's my decision, just so you know.
- 2 A Okay.
- 3 (Exhibit 44 was identified; not received.)

you so choose, as I ask you about them.

- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Now, I'm going to have you

 just hold onto these as I ask you things, and you can

 take them apart, maybe keep them within paper clips, if
 - A Yes.

- 9 Q They are marked as People's Exhibit 43. And
 10 for purposes of this hearing, Doctor, and if you wish me
 11 to change it, I'll be happy to, I'm characterizing these
 12 documents as diary entries, even though one is a poem.
 13 And I'm hereby showing them to you and asking you if
 14 these are documents you are asking the grand jury to
 15 consider.
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 (Exhibit 43 was identified.)
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Now, if you look at the first
 three exhibits which I've marked 43-A, 43-B, and 43-C at
 the bottom, is it true that they are three typewritten
 pages of an undated poem --
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q -- of someone appearing to talk to herself?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And did you reference this poem in an e-mail

- to Karyn Feiden as a poem from Susan that was written in 1
- 2001? Would that be fair to say? 2.
- I don't remember what date I said that it was 3 Α written by Susan.
- 5 Okay. I'm going to show you what's marked as 6 exhibit -- People's Exhibit Number 20, and in that is an e-mail which allegedly is from you, Doctor.
- So I'm going to show you a two-page e-mail, 8 9 which are pages 7 and 8 of that particular Exhibit 10 Number 20, ask you to take a look at it, and tell me if 11 this is an e-mail that you sent to Karyn Feigan (ph) on 12 the date in question.
 - Α Her name is Karyn Feiden.
- 14 Pardon me? 0

- 15 Α Her name is Karyn Feiden.
- 16 0 Did I -- okay, sorry.
- 17 Α Yes, this is an e-mail that I sent to Karyn 18 Feiden.
- 19 Now, in that e-mail do you reference the poem 20 that is People's Exhibit Number 43-A, B, and C in that 2.1 e-mail?
- 22 Α I do.
- 23 And did you state in that e-mail to Karyn 24 Feiden that the date of that poem is 2001?
- 25 Α Yes.

- 1 Q And is that your understanding to this date as
- 2 what the date of that exhibit is, 2001?
- A No. That was probably an estimation of when
 in her life this -- this would have been written, but
 there's no way I would know what particular date she
 wrote that.
- 7 Q So why would you put in 2001 as an estimated 8 date?
 - A Just to provide context to her.
- 10 Q Okay. Thank you, sir.

20

2.1

22

- 11 Could it have been written before 2001?
- 12 A Yes. And it could also have been written
 13 after 2001. I have --
- 14 Q But you have no -- I'm sorry, go ahead.
- A And I -- I want to just reference that in -
 in this poem, I call it a poem of self-loathing because

 it's --
- 18 Q Now, before we --
- 19 A Well, let me just finish the point.
 - She references being a -- a drug addict, and she became a drug addict in the two thousands. So I wouldn't say that she wrote this in the 1990s because she didn't have a drug addiction problem.
- Q Okay.
- 25 A So -- so sometime in the -- in the 2000s, and

- 1 it could have been after 2001.
- 2 Q Okay. Now, I'm going to go over each of these
- documents, Doctor. Then I'm going to ask you, do you
- 4 | want to read them into the record or do you want me to
- 5 submit them to the grand jury to consider in their
- 6 deliberations. What do you prefer?
- 7 A For each document -- could I make a decision
- 8 on each document whether I read it or --
- 9 Q You certainly may.
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. Now, we'll cut now to the next 43-D.
- 12 Do you see a document --
- 13 A Excuse me, I wanted to ask a question. So the
- document you just showed me that I reviewed, will the
- 15 grand jury review that document and see the information
- 16 | that's in that or do I need to say out loud the context?
- 17 Q No, you have that choice. I'm giving you that
- 18 choice.
- 19 A Okay.
- 20 Q If you prefer not to read it aloud, you don't
- 21 | have to. It will go into the grand jury as an official
- 22 exhibit for them to read, if you choose.
- 23 A Okay.
- 24 Q You have a choice.
- 25 A Okay. So -- so the document you just gave me

- 1 I would like to have entered into evidence because it
- 2 explains somewhat how I discovered the -- this -- the
- 3 information. And, with your permission, I'd like to
- 4 verbally say how it is I came across this information.
 - Q Well, we're going to get to that. Okay?
- 6 A All right.

- Q Right now -- you don't have to make a decision
 right now how you want to proceed on that. I'm telling
 you that these are going to be accepted as official
 pieces of evidence in this proceeding. Everyone --
- 11 everything in your hand is going to go into evidence and
- 12 has been marked as Exhibit 43.

Yes.

- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q Do you understand that?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Now, the next one, the fourth page
 there, it's a one-page handwritten of an apparent diary
 with a date at the top of December 30th, 1996; is that

19

20

correct?

Α

- Q And then the next exhibit is five handwritten
 pages of an apparent diary with a date at the top of
 December 31, 1996; is that correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And Exhibits 43-J through L, which are three

- 1 handwritten pages of an apparent diary, has a date at
- 2 the top of the first page of January 2, 1997; is that
- 3 correct?
- A Three pages, yes.
- 5 Q And then the next page is 43-M, which is a
- 6 one-page handwritten listing of names and relationships;
- 7 is that correct?
- A Yes.
- 9 Q And then the final two pages are two
- 10 typewritten undated pages of an apparent diary. Do you
- 11 see that?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q Do you have any idea when those two pages may
- 14 have been prepared or authored?
- 15 A No. The only clue is a reference to "taught
- 16 | my two classes, " and she was teaching at the Mendocino
- 17 | Community College in the 1990s and 2000s.
- 18 Q Okay. Now, Doctor, I'm going to show you an
- 19 e-mail that apparently you sent to Karyn Feiden on
- December 23. I want you to take a look at it and tell
- 21 me if you did send that e-mail to her on that date.
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Now, in that document it says -- Karyn Feiden
- 24 apparently asked you if she could read these e-mails and
- 25 these diary entries; is that correct?

- The preceding request was to look at these diaries; is that correct?
- 3 A I don't know if that was the previous request.
- Q Okay. Well, what I'd like you to do is just read the first sentence into the record and tell me if that in fact was what your thinking was at the time.
 - A First sentence says, "Too late."
- Q Then the next sentence, please.
- 9 A "Already had the cleansing burning that Linda
 10 and I agreed was needed."
- 11 Q And is there a date in there that you reference?
 - A The date on the e-mail is December 23rd, 2010.
 - Q Okay. Now, in that first -- the second sentence there, could you just read the -- did you -- let me read it and see.
 - Did you say, "I saved only the complete entry from April 1978, a few choice individual pages, and the three-page poem of self-loathing"? Is that a statement you made to Karyn Feiden on that date?
 - A That's what I wrote in her e-mail, yes.
- 22 Q Now, is that a true statement?
- 23 A No.
- Q Why would you not make a true statement to
- 25 her?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- A I didn't want to reveal the diaries to her, I
 wanted to keep them private, and so I told her that I
 burned them.
 - Q Okay. And why would you put in a date of April 1978? Which document in that set that I showed you was prepared in 1978?
 - A None. None of those documents.

1.5

2.1

2.2

- Q Then why would you reference 1978?
- A There was a -- a diary journal where Susan -- in April of 1978, which was two months after we were married, where she was referencing Karen. And there were several Karens in her life, so I had asked Karyn Feiden if that was a period of time when she was in San Francisco.
- Susan and Karyn had a relationship throughout their lives, extensively when they were children, but there was a period of several months when Karyn worked in San Francisco when Susan and I lived there, and they bonded during that period of time. So I was asking if she was in San Francisco in April of 1978 to see if she could be the Karen that Susan was referring to, because there were other Karens in Susan's life.
- Q Maybe I didn't make my question clear. I'm trying to understand why you say -- you said the following: "I saved the" -- "I saved only the complete

- 1 entry from April 1978."
- 2 Is that true that you only saved one complete
- 3 entry, and that was from 1978?
- Did you submit in these documents, these 16
- 6 pages, that particular entry from April 1978?

No, that is not true.

7 A No.

Α

- 8 I would -- I would -- I would like to add that
- 9 those diaries were completely reviewed by
- 10 representatives from the district attorney's office
- 11 at -- at my lawyer's, and I was told that nothing in
- 12 those diaries was relevant because they were too dated.
- 13 So you have or your associates have reviewed those
- 14 diaries and they're still sitting at my home.
- Q And isn't it fair to say that none of the
- 16 diaries that the district attorney's representatives
- 17 | were allowed to see were more recent than 2001?
- 18 A I -- yes, I think that's correct.
- 19 Q Okay. Thank you, sir.
- Now, who do you attribute the author of these
- 21 | 15 pages in Exhibit 43 to be?
- 22 A Susan Keegan.
- 23 Q And who is -- and who is Susan Keegan?
- 24 A Susan Keegan is my first wife, who I was
- 25 married to for 34 years.

- 1 The documents, the handwritten documents,
- 2 those are clearly Susan's handwriting, and people can --
- 3 | who know Susan can recognize that those are written by
- 4 Susan because they're hand -- handwritten documents.
- 5 Q Now, did Susan Keegan ever tell you about
- 6 | these diary entries, these 15 pages?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Do these diary entries appear to you to be
- 9 entries that Susan Keegan intended to keep secret to
- 10 herself?
- 11 A Yes and no. And may I explain that answer?
- 12 Q Pardon me?
- 13 A I said yes and no.
- 14 Q Okay.
- 15 A May I explain that answer?
- 16 Q Did she ever discuss them with you?
- 17 A No.
- I asked you a question. May I explain why I'm
- 19 | saying that?
- 20 Q Yes, you certainly may.
- 21 A Okay. So in her diaries she at one point
- 22 says, "I hope this is read by future people, future
- 23 generations."
- Q Do you recall where that diary entry is?
- 25 A It's in the two -- two volumes that are at my

1 home.

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- 2 Q Are those still in existence?
- 3 A Yes.
- Q Are they in your possession?
- 5 A Yes. And they've been reviewed by your -- by 6 your staff.
- 7 Q Okay.
- A I want to say "no" because these documents

 were well hidden.
 - And I'm going to explain a slightly complicated story that's written down in -- in -- in the evidence the grand jury can review, but I'd like to say it verbally because it's a bit convoluted and I want to make it clear.
- O Okay. Please proceed.
- 16 A Thank you.
 - So after Susan died, I received notification from the social security department that I was entitled to a death benefit and I needed to go to the social security department. This was in the early part of December, about a month after Susan had died. So I went to the social security department and I was told, oh, to get the death benefit of \$255 you have to produce your marriage certificate. So -- which is not true as it turns out. That information is absolutely incorrect.

So I went home to our file cabinets where I thought the marriage certificate would be -- would be found, and I proceeded to go through the file cabinets.

And I never found a death certificate (sic), but there

5 was one drawer that was clearly full of Susan's stuff.

1.5

2.1

2.2

Susan was a teacher at the Mendocino Community
College and taught several classes. And in one file
cabinet there was a large section devoted to her
curriculum for introduction to Shakespeare and there was
another large section of documents she used teaching
business accounting and then another large section
relating to introduction to English, which she also
taught. So while I'm going through my file cabinets,
I'm throwing things out. These cabinets haven't been
looked at in -- in years, and I'm looking for the -- the
marriage certificate.

I get to the very end of this cabinet full of Susan's stuff and there's a thin file that's marked "Susan". And when I pulled that out, that's where this poem of self-loathing was found and other information that was absolutely astounding to me because it -- it revealed a side of Susan that I had zero awareness of.

I had -- I knew she was depressed and I knew she had drug -- drug problems, but I had no -- no understanding of the extent of what was going on inside -- inside of

her head.

2.

1.5

2.1

Susan was a writer. She wrote for the Grapevine when it was around here. She wrote stories, a large part of a novel. Susan kind of prized herself on writing and kept records of what her thought processes and experiences were through -- through diary processes.

So when I found this section that included stuff that was clearly written in her hand discussing, you know, "I want to die," that startled me and kind of made me angry that she had never shared, in 34 years of the relationship, the extent of her depression. She would say to me, "Nobody can help me." When I would say and her doctor would say, "Wouldn't you like to work with a counselor to try and get some help with your depression," her standard answer was, "Nobody can help me."

And when I read her -- her secret file -- which I call secret because -- it was hidden in the open, but it was at the back of a drawer that I would never in a million years look through because it was all her academic stuff.

Q Do you believe that Susan would have wanted you personally to review those secret diaries?

A No, I think that particular file was -- was hidden, I would say, in plain sight, but I would say

1 that it was hidden.

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.1

- Q Well, do you think, based on your knowledge of Susan, that she would have wanted you to someday read those particular diaries?
 - A I don't know why she was saving them.
 - Q Okay. But she never gave you permission to go through her file marked "Susan," did she, or any -- any private files of hers?
 - A I didn't find them until after she was dead.
 - Q But once you found those, did you conduct a -- did you go on a search for further diaries?
 - A Yes. I was advised by my counselor at the time not to throw out, give away, offer for resale anything of Susan's without looking carefully through all the pockets for whatever she might have hidden.
 - Q Now, isn't it true that you told Karyn Feiden in one of the e-mails that you had done a cleansing burning; is that correct?
 - A That's talking about the -- the diaries.
- 20 O Yes.
 - A Yes, and that's not true.
- And I'd like to clarify, Karyn Feiden is a

 cousin of Susan's who made it clear from the very start

 that she was going to prove that I murdered Susan. And

 she has, for more than six years, kept up a website,

- 1 | written letters to the Anderson Valley Advertiser and
- 2 persistently, you know, pursued me with lots of
- 3 misinformation and absolute untruths. And she said to
- 4 | me, "Everything I'm saying to you is confidential,"
- 5 which I knew was bullshit, and I had no problem
- 6 responding to her with stuff that was misleading.
- 7 Q Okay. So you were intentionally misleading to
- 8 Karyn Feiden?
- 9 A Some of the time, yes.
- 10 Q Okay. Now, if Susan Keegan had not died,
- 11 | would there, in your opinion, be any reason that
- 12 anybody, besides Susan Keegan, would ever be looking at
- 13 those diaries?
- 14 A I don't know.
- Okay. Now, who specifically have you
- 16 distributed Susan's diaries to?
- 17 A Nobody.
- 18 Q You haven't given any pages of any of those
- 19 diaries to anybody?
- 20 A I -- I -- I gave pages -- I gave -- so after
- 21 | Susan died, it was not apparent to me that an
- 22 investigation was happening about her death until
- 23 several months later when the district -- when the
- 24 | coroner's office would not release a death certificate.
- I was entitled to have a death certificate, I

- was told, at one month, and then I was told no, it's two
 months, and then I was told by Officer Scott Poma, "Oh,
- 3 the doctor who needs to sign it is in the hospital," the
- 4 doctor who needs to sign it.
- 5 So, you know, I never got a death certificate.
- 6 And then so slowly I'm realizing, oh, this is a -- a
- 7 | problem. My -- my -- so -- so in the middle of
- 8 February, Officer Poma calls me and says he wants to
- 9 | meet with me. So I went down and met with him. And
- 10 | that was really the first time it became apparent that,
- oh, I am a suspect in the -- in the murder of Susan --
- 12 | Susan Keegan. They are -- are out to get me.
- 13 At that point I consulted an -- an attorney,
- 14 | Keith Faulder, who became a judge. I have a different
- 15 attorney, Chris Andrian, now. Keith Faulder said to me,
- 16 | "The police are going to come and search your house. If
- 17 | there's anything really relevant to this case that you
- 18 | want protected, give it to me."
- 19 So the diaries and other documents that I
- 20 thought had relevance to Susan's state of mind I put in
- 21 | a package and I gave to him. I don't think he read
- 22 | them, but he had them. He could have read them. He
- passed those diaries to Chris Andrian. I don't believe
- 24 | that Chris Andrian -- I hope Chris Andrian, at \$450 an
- 25 hour, did not spend his time reading Susan's diaries,

1 but -- but -- so -- but he -- he could have.

Those diaries were sitting on a cabinet -were sitting in my bedroom. They were not hidden away.

I do know that one son, Luke, said to me that he had
read his mom's diaries; that he had been in my room, he
saw them, that -- that -- that he read them. That's the
only person, Luke, that I know of that read those
diaries. My --

O But --

2.

1.5

2.1

2.2

A I'm going to finish.

My hope is that when this is all cleared up, the person who does want the diaries is Susan's sister Linda. The whole reason to say that the diaries were burned was not to trick Karyn, it was to appease Susan's sister because Susan's sister wanted to read her sister's thoughts about her. And I couldn't say I have the — the diaries, but I'm not giving them to you. So when this is all over, Linda Puls, Susan's sister, will get the diaries.

- Q Okay. My question was: Have you provided to anybody any of these diaries of Susan's?
- A Not that I know of besides --
- Q Did you send one -- did you drop some pages off to Oni LaGioia at some point when you gave her a note from a newspaper?

- Okay, yes. So Oni LaGioia is another person 1 Α who is vigorously pursuing me and basically convicting 2 3 me in the community court because she knows my attorneys have advised me to say nothing and do nothing. And at 5 one point in my anger against the stuff that they were 6 doing to me, I sent -- I gave to Oni and Mary Pierce, I 7 forget who else, an angry letter that included some of the -- the pages where Susan says, you know, I wake up 9 every morning, you know, wishing someone had stabbed me 10 in my heart.
 - Q Without any date on those at all?
- 12 A No, those are definitely dated.
- 13 Q The ones from the 1990s?
- 14 A They're 1996.
 - Q Okay. I mean we're talking about 13 years before Susan died; right?
- 17 A Yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah.
- 18 Q Okay.

15

16

25

A Right. And -- and she stopped writing diaries
that I know of, but she continued to -- to write on the
computer, which is why I really hope that people will
understand you must access Susan Keegan's e-mails -her -- her e-mail address is susankeeg, k-e-e-g,
gmail -- because that's where she spent all her time

writing in -- in later years. She wasn't handwriting.

1 She switched over to computers.

2.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

e-mails will show her state of mind. And I -- I -- I -- it would not surprise me if the theme of suicide, which started in 1978 diaries, were still evident in nineteen -- dated 1996 diaries, which are very evident in her poem of self-loathing that was sometime in the year of 2000.

So I'm speculating that a review of her e-mails will give you insight into her state of mind at the time of the death, which is the point you're getting at. You need fresh information. That's where you'll find it.

- Q But you're talking about computer entries of her diaries.
- A I'm talking about e-mail entries --
- 17 Q Okay.
 - A -- where she writes to friends and communicates what's going on in her life, as well as in diary entries.
 - Q Now after Susan's death, you had total possession of all of the computers in your house until the DAs came to search them in June of 2011; is that not true?
- 25 A Yes.

- 2 So you had already discovered some of what you
- consider "dark diaries" before that time; right?
- A Yes. I discovered dark diaries in the middle

 of December when the social security department put me

 on a wild goose chase looking for a marriage
- 6 certificate.

9

10

11

12

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q So from the middle of December until the DA came to search for the computers in June, you had access to those computers that Susan had access to; correct?
 - A Yes. They were our computers.
- Q Right. And you had access to them during those months; right?
- 13 A Yes.
 - Q And have you to this day accessed any particular diary after 2001 to present to this grand jury from those computers that you had access to?
 - A No, I did not have access to her e-mail. So Susan wrote many things, but she was a very private person and made it clear that as her husband I was not entitled to see what she was working on. If I walked into a room and she was on her computer, she would, you know, put the computer down and made it clear that she was entitled to privacy in -- in her life.
 - So -- and I have no access to her -- her e-mail. And she was a -- a very good communicator in

- 1 the sense that she liked to use words. And I -- I
- 2 bet -- I bet you would find at least a half dozen people
- 3 that Susan had a vigorous correspondence with over the
- 4 | years that would tell you what she was -- if -- if not
- 5 accurately thinking, the image she was trying to
- 6 portray.
- 7 I'm a little bit afraid if you look at e-mails
- 8 | you might see her telling untruthful things about me.
- 9 I'm a very private person. When we're getting divorced
- 10 I'm not going around talking to people about it. Susan
- 11 | basically communicates, "I'm a woman, this is" -- "this
- 12 is woman jail."
- And so when I say, "Gosh," you know, "who have
- 14 | you talked to, " she was literally able to list ten
- 15 people in the community that she had already told what
- 16 was -- what was going on to.
- 17 Q Now, you --
- 18 A Seems like a pretty normal thing from a
- 19 | woman -- woman's point of view, I get that. From my --
- 20 | my point of view, it's like, oh, okay, I guess I better,
- 21 | you know, reach out to our friends and -- and give
- 22 | them -- and offer a point of view.
- 23 Q Now, before Susan's death, isn't it true that
- 24 | you did discuss with some feeling your -- your divorcing
- 25 Susan?

- Isn't it true that you contacted Mary Pierce,

 for example, in late October and told her on two

 occasions that you were divorcing Susan and you put all

 the blame on her? Isn't that true?
 - A I -- I recall meeting Mary Pierce; I have no recall of the contents of the conversation.
 - Q Okay. And isn't it true that you also told
 Oni, before Susan's death, that you -- that Susan had a
 drug problem and that's the reason you were divorcing?
 - So you were talking about divorcing to other people before Susan's death, were you not?
- 12 A Yes. Yeah, yeah.
 - Q All right.

2.1

- A So before Susan died and before we were divorced, I did start to talk to people after it was apparent that Susan was talking -- talking to other people.
- And -- and I want to be very clear that both

 Susan -- no, I'm sorry -- both Mary Pierce, her husband

 Will Brady -- Baty, Will Baty, and Oni LaGioia knew

 about Susan's serious drug and alcohol problem because

 they were people who obtained drugs and gave them to -
 to Susan. So Susan got drugs by having me write

 prescriptions to her friends. And then in Oni's case,

 she fully reimbursed Oni for the drugs that she

purchased at -- at Walmart. And in Will Baty's case he paid for them, but they split the pills.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And I'd just like to clarify, we're talking about controlled substances: Vicoprophen, which is an ibuprofen/hydrocodone combination; hydrocodone is an There is a registry that the Department of Justice keeps that lists all drug transactions. If you went back in time, you would see Will Baty getting prescriptions from me and he was not a patient. would see Oni LaGioia getting prescriptions from me long after I closed my practice. You would see many, many prescriptions written to Susan Ettinger, which is my wife's maiden name, and she was very clear that -- you know, I'm saying I cannot write prescription to Susan Keegan, sign it Peter Keegan, that will definitely be, you know, reported and get me in trouble. And her answer was: "Well, my driver's license says Susan Ettinger Keegan, so I have identification that I can Write it to me in my prescription (sic) name."

I did that many times. If you look up the registry, which again is actually accessible through the Department of Justice -- and you, as grand jurors, are allowed to ask questions and do investigations, I understand -- you'll see Susan Ettinger getting multiple prescriptions for vicoprofen, which was her preferred

1 drug.

2.1

- 2 Q So basically what you're saying is there was
 3 some deception going on based on prescriptions that you
 4 wrote; correct?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Thank you.

Now, do you think it's fair to attribute a person's state of mind based on diary entries and poems written nine years before a person dies when there's no further evidence of dark diary entries in the succeeding nine years?

A Well, yes, I think it establishes a pattern when it lists -- when it continues over a decade. When you understand the issues that my wife was depressed about, definitely -- definitely a yes.

She was -- her birth mother, Jeanne Russo, put her up for adoption because the birth mother was busily involved smuggling stuff from France into Algeria during the Algerian Revolution in the 1950s. So Jeanne thought this was very important, you know, freedom work that she was doing. Susan was angry about that to her dying -- dying day.

- Q Now, let me --
- A Susan -- no, I want -- I want to finish this here.

So I'm just telling you how her life started out. You know, she was abandoned. She was put into, you know, you know, an orphanage, and it's -- it's clear from her -- her memories that it was a very unpleasant experience. She then was adopted by a family, Stan and Nancy. Deeply disturbed people. Her mom, Nancy, died from a polydrug overdose. I've seen -- I've seen the birth -- the death certificate. Her mom died from a drug -- a drug overdose. She was very convincing to doctors and got drugs easily. Her father was a raging alcoholic. Her sister, who grew up in an abusive family, managed to become an RN, but also fell into drug problems, was in and out of rehab several times, lost her -- her license because she got caught -- you know, caught stealing drugs.

2.1

Growing up in a household with Stan and Nancy, two people who -- that would be fair to say extreme narcissists, that would screw up anybody. And Susan -- I -- I loved her. I loved her dearly. She was the smartest person I knew without a doubt. I mean smarter than anybody. Beautiful. I was -- I was lucky to have her. I'm a nerdy guy. I grew up as a nerdy guy, you know. That's just, you know, who I was. To get a beautiful, smart woman, that was -- that was an amazing catch for me.

- But I think her life-long history for 55
- 2 | years, these things are -- are bugging you. Or let's
- 3 say you have documentation that they're bugging you for
- 4 45 years and then, you know, can you speculate among the
- 5 last ten years? That's why I would say look at her
- 6 e-mails. She'll -- she'll -- you'll be able -- you'll
- 7 be able to tell.
- 8 Q I guess my point is people's moods can
- 9 drastically change, can they not? You're a doctor;
- 10 | isn't that true?
- 11 A Yes, they can get better or they can get much
- 12 | worse. And with drug addiction problems, believe me,
- 13 they get much worse, and you know that.
- 14 Q You were aware that during the two weeks
- 15 before Susan's death she was acting in the play Hamlet
- 16 as the queen; right?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And she was excited about being in that play,
- 19 | wasn't she?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And you attended three performances, didn't
- 22 you?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q And she even had a cast party at your house a
- couple days before her death; right?

- 1 A Right.
- 2 Q And you were present there?
- 3 A No, I wasn't.
- Q Okay. Why were you not present?
- A Uh, I didn't know her friends. We were not really on a friendly basis. I believe the divorce proceeding stuff had already started. I didn't want to interfere with her party.
 - Q Okay.

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- 10 A She was celebrating a good time. The cast

 11 party, you know, the celebration of the -- of the

 12 players after -- after the play was happening at my

 13 house. I didn't know the people and I didn't want to

 14 drag her down. I wanted her to have a good -- good time

 15 at the party and not look at me and be angry that this

 16 is the guy who's divorcing her.
 - Q Was Susan sad when you told her you wanted a divorce?
 - A Well, that was interesting to me, because the first time I told her I wanted a divorce she really didn't respond except with a verbal gesture like "so what."
- 23 Q So you're shrugging your shoulders.
- 24 A I'm shrugging my shoulders, right.
- 25 Q Okay.

1 The second time was at a marriage counselor, Α 2. Maria Bates. We were meeting --3 Q Okay. I'm -- I'm -- so --5 0 Wait a second, sir. I am in charge here. 6 Okay? 7 Α Yes. 0 Don't forget it. 9 I'm not forgetting it. Α 10 So the answer is --11 Q Wait a second. 12 That was a psychotherapist/patient 13 relationship with Maria Bates, was it not? 14 Α It was marriage counseling. 1.5 Pardon me? 0 16 It was marriage counseling. 17 It was marriage counseling by a licensed 18 counselor by the state; right? 19 Α Yes. 20 Okay. Are you aware, as a physician, that 2.1 there are certain privileges in the law that apply to 22 physicians, as well as to marriage counselors, whereby

statements made in that therapeutic setting cannot be

revealed? Are you aware of that as a medical doctor?

A No, I'm not aware of that.

23

1 Q Okay.

2.

1.5

2.1

- A I -- I assumed I could say to you what my experiences were with my therapist, that I could communicate what my experiences were when I met with therapists and Susan was present and participating.
 - Q You can talk about what you said because you can claim -- you can waive the privilege, but you cannot claim for the therapist and you cannot claim for Susan.

 Okay? So whatever Susan says is privileged.
 - A Well, then when you asked me, "What was your wife's reaction when you told her that you were divorced" and that process happened in a counseling session the second time, am I supposed to not answer your question?
 - Q Yes, you are not supposed to answer that question.
 - Were there other times, besides the first time, that she indicated sadness that you were divorcing her, aside from this therapeutic session?
 - A Yes. There was another time that she expressed sadness, but it occurred in the office of Norm Rosen, who is an attorney. And I don't know if that is privileged conversation, and I can't really communicate that because I'm not -- I'm not familiar with the law.
- Q Okay.

- 1 A So there were times that she expressed
- 2 sadness; there were times that she expressed gladness.
- 3 Q So you were married to her for 32 years, and 4 you chose --
 - A I was married to her for 34 years.
 - Q And you chose to divorce her; correct?
- 7 A Yes.

6

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q That was your choice, sir?
- 9 A That was my choice.
- 10 Q Thank you.
- 11 A Because my wife had a drug and alcohol problem

 12 that she specifically refused to -- to deal with. Her

 13 comment was, "I like the way hydrocodone makes me feel

 14 and I'm going to continue to use it."
 - Q Let me ask you this -- well, first of all, on these -- these 15 pages in Exhibit 43, have you made a decision whether you want to read them to us all or whether you want them to be submitted in writing for the grand jury to review during their deliberations? What is your decision?
 - A I'd like to -- to read -- read them.
 - Q You want to read them all?
- 23 A Selectively in the sense that there are some
 24 parts that don't need to be read that are kind of
 25 somewhat irrelevant.

Okay. Then go ahead and read the parts that 1 Q you think -- but before you do, recognize that this is 2. an exhibit. It's called Exhibit 43. It's going to be 3 an official exhibit in this proceeding. At the bottom 5 of the page on the right you will see A, B, C, D, 6 etcetera. So I'd like you, before you start reading, to 7 indicate which page number of Exhibit 43 you are reading from. 8 9 Yes. This is Exhibit 43-A. 10 Please proceed. Q. 11 Α Okay. 12 "Stupid. Need to die. Asshole. Cunt bitch whore. Going to die. Want to die. 13 14 Useless. 1.5 "Fucked up. Jerk. Fool. 16 "Bad dresser" -- pardon me, excuse me --"bad writer. Ill-dressed. Hunched. 17 18 Marijuana addict. Drug addict. Need to DOP 19 communication at AODP workshop. 20 "Didn't have to talk. Fine. 2.1 Worried that I didn't do good enough. Should 2.2 have remembered communication. Tired of 23 feeling sorry for myself about my childhood. 24 Feel sorry for myself about my childhood.

Feel cast off. Unwanted. Picked up late.

"Should have killed myself. Susan Susan 1 should have killed herself. A double person. 2. Susan should kill herself. Susan is bad. I'm 3 okay, but Susan hates me. "She keeps telling me to die. She won't 5 6 shut up. "Easily distracted. Susan loves facts 7 and doing thinking stuff. I'm scared of logs 9 and high stuff. Can't find things. She can 10 do anything. I wouldn't walk till I was 18 months old. I can't. I can't dance." 11 12 I'm switching now to the page marked --Before you go on, let me ask some questions 13 14 about that. 1.5 No, I'd like to finish reading. Α 16 Wait a minute, sir. You are not in charge here. Do you got that straight? 17 18 Α You asked me to read the document. 19 I know, and I'm interrupting you. 20 Α And you're interrupting me -- you're 2.1 interrupting me before I'm finished reading the 22 document. Can you reserve your question until I'm 23 finished reading the document? I'm sure you're smart 24 enough to remember the question.

Q I will -- I will -- to accommodate you, yes, I

1 will.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

2 A Thank you. So this is page 43-B.

"I can't sing. I can almost write because writing is thinking, but Susan does most of that so I won't let her do it. I made her stay home today. I don't feel too good. My stomach hurts and I might have a headache. Who is it that won't let me be strong, strong. I am strong, I just won't do stuff that makes" -- "that's what makes me strong. I can eat good and roll around and feel the sun and see stuff all without her. Why do you hate her so much? She takes care of you. She's mean. She hates me and calls me names and tells me to die all the time. She never stops. She's always been telling me that die, die. I'm too strong to die, so I won't, but she never stops saying it.

"Is it really Susan who says die or does she just sound that same now? It's the mother that says die. That's why I had to strangle her that one time in the dream. You were there and you just watched. You didn't help me. You walked away. I guess that means you didn't stop me. Linda's forgiven me, why

25

won't you? I did it for you, cause I could, cause I'm smart, and being smart is fun. You used to like it with me. You stopped letting me come when you started liking boys, Susan. And when you got breasts and your period. stopped letting me come along. And I got mad. I'm your fool. And I can pee on stuff if I want. Who is your mother? Jeanne, for leaving me cast away, alone in the world without any hope or anchor, casting me adrift, like Moses I was sent into Egypt. Also, Nancy and Stan, for raising me without generosity or self-esteem, although maybe they tried to give me both and I'm complaining that they failed. They didn't touch me. Dad always hurt when he touched because he couldn't be gentle, pulled your hair with a hairbrush, your teeth with a toothbrush. Mom never touched, just pat pat, no hugs and cuddles, too dirty and big and rough she said I was. But I could eat good. Aunt Nini liked that I could eat. Smart as a button she said. I tell my -- my stories like the ones I the books (sic). I sing to myself, but I pee and get wet and sing and they don't come from me and I'm peeing. When they come

the diaper pin scrapes me on my side and it smells like ammonia, bad, but I like it but they don't."

I'm moving now to 43-C.

2.

1.5

2.1

"It's dad and I got itchy and hot and sore all over my skin.

"It wasn't any good, it wasn't any good.

Everyone hated me. I can't do it and I'm

Susan and I can't do it. And she's off

smuggling newspapers in France when I could

have been four years old, and why do I feel

mad at her when she tells me about that cause

I don't know anything about her, and cause she

was willing to risk her life for some stupid

newspapers but not for me, cause I was too

scary and big.

"But itchy or not, it wasn't such a bad childhood that you have to complain about it. Food, clothes, entertainment, shelter, vacations, education, talking, reading books, listening to music, reading books, having grandma and Aunt Nini and Oddie.

"I hate myself, I hate myself, I hate myself, I hate myself, I can't be. I don't know. Nobody loves me. Everybody hates me.

- 2 That's the end.

1.5

2.1

- Q Do you feel any sympathy for your former wife reading that?
- A Absolutely. I had no clue of the severity of Susan's depression. She never said any of that out loud to me. It was apparent to our entire family that she was -- she was depressed, she took antidepressant medicines, but she never gave a single clue of having that level of dislike or -- for her -- for her life. So I'm very sad to hear that.
 - And I'll tell you -- I'll tell you something else, when I read this, when I came upon her file, I was sad, but I was also angry. Who has a partner that keeps that stuff secret? Who doesn't say I -- I love you, I know you love me, can't -- can't we try to work this out.
 - Q So you're blaming her?
- A No, no, no, no. I'm not -- I'm not blaming -- blaming her. I know she had a very fucked-up life and it's not her fault that -- that she -- she ended up this way. I am angry that she chose not to deal with her drug and alcohol problem. And, in fact, even as it got worse and she wanted me to participate more and more, you know, I knew that I had to just say no.

- Nobody says "no" to Susan. Susan does what 1 she wants. She does not follow anyone else's 2 3 instructions.
- And I'm sad that she died and I -- and I loved her very much, but I read something like that and I felt like she cheated me by never sharing her true self 7 through 34 years of marriage. She never once said, "I'm" -- "I'm this kind of depressed." Because then I would have put my foot down and said, "You really must be seeing somebody for" -- "for assistance."
 - She always said, "Nobody can help me. I'm not going to see a counselor. Nobody can help me." And it's like nobody makes Susan do anything. It's just like it does not happen.
 - Now, a couple things: First of all, didn't it seem to you that Susan, when she was writing those things, was portraying herself with an alter ego?
 - Yes, I think there is another personality --Α
- 19 Q Okay.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 20 Α -- that she's talking about there.
- 2.1 Now, isn't it sometimes deemed reasonable Q 22 therapy for somebody to cast -- to talk to themselves as 23 an alter ego so that they can cleanse themselves of any problems that they have? Isn't that true? 24
- Yes. 25 Α

- Q Okay. Now, you didn't discover these diaries
 until after Susan passed away; is that correct?
- A When you say "these diaries," you're referring to many different -- many different documents.
- 5 Q These what you call "dark diaries," when did 6 you discover them?
- 7 A Middle of December two thousand -- middle of 8 December 2010.
- 9 Q Okay. One month after Susan passed away; 10 right?
- 11 A Approximately, yes.
- Q So these diaries made you angry at Susan;
 13 right?
- 14 A No, they made me angry and they made me sorry
 15 that she didn't ask for help, that she couldn't figure
 16 out that it's really okay to say to someone "please help
 17 me."
- 18 Q Now, isn't it true that after Susan passed
 19 away you did not show any sorrow or remorse?
- 20 A No, that is not true.
- Q Isn't it true that at the memorial service you didn't even sit with Susan's family?
- 23 A That is not true.
- Q Isn't it true that you didn't even say
 anything that was eulogistic about your former wife of

1 32 years or more?

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

A I did not speak at the Methodist ceremony, but
there were several people who spoke in a very loving
way, but I didn't.

And I want to be clear with you about sitting with people. The Methodist Church, it has rows that go all the way back. The first three rows were for family, in the sense that there were family on both sides of the row. I was sitting on one side; obviously there were family members sitting on the other side.

My recollection is poor, but I know her cousin, Karyn Feiden, was sitting with me. I think her father was sitting with me. In fact, I was mostly sitting with her family and it was my family that was on the other side of the first -- first three rows I would say. That's my -- that's my recollection.

- Q In addition -- first of all, do you want to read anything more in these 15 pages or not?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Please proceed.
- 21 A 12/30/96, this is document 43-D.
- "I wake up each morning wishing someone
 would stick in my chest" -- "stick a knife in
 my chest and get it over with."
- 25 | 12/31/96, "Can't imagine much worry about

- that, though. Rather worry about depression setting in like a heavy fog. That's been my experience."
 - The whole pages are here. You can read -- read the whole pages. I'm just kind of selecting out stuff.
 - Q Didn't you regard it as an act of love that she didn't want to burden you with those feelings?
 - A No, I do not consider that an act of love not sharing what's really going on with you emotionally.
- 11 Q So you --

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- 12 A I think it's an act of -- of --
- Q So there was nothing about you that was making her, in your opinion, feel uncomfortable disclosing things to you?
 - A Are you saying I'm the reason that she was uncomfortable?
 - Q I'm asking you if that might be the case, yes.
 - A Well, I'm not going to answer that question in -- in the sense that I don't think she felt comfortable discussing it with anybody.
 - Q Okay.
 - A So when she says, "I won't see a therapist," and, again, I would say look at her e-mails, did she discuss any of this with her associates? She had

- 1 several close women friends, and they might have been
- 2 emotionally closer to her than me. So if she wasn't
- 3 | comfortable telling me or a professional therapist, then
- 4 maybe she was comfortable telling her friends. So,
- 5 again, I'm requesting that her e-mails be considered
- 6 exculpatory evidence.
- 7 Q Now, in addition to these 16 pages that you
- 8 submitted, those 15 in 43 and then --
- 9 A I'm not finished reading them.
- 10 Q Okay. Please proceed.
- 11 A Okay. As you said, there are like 15 pages
- 12 here.
- 13 43-F, "I will work it out somehow. All
- life feels empty. Time's wasting. Place
- 15 holding. Why? Cat loves me. Peter loves me.
- Simon seems to have me right now."
- 17 | Q Would you please repeat what she said about
- 18 you?
- 19 A "Peter loves me."
- 20 Q Thank you.
- 21 A It continues on to page 43-G. "Peter loves
- 22 me. Simon seems to have me right now."
- I take it back. It doesn't continue on to
- 24 page 43-G.
- Q What's the date on that again, please?

- 1 A There is no date on that page.
- Q Okay. Do you -- are you able to surmise what the date would be from the other pages?
- A Well, I can't surmise the specific date.
- 5 These are photocopies. She wrote in different ways.
- All of this is actually written on purple

 paper, so I'm going to say all of these notes are within

 the time span of one pad of purple paper because this
- 10 Q But basically some of the documents of which
 11 that is a part were '96/'97; right?
- 12 A Yes, yes. Yeah, these are all 1996/'97.
- 13 Q Thank you.

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

is --

- 14 Please proceed.
- 15 A All right.
 - "All the anti-affirmations are death oriented: I have to kill myself; I have to die, the bad stuff starts with anybody, everybody, nobody, somebody or Susan, I, or I want. These are the clues. I do tell myself some good stuff."
- "These negative affirmations of mine
 list: I have to die; nobody loves me; I am an
 asshole, jerk, cunt, etcetera; I want to die;
 please kill me."

2.

"Dreary dreary, that's me and day. No

fun in my life. I seem not to be able to find
joy anymore, if I ever could. Where does it

hide? I complain that my friends are too busy
to play, but I don't want to play with Kris

because she's always sick. Mary feels more
and more a stranger and is that my fault?

Often feels so. They moved on. I haven't.

True or not, that's my claim. Leaves lots of
room for self-hatred."

"I wake up" -- this is -- this is dated January 2nd, 1997: "I wake up each morning wishing for that knife through the ribs.

First thing. What's that about?"

"Thinking about Barry Vogel."

And I want to clear up, this is not Barry

Vogel who's an attorney of repute in Ukiah, but Barry

Vogel who is a -- a high school teacher that Susan had

an affair with. It was her first sexual encounter. It

broke up the Vogel family. And this is -- this is -
I'm just going to read this. I'm not even sure what it

says, but I'm going to just read it.

Q Do you feel comfortable talking about something like that, about a person that's not present here?

A I feel comfortable talking about anything when I know that the consequence is going to be that I'm -- I'm going to be indicted for -- for murder.

2.

1.5

2.1

And really that -- I want to be clear, that's of little consequence -- little consequence -- little consequence, which is hard to say being indicted for murder. But I'm dying of cancer. I have metastatic bladder cancer. I have two doctors, including one of the highest trained UCS oncologic specialists, who says you're dead in -- in six months. I've -- I've looked at my scan. Because I'm a doctor, they'll put the scan up. I'll tell you my reaction when I look at my scan, "Wow, he's a goner." "He" is me. I look at the scan and see all the places that the cancer is. I'm -- I'm a goner. I'm not going to be here in six months.

So the notion of being indicted or not indicted is really of -- of little -- little consequence to me. I don't think there's going to be a trial. I'll wager that I'm going to be dead before the -- the trial.

The tumor, which started in my bladder, has completely obstructed the lymphatic flow. If you looked at my leg, you would see a leg that was twice normal size and stretched out the skin. I have cancer growing throughout my bladder. The lymph nodes, which are the places where the cancers go, they all have the cancer;

1 up my abdomen, into my chest and lungs, all the way up 2 into my neck.

2.1

There are 12 bones in my body that have metastatic cancer, meaning the cancer which started in the bladder. Like a weed, it throws off seeds and grows elsewhere. And the hip joint, the acetabulum, the actual socket part where the hip plugs in, that has cancer. That has cancer for me. There are vertebrae going up and down my spine that have cancer. I'm a goner.

So in terms of would I feel comfortable or not comfortable, for six years my attorneys have said to me, "Don't you say one thing no matter what anybody" -- "anybody says." I'm here to say these things because this is finally the only opportunity I have to say my story.

And my attorney has advised me -- me not to do this, but those same attorneys have said, "Keep quiet, don't say anything." And when I say, "Could we at least look at her e-records? Could I get my computers back from you" -- you guys," and what his response is, "No. It's a game. They make the first move. Literally you don't do anything except pay me a lot of money for talking to you. But there's absolutely nothing I can do for you until they start the game."

2 for six months, including interviewing multiple

They get to -- they get to do whatever they do

pathologists to try and finally find one they can pay
who will say, yeah, I think this could be a murder, this

5 could be a -- this could be a murder.

2.1

So I'm saying this to kind of protect my legacy. I've been a doctor for 30 years. I love Susan. Susan was a great woman. Susan was a great wife. Like I said, I felt like outrageously ridiculously lucky to be a nerdy studies — student to get such a — a — a beautiful woman. I hate, absolutely hate, that in the community court I've been found guilty. That's kind of the news that people say, that's what's printed in the Anderson Valley Advertiser, which totally distorts and makes — and makes things untrue.

And you know what really -- what really aggravates me the most? Karyn Feiden and Oni LaGioia, they have a website. You can look up Justice4Susan and you can see this website that they've actively maintained for -- for six years. That's all completely directed at me. But what they say is dut, dut, dut, dut, dut, dut, dut, "and family thinks he's guilty." And when you say "and family," that -- that makes you think like, wow, you know, you know, everybody is against him. Then my kids come back to town and they encounter, "Hey, I

heard" -- "I heard that you think your dad killed your mom." My son said, "No."

2.1

Well, that's what they're saying, they say family thinks this. Well, if two distant cousins think something, they can say family thinks this, but that doesn't mean the sons, the sisters, the uncles, you know, the cousins, those other people think it. But they will sure mislead in the printed word in a way that thinks the whole family thinks I'm guilty, when reality is my family is very supportive and very loving because they know I did not kill Susan. They know this is somewhat distorted energies coming out of Karyn Feiden and Oni LaGioia. And I'm -- and I'm grateful for that because I'm dying.

You know, it's like my son moved in with me in January to help me, and it's great. I've got a grandson. I have -- I have a two-year-old toddler. So even as I die of cancer, and it may not look this way to you, but I am not angry about what's happening to me. I'm not really sad about what's happening to me.

I've had epiphany experiences in my life, so I know that there is an energy force that's waiting for me. I don't -- I don't have to have faith, and I think it's sad for people who -- who never have direct personal experiences and have to rely on faith because,

- 1 again, half the people never have a direct contact
- 2 | with -- you know, and so they have rely on faith. But
- 3 I've had personal experiences, so I know there is a life
- 4 after death. And I welcome it because I've been devout
- 5 most of my life and feel many times blessed.
- But the Lord works in strange and mysterious
- 7 | ways and, you know, when I think about, oh, I'm a dying
- 8 | man and I'm really going to be spending my day, you
- 9 know, voluntarily talking in court and my kids are
- 10 | saying like, "you're an idiot, what guy" -- "what guy
- 11 does that?" It's a guy who wants to clear the record
- 12 | for his legacy, so -- partly so that his -- the world
- 13 knows -- no, his kids don't think he killed his mom --
- 14 their mom.
- MR. STOEN: Okay. I think, Doctor, we need to
- 16 take a break for the grand jury.
- JURY FOREPERSON: Yes.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MR. STOEN: And I apologize if I offended you
- 21 | with my statements. Okay?
- THE WITNESS: No, you did not offend me. I
- 23 understand that this is a somewhat adversarial process.
- MR. STOEN: Okay.
- 25 THE WITNESS: I wish I was calmer. And I

```
1
     apologize if I've come across as hostile, because I'm --
     if you're being accused of murder, you don't want to
 2.
     really look hostile, but I'm trying to defend myself
 3
     against bull -- bullshit accusations that have been
 5
     going on for years, and this is my first and only
 6
     chance.
 7
               MR. STOEN: Thank you, sir.
                THE WITNESS: So thank you for listening.
 9
     can see you're listening. Thank you for listening.
10
               MR. STOEN: We're not through yet.
11
               JURY FOREPERSON: There will be questions.
12
               MR. STOEN: And I'm not through asking
13
     questions either.
14
               JURY FOREPERSON: Right.
                THE WITNESS: Can the grand jury ask
15
16
     questions?
17
               MR. STOEN: Yes.
18
                THE WITNESS: Oh, great.
19
               MR. STOEN: But we need to take a break for
20
     the grand jury and yourself.
2.1
                JURY FOREPERSON: We're going to take a break,
     but before --
2.2
```

23 THE WITNESS: I'll take a break. I'm hooked

24 up to a bladder bag, so I don't have to pee. I have a

25 container that gets it all day. So ...

1 JURY FOREPERSON: One moment, please. 2. Should I read the secrecy? MR. STOEN: Yes. 3 JURY FOREPERSON: I'm going to read the 5 secrecy admonition. The grand jurors are admonished 6 that they're not to form or express any opinions about 7 this case or discuss it among themselves until the grand jury receives the case for deliberation. In addition, 9 no investigation or inspection of any evidence should be 10 conducted without the permission of the foreperson and 11 on advice of the deputy district attorney. Violation of 12 this could result in a charge of contempt against a 13 grand juror who investigates or views any matters with 14 regard to this case without the entire body of the grand 1.5 jury and in violation of this admonition. 16 So let's keep that in mind. We'll take a It's five to 11:00. We'll come back at ten 17 break. 18 after or five after? 19 MR. STOEN: Five after. 20 JURY FOREPERSON: Five after we'll be back. 2.1 (Recess taken.) 2.2 I'm going to do roll call. JURY FOREPERSON: 2.3 (Roll call taken; all jurors present.) 24 JURY FOREPERSON: We're all accounted for.

MR. STOEN: Thank you.

1 EXAMINATION (Cont'd) 2 (BY MR. STOEN) Dr. Keegan, I want to show you Q. 3 a document that I'll represent to you was sent to me yesterday by e-mail, and I'm wondering if you would look at it and tell me if you recognize it. 5 6 Α I haven't read it carefully. It's a two --7 it's a two-page letter basically. It looks like a letter that I wrote to my attorney to give him more 8 9 information about the situation. So it's an e-mail I 10 sent my attorney. MR. STOEN: I'm going to mark it 45. 11 12 (Exhibit 45 was identified.) (BY MR. STOEN) First of all, I want to just 13 Q 14 ask you --15 Would you mark the document, please? Α 16 0 No. Please, I want to ask you questions about 17 it. 18 Α Okay. 19 First of all, did you want this to be 20 submitted to us as exculpatory evidence; yes or no? 2.1 Α Yes. 22 Okay. Now --0 23 May I elaborate on that or am I just saying Α 24 yes or no? 25 You may elaborate. Yes, you may, but I'm

- 1 going to ask --
- 2 A Specifically --
- Q Before you do, sir -- forgive me for being too caustic here.
 - A Okay.

2.1

- Q We've already talked about the second paragraph being privileged, so I don't want you to discuss that. But you can go ahead and comment about anything else.
- A All of the people that are named in bold letters I want to be called as exculpatory witnesses because they can either con -- so confirms the intensity of Susan's drug trial -- drug trials and difficulties and their participation in it, and they can confirm her alcoholism. And, you know, so these -- well, and you can't call Nancy and Stan Ettinger because they've both died, but I would like to request that the people named here be questioned or encouraged to reveal what they knew about Susan's drug use.
- Q The grand jury will be given the opportunity to ask for any additional information they deem appropriate, sir.
- A Thank you.
- Q I'm going to go over this with you, so if you want to keep that in your hand, please.

Now, in your third paragraph you admit as a doctor writing a prescription for hydrocodone for Susan under her maiden name; is that true?

A Yes.

Q And you suggest that this can be verified by

going to a State of California website; right?

A Yes, there's a --

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q Now, is hydrocodone like a narcotic analgesic commonly used for relief of pain and also commonly taken as a cough suppressant?
- A It's commonly used for relief of pain. It has been commonly used as a cough suppressant in the past.
 - Q Now, did you know that -- well, let me ask you this: Was Susan, to your knowledge, ever in an accident that left her in pain, an automobile accident perhaps?
 - A Yes. She had an automobile accident where she completely rolled the car over. She literally was able to climb out through a broken window, walk up the hill, and be assisted by people who saw her go off the --
 - Q Do you recall what the date of that was, sir?
 - A Oh, no, I do not.
 - Q That's okay if you don't. We can go on.
- Now, you mentioned that you wrote prescriptions for vicoprofen for Will Baty.
- 25 A Yes.

- Q And who -- and who gave half the pills to
 Susan for free after you wrote the prescription; is that
 true?
 - A Yes.

1.5

2.1

- Q And why do you think that would be exculpatory on the charge of murder?
- A Well, I -- I think it goes to Susan's state of mind. That -- and as a drug-addicted person, that could contribute to a fall or injury.
- So I'm just going to say it bluntly, Susan used hydrocodone throughout the day. She started every day smoking marijuana and reloaded as the day went by. In the evening time when she came home, she would start on Jameson's whiskey. She would usually drink about four ounces. I say that because the bottle -- you know, she went through a -- you know, a bottle a week. So this was a woman who could -- could be, you know, impaired in terms of balance and coordination.
- And as a cause of death, I would -- I would offer it as an alter -- offer it as an alternate explanation to me murdering her, which I did not do, that she would have injured herself while she was in a drunk and drugged state.
- Q Let me ask you this: When you wrote that prescription for vicoprofen for Will Baty, were you

- 1 aware that he was going to give half the pills to Susan?
- 2 A Yes, that was the deal.
- Q Well, wasn't that deception on your part as a medical doctor?
 - A Definitely.
 - Q In your next paragraph you accuse Oni LaGioia of once making a single purchase of 100 vicoprofen and then giving half the pills to Susan. Is that a true statement?
- 10 A Yes.

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q And -- and then your next five paragraphs deal with Oni's men in her life. And you start out by saying that Oni has, quote, "a very dark history with men and lots of residual anger."
- 15 A Right.
 - Q And why would you think five paragraphs in this document should be deemed exculpatory on a charge of murder?
 - A Well, I wouldn't consider it five paragraphs;

 I would consider it one topic. Oni LaGioia was, for

 want of a better word, the ringleader of the whole

 keeping alive of Susan's death to find Peter the

 murderer. She was the -- the single-handed leader of

 this in Ukiah.
- On Susan's first -- first birthday she had a

- part -- oh, I'm sorry -- on the first birthday that

 Susan had after she died, Oni had a party and sent out

 invitations to large numbers of people, including my

 friends. And I heard that the party was really about

 investigating Susan's death, it wasn't about celebrating

 her life.
 - Q Now, why would you talk about Roscoe, her husband Roscoe, and then talk about her husband -- second husband Paul, and her third companion John?

 What -- what is the purpose of putting this in an exculpatory document?

2.1

A Well, it wasn't originally put into an exculpatory document except to list her as the person who should be subpoenaed to talk to because she's a key person in the process. Oni is going to know a lot about what's going on in the community, in the investigation.

And I'll be honest with you, the only reason this investigation is happening is because Oni and friends have literally called the district attorney's office virtually every single day saying what's happening with the Keegan case. And I don't know why it took them six years to decide to do it and to do it not in the usual way with a pretrial arraignment where there's a judge -- I see there's no judge in this -- this room -- but not to do it in a pretrial arraignment

- 1 where both sides get to argue and question the witnesses
- 2 and decide whether there's, you know, evidence for
- 3 murder, but to do it in front of a secret grand jury.
- 4 Not to be offensive, but you folks aren't
- 5 really trained in the law. So I -- I wonder why the
- 6 | vast majority of felonies go through a pretrial hearing,
- 7 but my case goes through a totally secret grand jury.
- 8 Could you answer that question? Is it
- 9 something more than fact that you have such a weak case,
- 10 | this is the only way to do it?
- 11 Q That's an inadmissible question for you to
- 12 ask, sir.
- A I'm not allowed to ask you questions; is that
- 14 | what you're saying?
- 15 Q That's correct, sir.
- 16 A Okay.
- 17 Q And you also mentioned something about Lynne
- Coen giving Susan vicoprofen for abdominal pain; is that
- 19 | correct?
- 20 A It is correct. Let me elaborate, Lynne Coen
- 21 | was her physician. She not only gave her vicoprofen for
- 22 | alleged abdominal pain problems, she also treated her
- 23 for depression.
- 24 And doctors have what are called problem
- 25 lists, where you list any problems you're dealing with,

- and you would -- I bet Lynne Coen could help you address
 the condition of my wife's depression. The woman who
 died was severely depressed, and Lynne Coen was her
- 4 medical physician.

2.1

- Q Would you turn to the last paragraph beginning "Susan's adoptive parents," and would you just read the first sentence into the record?
- A "Susan's adoptive parents, Nancy and Stan Ettinger, were both passive-aggressive assholes."
- Q Now, why is passive-aggressive assholes having anything to do with exculpatory evidence in this case?
- A That was really a note -- what -- what -- what you've received here as exculpatory evidence as a way of listing the people who I think are relevant to the case.
- We sent you a photocopy of a document I sent to my attorney. So this was originally a document that I sent to my attorney. He said to list the names and phone numbers and addresses and the content. "Can we use that as your" -- "can I send this to the district attorney?" And I said, "Yes, I don't think there's anything in it that's going to work against me. It's got the key players. And please communicate that I want the grand jury to talk to the key players."
 - Q Thank you, sir.
- 25 I'm going to shift the subject just a little

- 1 bit here. Turning to November 11th, 2010, do you have
- 2 recall of discovering the body of Susan on that day?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And had you been -- when the deputy coroner
- 5 arrived in the morning to look at Susan's body, had you
- 6 been using drugs of any sort?
- 7 A No.
- Q And --
- 9 A Wait. No, no, I completely take that back.
- 10 Yes, I had taken medicines in the evening time
- 11 to help me sleep.
- 12 Q What medicines were those?
- 13 A I think at the time I was using Restoril.
- 14 Q Pardon me?
- A At the time -- it's a memory recollection. At
- 16 | the time I think I was using Restoril, R-e-s-t-o-r-i-l,
- 17 also known as Temazepam, T-e-m-a-z-e-p-a-m.
- Q Were you using narcotics on that day?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q And had you been drinking alcohol beforehand?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q As a medical doctor, are you familiar with how
- people look when they are under the influence of drugs?
- 24 A There's a whole variety of ways. So there --
- 25 | there isn't "a" way. So you could be under the

- 1 influence of drugs right now and be performing as an
- 2 | alcoholic -- as a district attorney and be what we would
- 3 | call a high-functioning alcoholic. I don't know that
- 4 you are, but there are people who are high-functioning
- 5 drug users.
- 6 Q Excuse me for getting in your way, sir.
- 7 I'm going to show you a photo that's been
- 8 | marked as People's Exhibit Number 8 and ask you if
- 9 you -- first of all, take a look at it. Do you
- 10 | recognize that photo?
- 11 A That appears to be me.
- 12 Q Okay. Now, if I told you that that photo was
- taken on the morning that you discovered your wife's
- 14 body, would that be a true statement?
- 15 A I don't know when that photo was taken.
- Okay. Well, if there was testimony in this
- case that this was a photo taken of you on the morning
- of November 11th, 2010 when the deputy coroner came to
- 19 look at your wife's body, would you dispute that?
- A No, I would not.
- 21 Q Now, do you see anything about that face that
- 22 | would indicate that the person depicted was under the
- 23 influence of alcohol or drugs?
- A This looks like a picture of a guy with
- 25 | squinty eyes, which are usually the way my pictures are,

- 1 I have to remember to open my eyes more when people are
- 2 looking at me -- who trims his nose hairs poorly and has
- 3 crooked teeth and a crooked smile.
 - Q I missed that last part.
 - A And has crooked teeth and a crooked smile.
 - Q Now, do you see anything unusual about that person's mouth?
- 8 A Something -- yeah, there's an asymmetric
- 9 smile. Most smiles are symmetric bilaterally. This
- smile upturns on the left but is flat on the right.
- 11 That's another kind of facial defect of mine. I have a
- 12 crooked smile.

6

- 13 Q Let's put that back up there.
- Do you see anything about the mouth, such as
- 15 | cottonmouth or anything like that?
- 16 A No. I see whiteness at the -- at the corners
- of the mouth. Is that what you're referring to?
- 18 Q Does that come from drugs?
- 19 A Drugs? It comes from a dry mouth. It comes
- 20 from wiping -- wiping saliva on your mouth, on the
- 21 | corner of your mouth, and then when it dries the water
- 22 evaporates and you leave behind whatever debris you
- 23 | wiped -- you wiped on the corner of your mouth.
- Q Were you --
- 25 A Or you've eaten something recently and did not

1 use a napkin well.

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q You don't see anything about that photo that would make a person sense that you were under the influence of drugs or alcohol at that time?
 - A No. There's nothing in that photo that makes me think a person was under the influence of drugs.
 - Q And aside from the photo, were you under the influence of any drug or alcohol when the deputy coroner came?
 - A I was not under the influence of alcohol. I told you that the previous evening I had used Temazepam. If I had been drug tested at that point, it would -- which I don't recall that I was, it would show the presence of Temazepam in my system. So it's a sleeping pill tranquilizer that I use to help to sleep at night.
 - Q Now, you went -- on the night of November 10th
 I believe you told the officer you went to bed around
 10 o'clock. Is that accurate?
 - A To the best of my recollection, yes.
 - Q Okay. And -- and had Susan arrived home at that point?
- 22 A No.
- Q Now, if -- are you familiar with how long it takes to drive from Mary Pierce's house to your house?
- 25 A I think she lived -- she lived in Petaluma.

- 1 An hour and 15 minutes.
- 2 Q An hour and fifteen minutes?
- 3 A I'm -- I'm guessing. She lived in Petaluma,
- 4 so I'm going to say about an hour and 15 minutes.
- 5 Q Have you ever been to Mary's house?
- A Yes.
- 7 Q Doesn't she live in Santa Rosa on Quartz
- 8 Street?
- 9 A That's her newest house, yes.
- 10 Q But at the time of November 2010 she was not
- 11 | living on Quartz Street?
- 12 A I don't know what her address was, but she was
- 13 living in her new house. And if her new house was in
- 14 | Santa Rosa, --
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 A -- which I think it was, then that's correct.
- 17 Q So didn't you go to her house, Mary Pierce's
- 18 | house, late October to talk about the divorce with
- 19 Susan?
- 20 A And to deliver marijuana to them.
- 21 Q And deliver marijuana to them.
- 22 On this marijuana business, where did the
- 23 marijuana come from that Susan was taking?
- 24 A I cultivated it.
- 25 Q Pardon me?

- 1 A I cultivated it.
- 2 Q Okay. Were you within the law when you
- 3 cultivated it?

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- A Yes. I did not bring my Mendocino marijuana

 card, but I collected one the very first year, I think

 it was like 2001. There was a Mendocino medical

 marijuana card with my photograph on it. It's not on my

 possession now, but --
- 9 Q How much were you growing?
- 10 A I would grow six plants.
 - Q Let me ask you this: Do you recall telling
 Linda Puls in a phone conversation in September of 2012
 that the police were hostile to you because of cannabis
 issues?
 - A Oh, I -- I've said that to Linda probably several times. I've been a marijuana activist since I moved to the community in the 1980s. I was very outspoken 15 years before Prop. 215 medical marijuana passed. This was very annoying to the police and I received let's just call it unofficial police punishment for being a medical marijuana advocate in the years before people accepted medical marijuana.
 - Can I continue that story? I love -- I love to talk about it because it's such a rich part of Mendocino history.

- 1 Q Well, if we had more time, sir, I'd be happy
- 2 to.
- 3 A Time is infinite.
- Q Pardon me?
- 5 A Time is infinite.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A Even when you die, it's not really over.
- 8 Time, as Einstein proved, goes on forever.
- 9 Q I'd like to show you some photographs taken of
- 10 Susan Keegan the night that you discovered her body.
- 11 A I discovered her in the morning about 7:00
- 12 a.m.
- 13 Q About what time, sir?
- 14 A I would say about 7:00 a.m.
- 15 Q I'm going to show you what's marked People's
- 16 Exhibit 7-L and ask -- and I'll represent to you that
- 17 | this was a photograph taken of lacerations to Susan's
- head on November 11th, 2010 by the deputy coroner.
- Do you see that laceration?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And is it your position that that laceration
- 22 | was caused by a fall as distinguished from a swinging
- 23 object?
- 24 A I -- I have no position at all about how that
- laceration was caused. I was there. I did not

- 1 participate in the process. I think when Susan died I
- 2 was sleeping in another part of the house. So there's
- 3 no way I can identify this as Susan's head and I have
- 4 absolutely no comment on how that was caused because I
- 5 don't have a clue.
- 6 Q Now, isn't it true as a medical doctor that
- 7 when you come across a body, that you're supposed to
- 8 | follow a certain protocol?
- 9 A No. What is the protocol? I can't answer the
- 10 question. Could you -- please advise me of the
- 11 protocol.
- 12 Q The airway -- it has an acronym beginning with
- 13 "A", three digits.
- 14 A ABCs; airway, breathe, circulate.
- 15 Q Yes, that sort of thing.
- 16 A Yeah. That's not a death protocol, that's a
- 17 resuscitation protocol. That's what one does when one
- attempts to bring a recently unconscious person back to
- 19 life. If a person has heart arrythmia, which means
- 20 | their heart suddenly stops beating in an arrhythmic
- 21 pattern, if someone does CPR and pumps on the chest,
- 22 | that will circulate. If someone's bold enough to
- 23 actually blow fresh air in their mouth, you can keep
- 24 | people alive for an extended period of time, meaning,
- 25 | you know, five to ten minutes.

- 1 Q When you saw Susan's body there what did you
- 2 do?

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- 3 A I touched her foot.
- Q Is that all you did?
- 5 A Yes.
 - Q And you thought that was enough to determine whether or not she was alive or not?
 - A Well, the foot was ice cold and the face was gray. It had passed the color of normal. When the -the blood loses oxygen, kind of the redness of the blood disappears, and there's a bluish color that's recent loss of oxygen and there's a grayish color that indicates the blood loss of oxygen is much longer.

I don't know if the pictures show it, but her face clearly had in real life the grayish discoloration and the ice cold foot that allowed me, as a physician, to say this was a person who died a while ago.

There was no way I was going to be trying to do resuscitation. The first thought I had was Susan is dead. The second thought I had was, oh, I'm in trouble. So those two thoughts --

- Q Why did you feel you were in trouble?
- A Because I was divorcing a woman who died and
 is -- and is -- I'll just state it, I'm not going to ask
 it as a question -- it is standard police investigative

- 1 theory to the suspect spouse in a mysterious murder
- 2 first. So I knew I was going to be a suspect in a
- 3 mysterious murder.
 - Q And where did you learn that?
- 5 A I think it was on Bones, the TV show Bones.
- Q Okay. Now, look at this 7-M photo and tell
 me -- this is a laceration of Susan. Would you expect,
 as a medical doctor, that a laceration of that size on

the person's head would result in considerable blood?

- 10 A A laceration that size would result in a loss
 11 of considerable blood?
- 12 Q Pardon me?

9

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

- A There's nothing to indicate -- when you say the size of this laceration, there is nothing here that indicates -- I mean what is the -- what is the -- tell me the -- I don't want to ask you a question. Let me give it as a direct instruction.
- Tell me the sonometers of the wound or have something in the picture that tells you about the actual real size of the wound.
- He's asking the question how much blood comes
 out of a wound, but it's not clear how big the wound is.
- Q Okay. I'll try to -- I'm sorry if I haven't been clear. I apologize.
- 25 A Well, your photograph lacks the necessary

- 1 | medical dimension of a measurement.
- 2 Q Okay.

5

6

7

9

13

14

1.5

16

17

20

2.1

22

- A What you do is you put -- you put either a measuring stick or something that's recognizable, a dime -- as a physician, you put a dime next to it and then you take the photograph. You can assess the size of things because everyone knows that a dime is --
- Q Okay.
 - A -- one sonometer in diameter.
- Q This is 7-K, which has more of the rounded head of Susan. Does that give you some -- a little bit more understanding of my question?
 - A Yeah, so that's a small wound. I would not expect a large amount of blood to be coming out of that wound.
 - Q Isn't it true that there's a lot of vascularity in the head?
- A Yes. There is a lot of vascularity in every part of the body; that's how we stay alive.
 - Q Okay. Now, you were the only one in the house that night along with Susan; isn't that correct?
 - A Yes. That I know of. If there were other people there, I was not aware of them.
- Q But you've never stated to the police that there was anybody else around, have you?

A There was nobody else that I know of, and I -
wait. I don't know if -- in terms of answering your

question, I do not know if the police asked me if there

were other people around. So I have no knowledge of

what my response to the police about that was.

- Q Now, your bedroom -- when Susan came home she parked her car in the driveway, is that correct, as far as you know, that night?
 - A We -- we have several places to park our car. She placed -- she parked in what I would call the concrete driveway.
 - Q Okay. And your bedroom is right next to that; right?
 - A You have to go through a fenced gate and then there's an entry that goes into my -- my -- my bedroom.
 - Q Would you say your bedroom is the closest room to where her car was parked?
- 18 A Yes.

2.1

- Q Okay. So if she left Mary Pierce's house at 9 o'clock and it took an hour and 15 minutes and you went to bed at 10:00, wouldn't it be fair to say that you were still not quite asleep when she arrived?
- A I'm -- I'm not going to agree to any of those -- those hypotheses. You're asking me was I asleep at 10:15. I don't know if I was asleep or not.

- 1 Who remembers what --
- 2 Q Did you --
- A Who remembers what time they went to bed six years -- six years ago.
 - Q Okay.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- 6 A So that's a ridiculous question.
 - Q This is based on what you told Deputy

 Rainwater, that you went to bed around 10 o'clock. Did

 she get it right or not?
 - A Well, I -- I bet I would have said I went to bed about 10 o'clock. I -- I doubt that I went to bed at 10 o'clock precisely, but she got it right that 10 o'clock was about the time I usually went to bed.
 - Q Okay. Did you tell people that Susan was mad at you because you -- was falsely accusing you of having kicked her out of the bedroom over room temperature?
 - A Would you restate the question?
 - Q Yeah. Did you ever tell anybody that Susan was mad at you because you had kicked her out of the room over differences of room temperature or words to that effect?
- A No. I did not kick Susan out of the bedroom.

 That's one of the lies that has been kind of presented

 to the community and assumed into -- to be true. Susan

 chose to -- to leave and go into that particular --

- 1 particular bedroom.
- 2 Q She went to where? Oh, she chose to go to
- 3 that bedroom?
- 4 A Originally I left the bedroom.
- 5 Okay, so -- so there's -- there's two rooms.
- 6 There's a bedroom we were both sleeping in.
- 7 Q Okay. How long had you been sleeping
- 8 together?
- 9 A Decades.
- 10 Q Pardon me?
- A We had been married 34 years. We had been
- 12 | sleeping together.
- Q When did you stop sleeping together in the
- 14 same bed?
- A Oh, I'd say that was probably the middle of
- 16 September.
- 17 Q Before the divorce was initiated by you?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. And what caused the separation at that
- 20 point?
- 21 A Great.
- 22 Q Pardon me?
- 23 A I'm -- I said "great" because you finally
- 24 asked the question that I wanted -- that I want to
- answer.

So Susan came to bed much later than me. And one of the things she liked to do was to open all of the windows in the bedroom, including a window that was about three feet way from what house -- I'm sorry, I misspoke -- including a window that was three feet away from my head. And I asked her not to do that because it disturbed my sleeping. Then she'd pull the covers off of me, so I would wake up in the morning very cold, because it goes down to the fifties at night, with a lot of -- of nasal congestion.

2.1

And at the time I worked as a physician -after working 23 years as a -- as a private physician in
Ukiah, I was working part-time as a physician in Covelo,
which meant I stayed over two nights there. And I slept
in a room where I could completely close the windows and
keep the covers over me, and I noticed that it was
peculiar, I woke up in Covelo and I felt great every
day, even though I was having to go to work, and the
days that I was home I woke up crappy because, you know,
someone had, you know, froze -- frozen me.

So I asked Susan to close the window closest to my head; you can open other windows, put please just don't do that. She refused to do that, so I chose to leave the marriage bed and go sleep in the guest room for my protection. So no one ordered her out; I chose

- 1 to leave the room.
- 2 Shortly after that Susan said to me, "If we're
- 3 going to separate rooms, I want to switch the rooms. I
- 4 | want you to go back to the old bedroom and I want to
- 5 take the guest room." And my attitude was, "Whatever
- 6 you like, dear." So we switched the rooms.
- And now I realize, oh, that allowed her to say
- 8 Peter ordered her out of the marriage room.
- 9 Q And did you tell the deputy -- did you use the
- 10 | word "blamed" when you told the deputy that the spouse
- 11 always gets blamed when a spouse is found deceased?
- 12 A No, I have no recall of saying that.
- Q Okay. Do you think you could have used the
- 14 | word "blamed" when you said that a spouse always gets
- 15 blamed?
- 16 A Well, I think I probably communicated to the
- officer the same thing I just communicated to you, that
- 18 | in a suspicious murder, the spouse is a suspect.
- 19 Q But why would you say -- use the word "blamed"
- 20 if you did --
- 21 A I'm not saying I used the word "blamed."
- 22 Q But you said words to that effect; right?
- A I said words to the effect that I knew I was
- 24 going to be a suspect in this case.
- 25 Q Okay. But why would you say that when a death

might otherwise be just the natural result of a fall?

A Because I knew the reality of the situation was this would be considered a suspicious -- a suspicious murder. And I was just chatting with the investigating officer, and I'm not willing to -- to agree to say that I used the word blame me or blame whomever without hearing the tape or transcript of -- of that.

Q Okay.

2.

2.1

- A So I'm not agreeing that that was what I said.
- Q Okay. Did you say to the deputies that you would not sign for the cause of death as to Susan?

A I couldn't sign for the cause of death. I'm not her doctor. The -- the cause of death is signed for by the person's private -- private physician who knows the cause of death. And if the cause -- if the physician is unwilling to do it because they don't know why the person died, they can release the body to the coroner who -- who signs for the cause of death.

The husband physician would never be the person to sign the death certificate except for his patients, which Susan was not. So it -- so it's stupid to think that the husband would sign the death certificate.

Q Was Susan cremated?

1 A Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q And did you -- did you take any of her ashes into your own possession?
- A Yes. There were about eight pounds of ashes.

 I believe they were split into eight portions and

 distributed amongst family and friends, and there is one

 portion that is -- I hope this isn't against the law --

under a fig tree in my property.

- Q And did you use -- when -- did you ask Linda
 Puls the next day after the memorial service if she
 wanted a portion of Susan's ashes?
- A Well, I don't recall doing that, but that is -- that is a question I would have asked Linda, if she would like some of the ashes.
- Q And did you use a measuring cup to scoop out Susan's ashes and put them in plastic baggies?
- A Did I use a measuring cup to scoop them out?

 Eight pounds is -- is a lot of ash. I think I actually used a garden hand -- hand shovel. It's not a shovel; trowel, a garden trowel. I think I used a garden trowel to take them out of a bigger bag into Ziploc baggies, one-gallon Ziploc baggies, eight of them.
- Q Did you go out of your way to befriend Linda
 Puls in ways different from before?
- 25 A No. Linda Puls was Susan's sister. I met her

- 1 | when she was about 12 years old and recognized the
- 2 difficult -- difficulties she's was encountering in the
- 3 Ettinger family. And I've always been exceptionally
- 4 kind to her because of the hardships that her -- that
- 5 | she experienced in her life. So I have always been kind
- 6 to her.
- 7 Q Did you send Linda Susan's last paycheck?
- 8 A Did I send Linda Susan's last paycheck? No.
- 9 | I sent Linda Puls \$5,000, which -- and I now realize was
- 10 the amount of Susan's past -- the equivalent amount of
- 11 Susan's past paycheck, but the sending of the \$5,000 had
- 12 | nothing to do with Susan's past paycheck. It was
- 13 helping Linda to meet a house payment.
- 14 Linda, because of her unfortunate drug
- problems, has encountered financial difficulties as
- 16 | well, and I have helped Linda out financially.
- 17 Q And did you do these things for Linda because
- 18 | you felt you needed an ally within the family, because
- 19 you felt others might not be your allies?
- 20 A No, I did it for Linda because I love her and
- 21 | she was Susan's sister.
- 22 Q Now, isn't it true that in August of 2012 that
- you called Linda Puls after the reclassification of
- 24 Susan's death to a homicide?
- 25 A I have no recall of that.

- 1 Q Pardon me?
- 2 A I have no recall of that.
- Q Okay. Did -- do you have any reason to
 believe that Linda Puls might have changed her mind
 about your involvement in Susan's death after the
 reclassification of the death of Susan to homicide?
 - A I -- I really am not informed to answer that question -- answer that question.
- 9 Q Okay.

13

14

19

- 10 A I've never -- I've never directly asked Linda

 11 if she thinks I killed her sister. I don't think I

 12 would do that.
 - Q Did you have any encounters with Oni LaGioia personally after Susan's death?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 O Pardon me?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 O And what were those encounters?
- Susan's best friend. She took lots of Susan's stuff.
 Oni was an artist; Linda was an artist. I'm not an

They were multiple encounters. Oni was

- 22 artist. There were a lot of nice art supplies that Oni
 23 made clear she would like to have. She was inside of
- my -- in and out of my house multiple times.
- 25 Q Let me ask you this: Before Susan's death and

- 1 after you filed the divorce in October 2010, did you
- 2 make two bicycle trips to Oni's house in Ukiah?
- A I don't recall that, but I would say that that
- 4 was a time in my life I was bicycling a lot. And so if
- 5 I -- it -- I do use -- if the question is: Do I use a
- 6 bicycle for transportation? The answer is: In those
- 7 days, yes, I did.
- 8 Q And do you recall going to Oni's house in late
- 9 October 2010 to tell her that Susan was addicted to
- 10 narcotics?
- 11 A Yes. Oni knew that. I went in the context of
- 12 asking her to help me to persuade Susan to seek
- 13 treatment, which she had declined to do in therapy.
- 14 Q But --
- A Oni, I was hoping, would be an ally for Susan
- 16 to get the help she needed.
- 17 Q But didn't you go there, to Oni's, to justify
- 18 your filing divorce against Susan?
- 19 A Oni already knew Susan was -- Susan and I were
- 20 being divorced because Oni and Susan were best friends.
- 21 Q But did you feel you had to press the issue
- 22 because she might have some reservations about why you
- 23 | did the divorce?
- 24 A After I found out that Oni was notified of the
- divorce, yes, I went over to tell Oni my side of the

- 1 story, including if she was willing to help Susan to --
- 2 to do a treatment to preserve -- preserve the marriage.
- 3 Q But didn't --
- A I was more than committed to honor our vows.
- 5 I really did love this -- this woman. She absolutely
- 6 refused to get any sort of treatment. She said that in
- 7 the therapist's office.
- 8 Q Didn't you tell Oni that her addiction has --
- 9 | had caused Susan to have a lower libido?
- 10 A I don't remember that.
- 11 Q Did you tell Oni, quote, "that I have a
- 12 | fucking right to have sex with my wife"?
- 13 A I don't remember saying that.
- 14 Q Did Oni tell you that you should keep your
- 15 | vows in sickness and in health?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And what was your response? Did that matter
- 18 to you?
- 19 A My -- my response was just what I said, that
- 20 | assumes a person is -- is willing to make an attempt to
- 21 | get healthy. And I explained that Susan had decided
- 22 | that she was not going to deal with her drug addiction
- or -- or treatment. So I would -- and that's what I
- 24 said to her, to Oni, was like, "yeah, she" -- "yeah, I
- would be happy to stand by her if she made half an

- 1 effort on her own behalf."
- 2 Q Did you say to Oni regarding Susan that you,
- quote, "had to get rid of her," close quote, before you
- 4 had a sexual relationship with anybody else?
- 5 A I did not tell her that. That's total crap.
- Q When you talked to Oni on these bicycle trips
- 7 would --
- 8 A Wait a minute. Oni did not go on bicycle
- 9 trips with me.
- 10 Q No, I'm sorry, I misspoke. When you made
- 11 | bicycle trips to Oni's house and you had these
- 12 | conversations --
- A When I went to Oni's house to visit her, yes,
- 14 yes.
- 15 Q -- were you highly agitated?
- 16 A I -- I would not describe myself as highly --
- 17 Q Pardon me?
- A I would not describe myself as highly
- 19 agitated.
- Q Were you angry?
- 21 A I would not describe myself as angry.
- Q Were you manic?
- A Manic? No.
- Q Okay. Did you say to Oni, "The boys want to
- 25 have a memorial service, but I don't give a fuck"?

A No, I did not say that. We wanted to have a
memorial service and we worked hard to -- to have a
beautiful memorial service happen for Susan at the
Methodist Church. It was a beautiful service, well

done, that a lot of people helped with.

Q Did --

1.5

2.1

2.3

A So we did -- we did accomplish that on her behalf. I don't know why Oni would say otherwise.

Q Did Oni indicate to you that she wanted Susan's ashes?

A Yes.

Q And did you leave Susan's ashes in the evening on her doorknob in a plastic grocery bag?

A I -- I do not specifically recall, but it would -- it would make sense that the Ziploc baggy would have been put into a plastic bag and hung on her doorknob. If when I rang the doorbell she didn't answer, I would have left them for her. So she would have known -- known what it was -- or I don't know if there was a notation, but I would believe -- if she said that's what I did, I would believe that yes, I left them in a plastic bag containing a Ziploc baggy of one pound of Susan's ashes.

Q Did you leave a note or anything at that time showing a sense of solemnity?

- 1 A I have no recall of that.
- 2 Q Pardon me?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- 3 A I have no recall of that.
- Q Were you using Facebook after Susan's death?
- A Yes. My children came to me and said, "You have to learn to use Facebook." And my son Simon set me

up on Facebook while they were visiting.

- Q And did you, within five days of Susan's death, put on Facebook -- on your Facebook page that you were single or widowed and you were seeking a relationship?
- A No, I did not put that on there. My son Simon put that on there.
 - Q With your approval?
- A He -- I -- he probably did -- he probably did, you know, show me what he was doing and I did -- I did say approval. I'm going to speculate that Simon, before he put up the Facebook, would have reviewed it with me and that I would have agreed that those were the appropriate demographic choices to make for describing me. But I would not have been on Facebook were it not for my son -- my son Simon telling me, "you must."
 - Q I'm going to show you what is marked as

 Exhibit Number 26, which appears to be a handwritten

 letter, and ask you to take a look at it, it's two pages

- 1 long, tell me if you recognize it and, if so, please
 2 identify it.
 - A May I read the whole thing through?
 - Q Pardon me?

5

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- A May I read the whole thing to myself quietly?
- Q Yes, you may. Take all the time you want.
- 7 (Brief pause.)
 - A Yes, this looks like a photo of a letter that

 I sent to Bruce Anderson, who is the editor of the

 Anderson Valley Advertiser.
 - Q Could you read it into the record?
- 12 A Yes. February 11th, 19:11:
 - "Dear Bruce: Love your paper. Haven't
 missed an issue in years. One of the best
 entertainment values in Mendocino County.

 Imagine my surprise yesterday evening when I
 found I was one of the stars in, quote, 'off
 the record,' unquote, regarding my wife's
 death. You wrote, quote, 'we are more than
 four months from Susan's death,' unquote, but
 if she died on November 11th and you published
 February 9th, that's actually less than three
 months. Forgot your, quote, 'thinking cap,'
 quote, again. Quote, 'Susan was always active

in the community and most recently with her

- Ukiah Players, 'unquote. No, that's not 1 correct either. She worked for UPT 15 years 2. ago and hasn't been in one of their 3 performances in more than a decade. Can't wait to see what imaginative fun you have in 5 6 store for me next week. Things like the truth and facts have always been the AVA's weak 7 point, but it's so much fun to watch you trash 9 people. Sincerely, Peter Keegan." 10 And you wrote that to Bruce Anderson? 11 Yes. I didn't remember writing it, but thank Α 12 you for reminding me. 13 Okay. For the jury to see, that's your 14 handwriting; is that correct? 1.5 Yes, that is my handwriting. Α 16 (Exhibit 26 was identified.) 17 (BY MR. STOEN) Now, did you -- on February 18 28th, or thereabouts, 2011, appear on Oni LaGioia's 19 porch one morning to drop off an article in the AVA 20 entitled, quote, "The improbable death of Susan Keegan"? 2.1 Α I don't recall doing it, but that's the type
- 23 Q And why would you have done that?

of thing I would have done.

22

24

25

A Because perhaps she was not a subscriber to the AVA, and since Oni was one of the main movers for --

- 1 for this, it was a way for her to have a printed copy.
- 2 She might have gotten her own, obviously she might have
- 3 just read it online, but --
 - Q Did you leave a note for Oni?
 - A I don't know if I left a note. I might have.
 - Q Okay. First of all, do you recall when the officers came to your house in June of 2011 to seize the computers? Do you recall that?
 - A I'm sorry, ask that question again, please.
- 10 Q Do you recall when the DA investigators came
 11 to do a search warrant on your house in June of 2011?
- 12 A Yes.

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q Okay. And I'm going to show you a photo that they took that they claim to have taken of something in your house on that date, and this is Exhibit Number 27. And I've placed some yellow stickers on it and they placed a number on it. I'm asking you if you recognize this and, if so, please indicate what it is.
 - A That is a copy of the Anderson Valley

 Advertiser, first page. It's called -- it's lead

 article has a picture of me and Susan. It says, "The

 Improbable Death of Susan Keegan."
- I had given this to my therapist -- I'm

 blocking on her name -- and I had written on it "save"

 so that she did not throw it out. So that's a copy of

- 1 the AVA.
- 2 Q So who would not throw it out?
- 3 A Lorelei Hammond.
- Q But why would it be in your house if you
- 5 wanted a third person not to throw it out?
- A I -- I -- I gave it to her. She read it. So at the time this went out I was meeting with a
- 8 therapist. I gave this to the therapist to read, marked 9 it "save," she gave it back to me, --
- 10 Q Okay.

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- 11 A -- and I put it back in the drawer where I was
 12 keeping the documents which were starting to accumulate
 13 about Susan's death.
 - Honest to god, the police did not contact me until February. They did search my house November 11th and they did show up and, you know, they were there and did their thing; but except for not giving me the death certificate, they did not reach out until the middle of February when I got my attorney. And, boy, I'd like to -- I'd like to be given an opportunity to talk about that February interaction with the police at some point.
- Q Let me ask you this.
- 24 A I hope you ask.
- Q We're talking about your visit to Oni, okay?

- 1 You did make a visit to Oni. Did you drop off that
- 2 article or a copy of that article or parts of that
- 3 | article on your visit to Oni around February 28th?
- A I have no recall.
- Okay. Here's People's Exhibit Number 28, and
- 6 | it begins with the word "greetings" and it has the
- 7 | initial "P" at the end. I'd like you to take a look at
- 8 that, tell me if you recognize that.
- 9 A Okay. Yes, I -- I -- I recognize the "P". I
- 10 | haven't read the -- the text, but I will read it right
- 11 now.
- 12 (Brief pause.)
- 13 Yes, I recognize that.
- Q And what's the -- what's the first line in
- 15 that note?
- 16 A "Wanted to be sure that you didn't miss the
- 17 | scandalous AV article you contributed to" -- I'm going
- 18 | to reread that. "Wanted to be sure that you didn't miss
- 19 | the scandalous AVA article you contributed to."
- Q Well, why did you feel you had to give that
- 21 note to Oni?
- 22 A Why did I feel I needed to?
- 23 Q Yes.
- 24 A There was no need to. I did it intentionally.
- Q Were you angry?

- 1 A I would say I was angry at that point --
- 2 Q Okay.
- 3 A -- that this article had come out.
- Q Were you trying to scare her?
- 5 A No, I was not trying to scare her.
- I think I sent the same note to Mary Pierce.
- Q Could you read the whole note into the record, please.
- 9 A Yes.
- "Greetings. Wanted to be sure you didn't
- 11 miss the scandalous AVA article you
- 12 contributed to. Bruce" -- Bruce Anderson,
- 13 AVA -- "got it wrong (not unusual). The
- 14 toxicology report is back and showed high
- 15 levels of opiates, alcohol, cannabis,
- 16 antidepressants, tranquilizers, and other very
- weird stuff. Susan kept secret journals that
- I found after her death. Very disturbing.
- 19 She had a hard time verbalizing the truth,
- duped all her friends, and obviously thought
- 21 that writing about her weird psyche was
- 22 satisfactory, when really she needed
- 23 professional help. Initially I thought her
- death was accidental overdose. Now it's
- 25 apparent it was suicide."

- 1 Q You were claiming at the time you wrote this 2 that Susan committed suicide; is that right?
- A Yeah. Yeah, I had discovered her diaries and the thought occurred to me that she had personally overdosed.
- Q So basically you changed your explanation of death from fault to suicide at that point.
- A I have no idea how she died. That's merely
 the speculations of a disgruntled individual.
- Q Okay. Now, did you also leave some of these
 what you call "dark entries" with Oni in that -- when
 you left that note?
 - A I have absolutely no -- no recall. I might have. I might have. You know, it would be possible that I read her that poem that I read to you guys --
- 16 Q Okay.

14

15

20

2.1

- 17 A -- so that Oni would see it.
- 18 Q So you were spreading some of this dark stuff 19 around the -- around the -- to other people; right?
 - A Oni, Mary, and Karyn.
 - Q And nobody else?
- 22 A Nobody else.
- Q Okay. Now, I'd like to turn your attention to

 October 2010, around the time you filed for divorce.
- 25 Did you take a computer to a private investigator in

- 1 Lake County by the name of Mike Hermann?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And did you ask him to hack into Susan's
- 4 private and/or personal accounts?
- 5 A No.
- Q Did you tell him you thought your wife was cheating on you?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q So you didn't make repeated requests for him
 10 to hack into Susan's private e-mails; is that correct?
- 11 A That's correct.
- 12 Q Did you --
- A Would you like to know why I hired him?
- 14 Q Pardon me?

24

- A Would you like to know why I hired him?
- 16 Q Yes, please tell us.
- A Yeah. So I had received in the mail from my
 insurer a -- a letter saying that I had been approved
 for visits with a mental health person. I called them
 up and said, you know, "I haven't asked for that. Who
 asked for it?" And they said, "You did." I said, "No,
 I didn't."
 - So I went to a private investigator to say, could you help me find out who really called the insurance company and said, you know, Dr. Keegan needs

1 mental health consultation.

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q But didn't you thereafter figure it out for yourself and tell Mike Hermann that you had figured it out for yourself?
- A No, he -- he actually arranged for me to talk with the high-level Blue Shield representatives who said, oh, yeah, when we say we're recording this visit, they're really not recording the visit.

I wanted to listen to the visit and hear -and hear the voice. And I spoke to the high-level
executive, she answered all my questions, made it clear
to me that there was no recording that she could access.
So there was no way for me to know who -- who -- who
made that phone call.

- Q Okay. What --
- A And I have no -- no recollection of -- of more than that, to be honest.
- Q Okay. And what -- at some point your first reason for coming resolved itself. Did you have subsequent meetings, either by phone or in person, with Mike Hermann after the Blue Shield problem was resolved?
 - A Not that I recall.
 - Q Did you ask Mike Hermann to install spyware on the computer used by Susan?
- 25 A No.

- Q Did you offer to pay him more than his
- 2 standard fee if he would do that?
- 3 A No.

9

10

16

- Q Did you become enraged when Norm Rosen told
 you that you would have to pay more than \$2,000 a month
 in temporary spousal support to Susan?
 - A No. And you should talk to Norm Rosen about that because that rumor was spread that Peter jumped up and down, yelled, "it's all mine, it's all mine, it's all mine."
- It's pretty clear in California that if you're
 married for ten years, the assets are being split. I
 knew that. That's a rumor that's been circulated. Norm
 Rosen was in the room. He can answer that question for
 you.
 - Q Weren't you angry when you knew that you would have to pay that amount of money to Susan?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Okay.
- 20 MR. STOEN: Well, Dr. Keegan, that's all the 21 questions I have. The grand jury may have some, unless 22 they decide to break for lunch.
- JURY SECRETARY: Do you want to break and come back with questions?
- JURY FOREPERSON: I mean I see pads full of

- 1 questions and I think we'll be here for quite some time.
- 2 MR. STOEN: Okay. It's up to the foreperson.
- 3 You're in charge.
- JURY SERGEANT OF ARMS: I was going to ask,
- 5 maybe we can take a vote of how many people would like
- 6 to finish this portion and then take a lunch break.
- 7 Dr. Keegan could go back home and we could be done with
- 8 the questioning.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I'm staying to be questioned
- 10 more by you guys; yes?
- JURY FOREPERSON: Yes.
- 12 A JUROR: That's what I'm talking about.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Let's take a break and come
- 14 back.
- JURY FOREPERSON: The time is 12:05. I think
- 16 | we should probably take a lunch break and meet back at
- 17 1:15.
- Let me remind you of the secrecy admonition to
- 19 | not talk to anybody in the jury -- grand jury about this
- 20 case or --
- MR. STOEN: Form an opinion.
- JURY FOREPERSON: -- inspect any evidence or
- form an opinion outside of this room and we will discuss
- this when we deliberate.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you.

1 JURY FOREPERSON: Thank you. 2 (Lunch recess taken.) 3 MR. STOEN: Do you want to take roll, Madam Foreperson? 4 5 JURY FOREPERSON: Sure. 6 (Roll call taken; all jurors present.) 7 JURY FOREPERSON: We're all here. MR. STOEN: Okay. Dr. Keegan, now is the time 9 that the grand jurors have a right to ask questions. 10 What they do is submit it on a piece of paper and I review them to see if they're legally admissible. So if 11 12 you would be kind enough to answer them as you see fit. JURY SECRETARY: Question number 94 from juror 13 14 616861. 15 0 (BY MR. STOEN) The question is: Dr. Keegan, 16 how long have you been using a cane? On and off for about six months. 17 Α 18 0 Six months? 19 Α Yes. 20 0 Thank you. 2.1 On and off. I -- if I'm walking short Α 22 distances, less than a block or two, I don't use it. 23 it's going to be longer, I do use it. It comes -- you 24 don't really need a cane until you need it and then,

25

boy, you're glad to have it.

Q All right. Did you ever grieve your wife's death and, if so, how?

3

5

6

7

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- A I grieved my wife's death completely privately -- I'm a prayerful and spiritual person -- and with family, my children.
 - Q What do you feel was the catalyst for her to become a drug addict by your standard?
- She hated working. It was very hard for her to get through a workday. When the kids went off to 9 10 college in 1999, she agreed that rather than mortgaging 11 the house, she would take a full-time job with the 12 American Cancer Society. She hated stuff that was kind 13 of health related. It was very hard for her to work a 14 full-time day. Hydrocodone helped her get through the day. The problem with opiates is you need more and 15 16 more.
 - So I think it was the stresses of having to kind of stay five days a week in an office, that was a factor, as well as undoubtedly some issues in relationship or stuff that I have no awareness, as well as perhaps her strong family history or -- so I think the job -- me personally, I like to kind of blame the job, that it was -- it was just easier to do if you're high.
- 25 Q Next question: Do you miss Mrs. Keegan?

A I do miss Mrs. Keegan dearly. I -- I wish she had been, you know, willing to go for treatment, not just for the drug stuff, but also for her emotional stuff.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

We went to counseling once and -- and I don't want to -- I don't know the name of the counselor -- and this was in the middle -- middle 2000s. The counselor has since passed away. We went six times. And I thought counseling was kind of like you just sit and you talk and the person listens. And after six times, the counselor said, "Well, do you know what I think?" She's like, "Yeah, sure," you know. And I thought -- I thought we were already doing it. And basically she -she looked at Susan and said, "You have really deep pain. It's going to be very hard to get rid of. I can help you with that pain. Come back" -- and then she added -- she also added the line, "If you have any feelings of affection at all for Peter, do him a favor and divorce him, " which -- which kind of stunned me to hear from the counselor. And then she said, "But I" --"I can help you with your pain. Come back" -- "come back next week."

She turned over and looked at me and said, "Peter, don't come back anymore."

And -- and Susan, of course, did not go back.

- 1 | She -- she did not believe anybody could help her. I
- 2 | wish she had gone back. That counselor was very -- very
- 3 insightful and I do believe she could have helped Susan
- 4 with some of the pain and suffering she was
- 5 experiencing.
- O Next question: Do you think Susan's writing
- 7 was a means of coping with her depression?
 - A Yes.
- 9 Q What time did you discover Mrs. Keegan's body?
- 10 A About 7 o'clock in the morning.
- 11 Q And why didn't you start CPR?
- 12 A Because she had obviously been dead for a
- while. CPR is only appropriate if a person's been
- 14 unconscious for five or ten minutes.
- When the foot has turned completely cold and
- 16 | the skin is past blue to gray, there's no point in doing
- 17 | CPR, the person is dead. CPR is only useful if you get
- 18 | a person shortly after they're dying. So, you know, CPR
- 19 is only effective if you find somebody within a few
- 20 minutes, and then it can be -- be very effective. If a
- 21 | person's been dead for an hour or more, then CPR is --
- 22 | is -- is useless. It's a waste -- a waste of time and
- 23 energy. There's no point to do CPR on a dead person.
- Q Next question: Have you ever witnessed a dead
- 25 body before?

A Yes, many times. I'm a -- I'm a family practice physician. I've worked 30 years in medicine. I've helped many people with the dying process.

2.1

It's not true anymore, fortunately, but it used to be that doctors had to declare the person dead. So if a patient dies at 3 o'clock in the morning, the nurse can't say, "uh, the patient's dead."

Let's say the first half of my career they would have to call the doctor and say "your patient died," and I would go to see the patient, confirm that they were dead, and then write a note, you know, patient expired at 3:30 a.m., no pulse or respirations. So for a large part of my career it was only doctors who could declare people dead. Now that's changed.

An interesting anecdote -- I'll just kind of share it to keeps things a little more light-hearted -- I once had a doctor friend declare a patient dead in the middle of the night, thinking he was doing me a favor, went and got on stereoscope, listened for a heartbeat and breaths, wrote that the person was dead. The following morning the person was alive. And it was kind of embarrassing to have to call my colleague doctor and say that his diagnosis of death was wrong.

But so -- but yes, I've seen many dead people in my life.

Q Would you consider yourself to be empathetic?

2.1

A Yes, I'm -- I'm highly empathetic. And that was one of my strong features as a physician in helping people. I could listen to people, be empathetic, try to help them without, you know, doing expensive and lots of tests. I was trained that the secret of the care of the patient is in caring for the patient. And I was trained that if you listen carefully to what people say, they'll often give you the answer to the question of the problem in a -- in a parenthetical remark. So my style of doctoring was to -- to really listen.

And for most of my career you could just scribble notes. So you could keep eye contact with people, listen to what they're doing, stay engaged, and scribble notes. Then they switched over to electronic health record. It's very hard to give the appearance of being empathetic when your head is totally in the computer and the patient is over here kind of upset that you're not even kind of looking at them.

So I -- I -- I was trained in the era where you're -- you're supposed to try to be kind with people, take your -- your business is going to be often bad news stuff, try to cut -- don't be untruthful, but try to round off the harsh edges of -- of reality. And I think people liked that I was able to relate and willing to

- 1 spend time.
- 2 Financially that's not longer possible.
- 3 Doctors have to see you on, you know, five-minute or
- 4 seven-minute schedules. But I started doctoring in
- 5 | 1981, I did my own business for 23 years, and I spent as
- 6 much time as I wanted.
- 7 You know, common things occur. Commonly -- I
- 8 | have good understanding of a lot of problems, so I was
- 9 able to help people. I mean obviously you get things
- 10 | wrong; you don't help everybody. A lot of times I could
- 11 help people just by sharing my knowledge or I think kind
- 12 of thought of myself as a translator in life's harsh
- 13 realities, matching a person up with medical stuff, I'm
- 14 | trying to kind of translate what's going on and how the
- 15 | medical community can help them.
- 16 But yes, I -- I do feel like I'm an empathetic
- 17 person.
- 18 Q Thank you, sir.
- Did you ever try to change Susan's e-mail
- 20 password?
- 21 A No.
- Q Why did you write the prescriptions if they
- 23 | weren't your patients?
- 24 A I was supplying Susan with drugs, which I
- 25 knew -- I knew was wrong. But Susan was a very strong

- 1 woman and basically I was compliant and just helping her
- 2 get the drugs she needed in order to -- to function.
- 3 MR. STOEN: Did you want me to say okay on 4 these or just the ones that I --
- JURY FOREPERSON: The ones that you don't ask.
- 6 MR. STOEN: The ones that I don't ask, okay.
- 7 Next question.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 95 from juror 9 609424.
- 10 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Do you believe Susan committed
 11 suicide?
 - A Not in a sense of banging her own -- banging her own head; yes in the sense that she knew what she was doing with drugs and alcohol had -- had lethal possibilities.
 - Q Okay. This one is somewhat related, but you may have answered it already: How does one kill one's self by falling in a bathroom?
 - A You -- you -- you hit your head, you know, hard enough that there's a brain injury or bleeding happens, or that's just part of a more complicated scenario where you lose consciousness, fail to protect your airway. There are many different ways people die. But head injury can be a cause of -- events that cause you to die.

A head injury -- so when you have a head
injury, if you hit your head and you have internal
bleeding, the pressure builds up inside of the head and
the skull is complete. As pressure increases, it starts
to cause parts of the brain to not work, including the
part of the brain that tells your body to breathe.

So -- and I don't know if this was -- this was found in autopsy. I don't have any information about autopsy or any of that kind of stuff, but was there -- you know, I mean internal bleeding inside of your head can cause people to die.

Q Next question: Do you consider yourself the victim in this situation?

A No, no. Susan -- Susan is the unfortunate victim. I'm not -- I'm not a victim at all. I do feel like my children are victims because they're the ones who are suffering. They had a really good mother who -- who loved them dearly. They've lost their mother.

The community, you know, reputation for the Keegan name is -- is hard. My son recently moved here. He's joining the faculty at the high school. It's very hard on -- on -- on the family. I -- I -- I do --

I'm sorry, what was the question? Am I angry?

Do I consider myself a victim?

Q Yeah.

2.1

A No, I don't consider myself a victim, but I do feel like I'm being punished. And I'm -- I'm -- I'm -- on some level I'm upset that the people who say that they love Susan the most, Oni and Karyn, are -- have pursued this so vigorously. So that if I am indicted, I will continue to pay \$450 an hour to an -- an attorney at a time when I'm dying. So, essentially, the people who love Susan are transferring an estate that should go to my children and grandchildren; instead, it's going to go into the pockets of attorneys and -- and criminal experts. So that kind of -- that kind of bums me out.

But, you know, the Lord works in strange and mysterious ways. There's a reason this is happening. I don't know what it is. I don't -- I don't presume to ask questions of why God is doing it. I just accept that it happens and try to be a full participant.

Q Thank you, sir.

2.

2.1

MR. STOEN: Next question.

JURY SECRETARY: Question number 96 from juror 616861.

Q (BY MR. STOEN) Why didn't you say the kind things about Mrs. Keegan at her funeral that you just told us?

A I was too upset to get up in public and talk, to actually stand up and talk in front of people and to

1 think that your words come out, you know, properly.

To be honest, just trying to make that whole affair run, to have a service and get it coordinated and get speakers and whatever, you know, I -- I -- I -- I did end up in the position kind of project manager to get the -- the funeral event to happen. And actually standing up and talking -- my son Luke was an eloquent, you know, family representative, so I -- I was in no position to get up and talk about it.

- Q Next question: Do you still use drugs for sleeping?
- 12 A Yes, definitely.

2.1

- 13 Q And do you like the way they make you feel?
 - A I like that I can go to sleep instead of being worried about this or dying or whatever. So with -- with sleeping medications, it's not how you feel, it's like how fast you fall asleep.

And I use sleeping medication that, at the right dose, I can fall asleep, you know, pretty promptly, sleep well, and wake up in the morning feeling refreshed without being, you know, drugged or hungover.

- Q And what stage is your cancer, sir?
- A My cancer is stage four metastatic cancer.

 It's a terminal -- terminal cancer. So -- so there's -- there's -- when you talk about cancers, there's four --

there's four -- four stages, and stage four is where the cancer has left where it started out and it's spread in other places. And I think I said to you it's in 12 bones. It's majorly in my hip bones interfering with walking. It's totally blocked my lymph system, so my leg is double -- double the size. The lymph nodes have spread up the abdomen, through the chest, into the neck. The vertebrae -- interestingly, the highest vertebrae, the first cervical one, has cancer in it. The cancer spread from the bottom of my abdomen to the top.

2.

2.1

In addition to staging, they -- they -- they look at the tumor, and under the microscope doctors can kind of say this a low grade tumor, this is a medium grade tumor, this is a high grade; high grade meaning, you know, really aggressive, fights hard, grows fast, spreads stuff. They told me early on mine was a high grade tumor. They could tell me that.

They did, through UC, two state-of-the-art immunotherapies, very kind of high tech treatments, that do have success for some people with bladder cancer, and both failed. And you can see they failed because they take -- what they do is they take pictures. They take pictures and they see that your lymph nodes have gotten bigger. They say: This is not working, we're not going to continue to give you \$25,000 injections, you know,

- you're kicked out of the program, let's try this
 program.
- And it's like the same thing, wow, this is

 a -- you know, they will give you -- they gave you a

 very good pep talking, really encouraging. I thought,

 wow, they have something here that's really designed

 specifically for my tumor in the sense that they can

 look at the tumors and see the receptors and target.

 Nowadays it's called immunotherapy. I mean they had an

 excellent immunotherapy option. That again failed.

The same day that I got kicked out of my second immunotherapy program I got a tape-recorded message from the DA's office saying, you know, get in touch with them about, you know, a grand jury.

So I'm stage four, highly aggressive tumor.

Would you like me to read two letters from doctors? No? One doctor says six -- six months to a year, probably less; another doctor says six months.

- Q Are you undergoing therapy?
- A Psychotherapy, chemotherapy, or what kind of therapy?
 - Q I presume it's psychotherapy.
- 23 A No, I'm not undergoing psychotherapy.
- MR. STOEN: Next question.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

JURY SECRETARY: Question number 97 from juror

1 636055.

2.1

- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Why were you concerned with getting the autopsy results the very day of Susan's death?
 - A I wasn't concerned with getting the autopsy results the very day of Susan's death. I don't know who -- who said that or where that information -- information came from. That's -- that's not a -- a true situation.

I had no contact really -- so on the day of Susan's death, the first people who come to the house are the emergency staff. So when I saw that Susan was dead, I dialed 9-1-1 and tried to very calmly communicate this woman was dead, they did not need to be coming with sirens and flashing lights and stuff, but you know, they need -- they needed to come.

The emergency medical people come. They confirm that. They leave a person there and call the police coroner's office. The coroner's office come. They interview me, take pictures, do -- do their thing, search the house.

So -- so while one female officer was interrogating me, so to speak, or getting my details or asking me questions, there was another male officer who's going through my house, finding -- you know,

finding stuff, you know, and -- and -- and searching the

2 house. I presumed that was all a regular part of any --

3 any death. It wasn't until February when I still had

4 been unable to get a copy of the death certificate and

5 | the Anderson Valley Advertiser had run a front-page

6 | article that I consulted an attorney. And the attorney

basically said, you know, just keep your mouth shut, you

8 know, don't do anything.

2.1

I was called by, I think he was a sergeant then, Scott Poma who said, "We want to talk to you.

Come on down and talk to us." And -- actually, no, that was before I hired the attorney. So -- so -- but in February, before I hired an attorney, Scott Poma said, "Come on down. We want to talk to you." And I said, "I'm willing to talk to you, but I know I'm a spouse and I'm entitled to a police report, autopsy report, you know, toxicology" -- "toxicology report." And he said, "Sure, I'll give them to you." So I said, "Great."

And so I went down and met with Officer Poma. But it wasn't like sitting down with somebody in his office, I was in an interrogation room and there was another sheriff in there. And I don't know who he is, but there was — there was a witness in there, and they — they — they started to ask me questions, which I answered.

And then I said, "Well, I want" -- you know,
"You said this was the deal. Let me see some of the
information."

2.

1.5

2.1

So he said, "Here's the toxicology report," and he handed me -- this is all Officer Poma -- he handed me a three-page document. And I read the first page and, sure enough, it had drug listings for drugs that I would believe were in Susan's system. I turned to the second page, it's a different font and there's a list of -- of cardiac drugs, heart drugs in very high amounts that would never in a million years be in Susan's system. And this was in fact the toxicology report from someone who had attempted to be resuscitated at a hospital, so CPR was done. They do the airway, breathe, circulate, but they used the drugs.

And so they gave me a toxicology report, and page 2 has all of these, you know, cardiac drugs. And it's like I said, this is — this is — this is not real. And at that point I knew for sure I was not just being interviewed for information purposes, but that I was a suspect because they had just tried to do a trick on me. So I got up and left and, you know, hired an — an attorney who advised me that don't talk to anyone, don't talk to — don't answer anything in the press, keep your mouth shut, and they're going to come search

- your house, so if you have anything in your house you don't want the police to find, I'm telling you they're
- going to be searching your house, you better -- kind
- 4 of come and get it.
- 5 Sure enough, they came in June and searched my
- 6 house. I don't know if you've ever been a victim of a
- 7 police search, but basically they take everything that
- 8 | was in one room and inspect it and pile it in the middle
- 9 of another room and they take the dirtiest, most
- 10 repugnant ugly blankets and nail them to your windows to
- 11 create darkness so they can look for blood or something.
- 12 I don't know what they're doing, but it was -- you know,
- 13 they left my house a mess.
- My attorney said, "Don't touch anything.
- 15 We're going to take pictures of this. This -- this --
- 16 this is really unacceptable what the sheriff's office
- 17 did to your house."
- 18 Q For the next question you can dispute the
- 19 premises as you wish: You keep saying suspicious
- 20 murder, but didn't you say this was a fall due to
- 21 drinking and drugs? Why would you say you thought this
- 22 was a suspicious murder?
- A Because that's what it's being investigated
- 24 as. I -- I -- I haven't -- I haven't seen a death
- 25 | certificate that says homicide. I have seen death

- 1 | certificates that say uncertain cause of death.
- 2 You're saying to me that it's been decided
- 3 that it's a homicide. I'd love to see that death -- the
- 4 death certificate that says that.
- 5 So I'm just staying in harmony with what other
- 6 people are saying, that this is a murder, you know.
- 7 Q Okay. I'll try to respond to your question.
 - A Sure.
- 9 Q I have before me Exhibit Number 23, which is a
- 10 | three-page document, and the third page states -- I'll
- 11 | show it to you, sir -- "Physician Coroner's Amendment"
- 12 and then it states on line 119, first of all, "statement
- of corrections could not be determined" and then the
- 14 next column says "homicide."
- A What was the date -- what was the date of
- 16 | that? When was that correction made?
- 17 Q I believe it was in August of 2012.
- 18 A No, this was issued August 1st, 2017. This
- 19 | was just -- just decided that it was a homicide. August
- 20 1st, 2017.
- 21 Q Okay. Would you take a look where it says
- 22 | "date accepted for registration" when it was filed with
- 23 the state and see what date that is, please.
- 24 A 8/15/12.
- 25 Q Thank you.

- 1 A Could -- could I actually read the death
- 2 certificate?
- 3 Q You may, sir.
- A I haven't seen this one.
- 5 (Brief pause.)
- 6 Yeah, this is, again, date issued and
- 7 | stamped -- I'd ask you guys to look at this. The stamp,
- 8 the color coded stamp, is date issued August 1st, 2017.
- 9 That's the first time that the cause of death is listed
- 10 | as a homicide. It was literally, you know, earlier this
- 11 month.
- 12 Q I missed one of the questions in the last set
- of questions: How long does it take for the sleeping
- meds you take to take effect?
- 15 A Uh, five, ten minutes.
- 16 O Five to ten minutes?
- 17 A Yeah.
- 18 Q And were these the same sleeping meds that you
- were taking on November 10th, 2010?
- 20 A No, no.
- 21 Q No?
- 22 A In 2010 I was using Restoril, also known as
- 23 | Temazepam. I do not use that medicine any longer. My
- 24 preferred sleep medicine, because it works really well
- 25 | for me, is called Zolpidem, Z-o-l-p-i-d-e-m. A five- or

- 1 ten-milligram dose can help me to be asleep in, you
- 2 know, ten minutes. Zolpidem is better known to most
- 3 people as Ambien.
- 4 Q And how long would it take the sleeping pill
- 5 that you were taking in November of 2010 to take effect?
- 6 A So the question is how long would the Restoril
- 7 | that I was taking in November of 2010?
- 8 O Yes.
- 9 A This is a much slower drug to act and lasts
- 10 | much longer. So that drug can have an effect anywhere
- in 30 to 60 minutes I would say.
- 12 Q Thirty to sixty minutes?
- 13 A Yeah.
- 14 Q Okay.
- MR. STOEN: Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 98, juror
- 17 | number 619185.
- 18 Q (BY MR. STOEN) If you believed that Susan had
- 19 | a drug problem or addictions and you were angry at her
- 20 for addictions, why did you provide prescriptions for
- 21 her and her friends to provide for opioids?
- 22 A I did until I stopped doing that, and I -- I
- 23 did it because Susan pretty much, you know, told me this
- 24 | is what she wanted to be -- me to be doing, and if I
- 25 | wanted -- wanted life to be good, then, you know, I

- 1 | should -- I should be doing this. So it was kind of
- 2 like, you know, if the queen in the house is happy, then
- 3 everybody -- everybody's happy.
- 4 Susan was not a person that's easy to say no
- 5 to. When I told her that I was not going to do it
- 6 anymore, she was angry at me and basically said,
- 7 | "Well, I can still get the drugs. I'll just be spending
- 8 your money" -- "more of your money to buy them on the
- 9 street."
- I was, okay, so be it.
- 11 Q This is sort of related: If Susan's addiction
- was the reason for you to file for divorce, why did you
- provide prescriptions to her for opioids?
- 14 A Well, I provided prescriptions to her for
- opioids because she pretty much demanded it and it was a
- 16 way to keep the marriage, you know, as harmonious as
- 17 I -- as I could keep it. At the point that I didn't
- 18 | want to be doing it anymore and I said, "let's get you
- 19 off this stuff," when she said she wasn't willing to do
- 20 that, she liked using the drugs, that's when I made the
- 21 decision to -- to divorce her, when she really wasn't
- 22 | willing to seek help with the problem.
- And the problem was growing. What started out
- as a small amount of drugs, her drug usage was
- 25 increasing and she was becoming much more kind of

- 1 private about it in the sense of when I say, "how much
- 2 | are you using," she just said she wasn't going to tell
- 3 me. So ...
- Q On the night of November 10, 2010 or early
- 5 morning of November 11, 2010, did you hear any noises in
- 6 | your house such as Susan entering your house or Susan
- 7 | falling?
- 8 A No. I have no recall of Susan coming home,
- 9 falling, calling out in any way. I was probably deeply
- 10 asleep by the time she got home from her party.
- 11 Q Did you clean up any blood in your house the
- 12 | night of November 10th to the morning of November 11th,
- 13 2010?
- 14 A No. No.
- Q Did you move Susan's body in any way before
- 16 | the authorities arrived on November 11, 2010?
- 17 A No. I touched her foot without moving it.
- 18 Q Thank you.
- 19 JURY SECRETARY: Question number 99 from juror
- 20 616901.
- 21 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Are you married at this time?
- 22 A Yes, I am.
- 23 Q And, if so, how long after Susan's death were
- 24 | you dating your current wife?
- 25 A I met my current wife three months after Susan

1 died.

2.1

- 2 Q You answered the third part of that question.
- And how old are you now, sir?
 - A I'm 65 years old.
- 5 Can I elaborate on the marriage?
- 6 Q Sure.
 - A Comment?

So Elizabeth Crawford and I have been dating for five or six years. She and I kind of early on made some rules; and one of the rules was no marriage, we keep separate homes, she has her own estate, you know, she -- she has her own resources, I have a separate estate. She has two kids; I have two kids. You know, we just wanted to keep things -- keep things separate.

When it became apparent to me, just about one year ago, that I had advanced cancer and was going to die, it was upsetting that my social security benefit, which is about \$2,000 a month, was going to basically disappear. Only a spouse can collect a social security benefit; it's not going to go to my children or anything. At that point I -- I -- I said to Elizabeth, "I know it breaks the rules, but I want to marry you and I want" -- and we got married on October 24th.

And I wanted to live to July 24th, which I did, because at nine months social security will honor a

- 1 marriage and your spouse can get your social security
- 2 benefit. You can't just marry a person and think the
- 3 | next day the money's going to go to them. You have to
- 4 marry and live for -- for nine months. So I married her
- 5 and asked her to marry me, partly because I love her,
- 6 partly because she's incredibly devoted to me and has
- 7 been really helpful through a bunch of rough stuff.
- 8 Can you imagine being the girlfriend of a guy
- 9 in a community where the rumor is he killed his wife?
- 10 You know, what girlfriend sticks around and just doesn't
- 11 say, you know, "I'm out of here." She stuck around.
- 12 | She's been helpful. I'm so glad that my social security
- will not be wasted but will help Elizabeth, you know,
- 14 play her bills.
- 15 Q The third question has already been asked and
- 16 answered, so I wrote that here.
- Fourth one: Do you take drugs, and which ones
- 18 do you take?
- 19 A I do take drugs. I take a -- a variety of
- 20 | medications. I have a pill box, and I take six pills in
- 21 | the morning and six pills in the evening.
- I can list to you the names of the medications
- 23 | that I use. You're asking -- the question is what drugs
- 24 does a dying man -- dying man take, and so I'm going to
- 25 answer the question that this dying man takes Metoprol,

- 1 M-e-t-o-p-r-o-1, 50 milligrams twice a day; I take iron,
- 2 | 325 milligrams once a day; I take Vitamin C, 500
- 3 milligrams once a day. It facilitates the absorption of
- 4 the iron. I'm losing blood all the time through my --
- 5 my kidneys.
- I take -- can you read me the list of drugs I
- 7 | said so far?
- Record read as follows:
- 9 "Metoprol, 50 milligrams twice a day; I
- 10 take iron, 325 milligrams once a day; I take
- 11 Vitamin C, 500 milligrams once a day.)"
- 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. I take the iron for
- 13 | anemia. I'm taking Coenzyme-Q, 10 milli equivalence a
- 14 day; in the evening time I take Zocor, Z-o-c-o-r, 20
- 15 milligrams a day; I take Escitolapram,
- 16 $\mid E-s-c-i-t-o-l-a-p-r-a-m$, 10 milligrams a day; I take
- 17 Ambien/Zolpidem, 5 or 10 milligrams at the bedtime; I
- 18 use cannabis tinctures and extracts as needed; I use an
- 19 | active culture probiotic; I take Advil/Ibuprofen,
- 20 | frequently. It's an over-the-counter medication, but it
- 21 | works extremely well for hip relief. I have to say I'm
- 22 | quite impressed that Ibuprofen gives me excellent
- 23 | relief. I use Oxycodone for pain relief occasionally.
- 24 It's unfortunately very constipating and it's not very
- 25 effective for pain relief in 10 milligram dose.

- 1 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Thank you, sir.
- 2 A I think that's a complete list.
 - Q What were you doing the night of Susan's death?
 - A In the evening time?

2.1

- Q I guess we're talking about the evening time.
 - A To be honest, I have absolutely no recall of what I was doing that evening. I was probably just hanging out at my house.
- 10 Q Did Susan withdraw from life due to her drug
 11 problem, meaning did she stay home all the time?
 - A No. She was very active, a high-participant person. The drugs gave her energy. So cannabis was an energizing drug; opiates, energizing drug.
 - So it depends when you -- what time of the day you encountered Susan. All of Susan's friends knew don't reach out to her in any way, shape, or form before 10 o'clock in the morning. She was not a morning person. Once she had her coffee and she got up and got going, then she was good to go way into late at night. So she -- it was typical for Susan to stay up to midnight, 1:00 a.m. on -- doing computer -- computer stuff; writing e-mails to her friends, playing computer games.

25 You have my computers. If you look at the

- timelines of the use, you'll see that there's a lot of
 computer use happening after midnight.
- 3 By the end of our relationship, we had kind of shifted our timelines a little bit so that I would go to 5 bed at, you know, 10 o'clock, wake up at 7:00, go out 6 for a walk, eat my breakfast, go out and ride my bike. 7 Susan would go a to bed around midnight, 1 o'clock, she'd wake up at 9 o'clock in the morning, she'd get up 9 and go. By the time I'm coming back from my bike ride, 10 she's not at the house anymore; she's moved on in the daytime. So it allowed us to kind of miss each other in 11 12 terms of sharing the physical presence of the house until, you know, later in the day. So we had kind of a 13
 - Q Next question: What were your assets at the time of Susan's death?
 - A The total value of the assets?
- 18 O Yes.

harmonic situation.

14

1.5

16

17

20

2.1

22

23

- 19 A I'd probably say \$800,000.
 - Q And how old were your kids -- I don't know if this is what was intended -- in 1996?
 - A In 1996 Luke would have been 13 -- it depends on what date in 1996, but I'm going to say 16 and 14.
 - Q Thank you.
- Was Susan active with her kids?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Did she attend sporting events and plays with
- 3 them?
- 4 A Yes. Susan was an excellent mother.
- 5 Q Did you take any drugs or alcohol after
- 6 finding Susan's body?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Was there a life insurance policy on you or
- 9 Susan?
- 10 A No.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- 12 JURY SECRETARY: Question number 100 from
- 13 juror 620342.
- 14 Q (BY MR. STOEN) All the self-loathing words in
- 15 her diary about herself, were these words/descriptions
- 16 | she heard from you during your marriage?
- A No. I was kind to Susan. And it's not that
- 18 | we didn't fight, but I would never try to break down her
- 19 personality because I knew how much hardship and
- 20 suffering she had in her life.
- 21 Remember, I knew what her birth trauma was. I
- 22 | had seen how challenging her parents -- her parents
- were. I knew that she needed to be nurtured and -- and
- 24 | supported, and I did that really, you know, very well.
- 25 It didn't stop the self-loathing. I wish she had shared

- 1 with me how bad things were. She was too prideful a
- 2 | person -- I'm speculating -- her pride or something
- 3 inside of her made it difficult to ask for help.
- MR. STOEN: Next question.
- 5 JURY SECRETARY: Question number 101 from
- 6 juror number 610214.
- 7 MR. STOEN: The first question has been asked
- 8 and answered.
- 9 JURY SECRETARY: Okay.
- 10 Q (BY MR. STOEN) What are the effects, if any,
- 11 | that might be identifiable by a doctor or trained law
- 12 enforcement professional of a person under the influence
- of hydrocodone?
- 14 A I don't think there's any observable effect
- 15 that a trained law enforcement officer could find in a
- 16 person using regular doses of hydrocodone. If you took
- large doses, the pupils get small, the respirations slow
- down, so -- but basically you -- nobody can say if a
- 19 person's on hydrocodone or not.
- There might be people in this room --
- 21 hydrocodone is a commonly used pain medicine. There
- 22 | might be people in this room who are on hydrocodone and
- 23 | you wouldn't know that -- know that at all, just the way
- 24 | you wouldn't know if somebody was taking Prozac or any
- 25 other medication.

And people -- they -- they refer to people
with, you know, high-functioning drug problems, they -they're lawyers, they're doctors, they're -- they're
highly successful people, but they're using drugs. It's
not making them in the face gutter -- you know, facedown

in the gutter, you know, necessarily.

2.1

Q Next question, it's a follow-up: What are the debilitating effects, if any, of opioid addiction; for example, job performance, money issues, social appropriateness?

A Job issues. It just depends, you know. For Susan I think it probably improved her -- her job performance because at the American Cancer Society she had to interact with people. The opiates lifted her up, gave her good spirits. Rather than having a crappy attitude as the afternoon drags on slowly, to be cheerful and upbeat to people who are dealing with cancer problems probably was good.

Other -- other people, opiates impair your judgment. If you're chatting with someone about cancer or other stuff, you know, impaired judgment is of little consequence. If you're operating a piece of heavy equipment, you know, you're a forklift operator or driving a truck or whatever where you want all of your facilities about you, that false sense of well-being

- 1 that goes with opiates makes you feel good, that that
- 2 | false sense of well-being causes people to forget and
- 3 miss details that they really need to be paying
- 4 attention to.
- 5 So if you're just kicked back at home,
- 6 little -- little goes wrong if you don't screw up the
- 7 dosing. If you're working in a crucial position, you
- 8 | could, you know, make mistakes that have consequence.
- 9 Q Have you ever, for recreational use, used
- 10 opiates or been addicted to opiates?
- 11 A No, I -- I have not been addicted to opiates.
- 12 | I have used opiates recreationally in the sense that if
- 13 | something's not feeling well and I have neck problems
- 14 and I want to go to a party, I can take an opiate and it
- will help me to feel better and be in less pain.
- 16 Like I say, opiates don't work that well for
- 17 me. Unfortunately, they have a high constipation side
- 18 effect, which is unpleasant for me. But I have never
- 19 | had, you know, opiate addictions. I have used opiates.
- 20 I'm using opiates, you know, episodically now because of
- 21 what's going on in my bones.
- 22 Q Are you aware that many people process their
- 23 | feelings in pain by writing and -- and/or keeping
- 24 diaries?
- 25 A Yes.

1 Q Did you post Susan's bathroom photos on 2 Facebook?

2.1

- A Yes, I did post bathroom photos on Facebook about a year ago. That bathroom -- the bathroom where Susan, I think, died had not been remodeled in -- in 30 years.
- Q What were you thinking when you did that?
- I was going to show pictures of the bathroom to my son because he was going to consult on a bathroom remodel that's happening right now. I took pictures with my -- on my phone. I went down to CVS pharmacy to print copies to send. CVS said, "The program on your phone is too small. You can use the Facebook program."
 - I used the Facebook program. Apparently I clicked the wrong button because it went up and was posted on Facebook, which was not the intent. I just wanted to use the Facebook system to get the picture printed. That was my mistake. When it was called to my opinion called to my awareness, I took the pictures down.
 - Those pictures were taken to show the -
 the -- if you look at it, you get all the aspects of the

 bathroom, to my son because his sister-in-law is an

 interior designer, and this was a way to get input on a

- 1 bathroom remodel.
- 2 Q Thank you.
- MR. STOEN: Next question.
- 4 JURY SECRETARY: Question number 102 from
- 5 juror number 626897.

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Tell us about your February

 2012 visit with the sheriff's department to the extent

 you have not done so.
- I didn't really -- it didn't last very long. I just
 wanted to kind of communicate that they showed me -they said they were showing me the document that was
 obviously not -- a false document. It had drugs in it.
 And that was when I realized I needed an attorney; don't
 talk to the police, just shut up and go get an attorney.

And that's -- and the fact that they invited me to come down, quote, "to have a conversation" but then put me in a windowless, artless, you know, interrogation room, tiny, with two guys in uniforms and one of them wearing, you know, a gigantic hat -- the sheriff's hats in a small room, they just seem huge, you know, when you're sitting right next to them.

- Q You have read dark statements from the written documents. Were there positive entries too?
- 25 A Yes, yes, definitely. Positive entries?

- 1 Q Yes.
- 2 A Yes. Oh yeah, yeah. I think I read
- 3 entries where she said, you know, --
- Q So you would agree that people write highs and
- 5 lows both; right?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay. Why did you prescribe drugs to friends knowing that Susan had a drug problem?
- 9 A This was the way -- this was Susan's idea.
- 10 This was the way for her to get drugs without making it
- 11 obvious that Dr. Keegan is writing a lot of
- 12 prescriptions for Susan Keegan. She used her -- her
- maiden name and she used her friends who were happy to
- 14 | cooperate with her. So it was a drug-seeking maneuver.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- JURY SECRETARY: Ouestion number 103 from
- 17 juror number 624323.
- 18 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Dr. Keegan, do your kids
- 19 | support you since Susan's passing?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Thank you.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 104 from
- 23 juror 611538.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Would you please explain the
- 25 | compounding effect of alcohol and hydrocodone, Vicodin,

and perhaps marijuana brownies?

2.

2.1

A So -- so when you put multiple drugs together, you -- you -- you get multiple effects. Opiates and alcohol are a particularly insidious combination because alcohol -- basically, this seems strange to people, but alcohol turns the brain off. It's a central nervous system depressant. Most of us like that because we have inhibitions. If we can turn off our inhibitions, we can be funnier, we can be friendlier, maybe we can sing, maybe we can dance.

But in the process of using alcohol to turn the brain off, you know, it makes us kind of goofy and confused. It really interferes with our balance. The part of the brain that controls your balance and coordination really gets affected, which is why, you know, impaired driving is -- is so difficult. But -- and people, you know, stumble and fall on alcohol because to -- to maintain uprightness -- I'll talk about it in first person.

For 190 pounds to maintain uprightness in a world with gravity that never gives up, that's a highly sophisticated -- sophisticated system. The brain is working very hard to take input from the eyes, to take input from the balance mechanisms in the ears, and to make input from feelers, the proprioceptors, and the

brain is -- is integrating that information to keep
us -- keep us upright.

1.5

2.1

It looks easy when you're standing there still, but, believe me, your brain is working very hard to factor in all -- all that information. And when you start to shake your head, yeah, it makes it a little bit harder because you're upsetting the nervous stimulating apparatuses. And if someone made you put a blindfold on and spun you around, we would see that you would probably be, you know, falling down.

As -- as higher amounts of alcohol are in the system, it also turns off a part of the brain that causes people to breathe. We don't breathe automatically. The brain tells the body to breathe. The heart beats automatically. The heart does not need anything from the brain in order to be -- you can take a heart literally out of a chest and it will continue to beat. Breathing comes from messages from the brain. If you turn off the respiratory center, then you slow down your breathing, you stop breathing, and you can die.

This is how people die. The two ways that people die from alcohol stuff is sometimes they -- half the time they just took too much and turned off their breathing center; half the time they're clumsy and uncoordinated, barf, they vomit, and the vomit blocks

their airway and they're too goofy and confused to kind of -- kind of clear the airway. So when people die from alcohol poisoning, it's usually either they block their airway with vomit or they turn it off.

2.

2.1

Opiates add to that. When you just say "and I'm taking opiates," it's like okay, well, here is another chemical that just, you know, works in -- not in an identical fashion, but a -- a similar fashion to turn off our sense of pain and suffering. It helps to turn the brain -- opiates help to turn the brain off, but then also activate a pleasure center. So when you take opiates, you still have that same problem that if you take too much, it turns off your breathing center, you know.

So -- and so there is a -- a -- an epidemic of overdose happening from opiates now and it's because the drug on the market fentanyl is a thousand times -- people say a hundred, but it's really a thousand times more potent than heroin. So people can't get the dosing right. If you have something that is a thousand times more potent, you don't know that you need to cut it into micro portions. So people are dying because they are taking heroin cut with fentanyl. They're taking their usual dose of heroin, which is a way overdoes for fentanyl. It turns off the breathing part of their

- 1 brain and then they die, you know, they're found without
- 2 respirations.
- 3 Q Thank you, sir.
 - MR. STOEN: Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 105 from
- 6 juror 616861.
- 7 MR. STOEN: First question has been asked and
- 8 answered.
- 9 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Now, why did it take 34 years
- 10 to be disturbed about Mrs. Keegan opening the window?
- 11 A I probably should have been disturbed about
- 12 | it, you know, a decade sooner than I -- I got around --
- 13 around to it. I think it was because she was
- 14 | simultaneously removing -- removing covers -- covers
- 15 | from me, so -- and I was just waking up being super
- 16 chilled and with more sinus symptoms and more -- more
- 17 | congestion symptoms.
- 18 A large part of those 34 years we lived in
- 19 different rooms in that house -- in that house or
- 20 different places where there wasn't a window that was a
- 21 few feet from my -- my house (sic).
- 22 Q Thank you.
- A So it didn't take me -- it didn't take me 34
- years to get upset about it.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question 106 from juror

- 1 number 624323.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Did Linda Puls, from your
- 3 knowledge, know that Susan used drugs?
- A Well, she knew that she used marijuana. I
- 5 don't think she knew about the opiates. She knew that
- 6 | she drank alcohol.
- 7 MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 107 from
- 9 juror 610214.
- 10 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Were you or Mrs. Keegan using
- 11 | or making marijuana brownies?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Did that include -- that included you, as well
- 14 as her?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Why do you think Mrs. Keegan had marijuana
- 17 brownies in her car on the day of her death?
- 18 A I -- I think those brownies were under her
- 19 front seat from a trip that we had taken before, where
- 20 | we had just put the brownies under the seat and were
- 21 | forgotten -- and were completely forgotten about.
- So the brownies under her seat were found on
- 23 the day of her death, but they were probably put there
- 24 perhaps even months before as a place that we just
- 25 | stashed the brownies while we were traveling and forgot

- 1 to take them out of the car.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you, sir.
- 3 JURY SECRETARY: Question number 108 from
- 4 juror number 624323.
- 5 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Do you know how many
- 6 pathologists have looked at or had a part in Susan's
- 7 autopsy?
- B A No.
- 9 MR. STOEN: Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 109 from
- 11 juror 640779.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) When was the last time you saw
- 13 Susan alive?
- 14 A I don't have a specific memory, but I think --
- 15 I think I found her on the morning of a Thursday. I
- 16 probably would have seen her in some moving about the
- house on Whitmore Lane on Wednesday, but I have no
- 18 | specific memory of what my last contact with Susan was.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- Okay. Well, Dr. Keegan, I know it's been a
- 21 stress for you to come here, but we thank you very much
- for doing so.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 24 Am I dismissed?
- MR. STOEN: You're dismissed, sir.

```
1
                THE WITNESS: Can I ask one question --
 2
               MR. STOEN: Sure.
                THE WITNESS: -- about this secrecy of the
 3
     grand jury?
 4
 5
               MR. STOEN: Yeah, you have to keep it
 6
     secret.
 7
               THE WITNESS: Keep it secret?
               MR. STOEN: Yeah.
 8
 9
                THE WITNESS: For how long?
10
               MR. STOEN: If there's no indictment issued,
11
     then everything becomes sealed, unless somebody makes a
12
     motion. If an indictment issues, probably there will be
13
     a transcript that's prepared maybe ten days or so later.
14
                THE WITNESS: So once the transcript is
15
     prepared, then it's okay for people to --
16
               MR. STOEN: I can't answer that because I'm
17
     too new at this business. I'm sorry, I can't answer
18
     that.
19
                THE WITNESS: Okay. And if no indictment is
20
     made, is the secrecy preserved?
2.1
               MR. STOEN: I think so. But, again, don't
22
     take my word for it because I haven't studied that
23
     question.
24
                Thank you.
25
               Are you ready for the next witness or do you
```

want to take a break? 1 THE REPORTER: I'd like a five-minute break. 2 JURY FOREPERSON: Okay. You're asked to 3 please not form any opinions, not discuss the case, and 4 5 be back in five minutes. 6 (Recess taken.) (Roll call taken; all jurors present.) 7 JURY FOREPERSON: We're all present. 9 MR. STOEN: Thank you. 10 Next witness is Dr. Jay Chapman. 11 THE REPORTER: Please raise your right hand. 12 13 A. JAY CHAPMAN, M.D. 14 Called as a witness, having been sworn, testified as follows: 1.5 16 17 THE REPORTER: Please state your name and 18 spell it for the record. THE WITNESS: A. Jay Chapman, C-h-a-p-m-a-n. 19 20 THE REPORTER: Thank you. 2.1 JURY FOREPERSON: The grand jury proceedings 22 and investigations are secret. You are therefore 23 admonished on behalf of the Mendocino County Superior 24 Court and the criminal grand jury not to disclose your 25 grand jury subpoena or your grand jury appearance to

anyone and not to reveal to any person any questions 1 asked or any responses given in the grand jury or any 2 other matters concerning the nature or subject of the 3 grand jury's investigation which you learned about by your grand jury subpoena or during your grand jury 5 6 appearance, except to your own legal counsel. This 7 admonition continues until such time as a transcript of the grand jury proceeding is made public or until 8 9 disclosure is otherwise authorized by the Court or by operation of law. Violation of this admonition is 10 11 punishable as contempt of court. 12 EXAMINATION

13

- (BY MR. STOEN) Good afternoon, Dr. Chapman. Q
- 1.5 Good afternoon. Α
- 16 How are you? 0

14

22

23

24

- 17 Α Fine, thank you.
- 18 Dr. Chapman, what is your occupation? 0
- 19 Α I'm a forensic pathologist.
- 20 And how long have you been a forensic 0 pathologist? 2.1
 - I started to say too long, but since -- I was boarded in 1970, so 47 years.
 - And what is your education, training, and experience?

After graduating from Carson Newman College in Α Jefferson City, Tennessee, with a degree of Bachelor of Science, I attended the Bowman Gray School of Medicine of Wake Forest University in Winston-Salem, North Carolina, and graduated with a Doctor of Medicine in 1964. From 1964 to 1965 I served an internship in pathology at the North Carolina Baptist Hospital. From 1965 until 1968 I was a resident in pathology at Baylor University Medical Center in Dallas, Texas. From 1968 to 1970 I was a fellow in legal medicine or in forensic pathology at the Medical College of Virginia in Richmond, and also there at the office of the chief medical examiner of the Common Wealth of Virginia. 1970 I was examined by the American Board of Pathology and certified in anatomic and forensic pathology. from 1971 until 1982 I served as chief medical examiner for the State of Oklahoma. In 1982 I moved to Santa Rosa and I went into private practice of forensic pathology, which I am still doing. For 15 years, from 1982 until about 1997, I was the forensic pathologist for Sonoma County. I also did cases, homicides and suspicious deaths, from Lake County and Mendocino County and other cases from Shasta County and also was involved in Orange County, several different counties in California. In 1997 I did not renew my contract with

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- 1 the Sonoma County Coroner's Office and subsequently
- 2 moved to the country of Nepal. And for -- until 2008 I
- 3 was a Professor of Forensic Medicine at the National
- 4 University in Kathmandu teaching third-year medical
- 5 students forensic medicine. Then I came back here in
- 6 | 19 -- in 2008, and since then I have subsequently had
- 7 | another contract with the coroner's office in Sonoma
- 8 | County, which just ended at the last of June, and for
- 9 the previous two years, until July, actually, of last
- 10 | year, for about a year and a half I was doing, again,
- 11 | the bulk of cases in Sonoma County. And I still do
- 12 private practice. I cover for the pathologists here in
- 13 | Mendocino County and Lake County when she wants time off
- 14 or needs a vacation, and I also do consultations on
- 15 various cases through -- with lawyers throughout
- 16 California.
 - Q And could you tell us, what is forensic
- 18 pathology?

- 19 A Forensic pathology is that branch of
- 20 medicine -- first of all, pathology itself, the term,
- 21 | means study of disease. And forensic pathology is that
- 22 division of the field of pathology generally that deals
- with cases of unnatural deaths primarily and
- 24 determines -- tries to determine the cause and manner of
- death. Briefly stated, it's applying the principles of

- 1 | medicine to legal situations or judicial matters.
- 2 Q How many autopsies have you conducted over the years by your best estimate?
 - A I don't know for sure. I have never kept an accounting. But because of the years involved and the number of cases I averaged a year, something in excess of 10,000.
 - Q I would like to call your attention to a decedent named Susan Keegan who was found deceased on November 11th, 2010, and ask you whether you have reviewed the records in that case?
- 12 A I have.

6

7

9

10

11

13

23

24

- Q And who brought you into that case?
- A The District Attorney, Mr, Eyster, actually officially brought me into the case.
- 16 Q And how did he do that and when did he do it?
- A This was in 2011. Unfortunately, I've lost my
 entire file that I had originally. I think I put it
 inadvertently in a pile of things to be shredded, but I
 reconstructed most of that file. But I remember that in
 early -- sometime in 2011 Mr. Eyster asked me to review
 this case in detail.
 - Q And what was your attention after beginning review of the case, what was your -- what caught your attention, if anything?

- A Well, the injuries and the nature of them in
- 2 the case. And I reviewed the autopsy report of
- 3 Dr. Trent, I reviewed a consultative report of
- 4 Dr. Ferenc, I reviewed the -- I also had the
- 5 | supplemental report of Dr. Trent and the investigative
- 6 reports, I went to the scene of death with a search
- 7 | warrant that was served, and I have looked at all of the
- 8 photographs that were taken at the autopsy and at the
- 9 scene and of the clothing.
- 10 Q And on the basis of that review, did you come
- 11 to any conclusions as to the cause of Susan Keegan's
- 12 death?
- 13 A Yes, I did.
- 14 Q And what was your overall conclusion?
- A Her ultimate cause of death was blunt force
- 16 injuries, in my opinion.
- 17 Q And what does that mean, blunt force injury?
- 18 A Well, blunt force injuries are injuries that
- 19 | are sustained due to some assault with a blunt object.
- 20 | Well, it doesn't have to be an assault. They are
- 21 | injuries that are due to -- that are inflicted by a
- 22 | blunt object as opposed to a sharp or cutting object.
- A blunt object might be this tabletop here,
- 24 the flat portion. It might be a baseball bat. It might
- 25 be anything that has not sharp edges or protruding --

- 1 sometimes protruding things are present, but I think we
- 2 generally know what a blunt object is.

11

12

15

16

- Q Is that the same thing as -- as a death by natural causes or by unnatural causes?
- 5 A Well, it's obviously in this case, in my opinion, due to homicidal means.
- Q Okay. Now, after your looking at this case at the district attorney's suggestion, did you write a letter indicating what your understanding of cause of death was?
 - And I show you People's Exhibit number 13 and ask if you recognize it and, if so, let me know.
- A Yes, this is a letter that I wrote on the 20th of April, 2011.
 - Q Okay. Could you please read for us the first sentence in the second paragraph as to your opinion.
 - A What I wrote here was:
- "Based upon this review, it is my opinion
 that the injuries observed on the body are
 assaultive in nature and were not reasonably
 produced by accidental means."
- Q Is this an opinion that you stand by to this day?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q I'll just put this on the screen so people can

- 1 | see it. It's probably too hard to read.
- 2 Is this the letter you wrote to David Eyster
- 3 on or about the date indicated, which was April 20th,
- 4 2011? Is that correct?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q Now, in order to -- before I have you go into
- 7 | your -- your findings and the process by which you made
- 8 them, I need to have you authenticate the fact that you
- 9 have reviewed a number of exhibits in this case.
- So I'm going to show you what's marked as
- People's Exhibit number 7, that has -- goes from 7-A
- 12 | through 7-S, ask you to flip through that and tell me if
- 13 | you have reviewed those documents as part of your
- 14 finding in this case.
- 15 A Yes, sir, I have seen these and reviewed them.
- 16 O You've seen them?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q So were these documents, Exhibit 7, part of
- 19 the basis for your conclusions as to the cause of death
- 20 for Susan Keegan?
- 21 A Not necessarily the cause of death, but it
- 22 played a role in it, yes.
- Q Okay. They were part of your findings in this
- 24 case --
- 25 A They were a part of the findings.

- 1 Q -- that you took into account?
- 2 A Yes, I did.

1.5

2.1

Q And then I show you what are marked as

People's Exhibit Number 9, which are autopsy photos, and

ask you to review those, I think there's 58 photos in

there or so, and tell me whether or not you reviewed

those in the process of coming to a conclusion as to the

cause of death of Susan Keegan.

A Yes, sir, these are the autopsy photos that I reviewed.

Q Thank you.

Now, I'm going to show you People's Exhibit

Number 10, which I'll represent to you is a necropsy

report, and ask you if you recognize this and, if so,

identify it and tell us whether or not you also reviewed

that document in coming to your conclusion in this case.

A Well, the autopsy report of these first few pages here, I did review them, and they are the autopsy report of Dr. Jason Trent. Then there is a physician coroner's amendment, which I have seen, and the certificate of death. I've seen that also. Then there is a laboratory report from NMS Laboratories regarding toxicology in this case, and I have reviewed all of these documents.

Q Okay. You took all of that into account in

- 1 your conclusions, did you?
- 2 A Yes, I did.
- Q I show you a document that has the return

 address of Michael Ferenc in Portland, Maine, and ask

 you to take a look at that and tell me if you recognize

 that, and please identify it and tell us if you reviewed

 that as a basis of your -- as part of the basis of your

 conclusion.
 - A Yes. It's a three-page report by Dr. Ferenc, and I did review it.
- 11 Q Thank you.

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- And then I show you People's Exhibit Number

 14, which I'll represent to you is a supplemental report
 on necropsy from Jason Trent that was dated April 27th,

 2011, which was seven days after the letter to

 Mr. Eyster, and ask you if you recognize that and, if
 so, please identify it.
 - A Yes, sir. This is Dr. Trent's signature on a supplemental report which essentially restates findings and cause of death.
 - Q Thank you.
- And did you review this as part of your conclusion?
- 24 A I did.
- 25 Q Now, did you at some time also prepare a case

- 1 | review of this case on or about March 30th, 2015?
- 2 And I show you this document and ask you if
- 3 you recognize it and, if so, please identify it.
- A This is a document that I reviewed, along with
- 5 another report from the -- another copy of the report
- 6 from NMS Laboratories.
- 7 Q Okay. Did you prepare that document yourself?
- A I did.
- 9 Q And what does it represent?
- 10 It represents my opinions in the case and also Α 11 gives the other findings. I put in regular black font 12 the background, some of the history and things, the red were things that I had questions about and I also 13 14 highlighted in red some of the things that were mentioned in the autopsy protocol, and then I have a 1.5 16 lavender font that represents my opinions and my 17 findings in the case based upon the autopsy report and
 - Q Thank you, sir.
- 20 (Exhibit 17 was identified.)

the photographs that were taken.

- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Now, I would like to ask you
 to just go through the process by which you were
 involved in this case after Mr. Eyster asked you to
 first get involved. Could you just walk us through
- 25 that, please?

18

- 1 A Well, what I did was, I was given the autopsy
- 2 report, the photos, and at a later point I -- I met then
- 3 with the sheriff's office, the coroner's office, and
- 4 | with Dr. Trent, and then subsequent to that at a point
- 5 | when a search warrant was served, I went to the house
- 6 where Susan Keegan died and viewed the scene.
- 7 Q Now, did you, in the process of meeting
- 8 Dr. Trent, meet him after he wrote his first necropsy
- 9 report?
- 10 A Yes, I did.
- 11 Q And where did you meet him?
- 12 A On April 20th, 2011 -- I know that date
- because it's reflected in this supplemental report that
- 14 | is here -- I went -- I phoned Dr. Trent and told him I
- 15 | wanted to meet with him. And so I went by his home
- 16 because --
- Q Where was that? Where was his home?
- 18 A He's in Ukiah.
- 19 Q Okay.
- 20 A And so I went by his home before the meeting
- 21 | that we had with the sheriff's office because I don't
- 22 | believe in blindsighting people. I wanted to let him
- 23 know that I -- my opinion was different from his that he
- 24 had come to in the autopsy.
- Q What was his opinion?

A It was the -- that there were blunt force injuries to the head due to a fall. And I disagreed with that opinion, but I wanted to let him know and I wanted to let him know why.

2.

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.3

So what we did, I took my computer that had all the photos in it and I went photo by photo through -- with Dr. Trent pointing out to him injuries that I saw in the photos that were not mentioned in the autopsy protocol and why my opinions about some of the findings were different from the findings he had come to. And then he accompanied me to the meeting at the coroner's office and we discussed the whole case. And subsequent to that Dr. Trent issued the supplemental report that had -- in which reflected a change of his opinion in the case.

Q And did the change -- did his change of opinion reflect your opinion in your letter to Mr. Eyster?

A Yes, with one exception. In his report he lists that there is toxicity of alcohol and drug, but my interpretation is that it is intoxication and not toxicity.

Q What's the difference?

A Well, you can be intoxicated with alcohol; but if you have alcohol poisoning, that's toxicity. If you

- 1 have too much, then you get poisoned by it. But prior
- 2 to that point, you are intoxicated by alcohol.
- And the same thing happens with drugs. You
- 4 are intoxicated with a drug until you reach the point
- 5 where it is a fatal level, and then it is toxic.
- 6 Q Now, would you -- would you say then that
- 7 Dr. Trent, after your conversation with him at his home,
- 8 changed his opinion to agree with you basically?
- 9 A Essentially that's correct, yes.
- 10 Q Okay. Now, was there some discussion with the
- 11 | sheriff's office for a review of Dr. Trent's necropsy
- report that you had with Sergeant Poma?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And tell us about that.
- A Well, that was prior to my involvement in the
- 16 case. And I was -- I think I was in Ukiah to do an
- 17 | autopsy that day, and he asked me to come by the office.
- 18 O Who did?
- 19 A Sergeant Poma.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A And so he asked me would I review a case for
- 22 him. And I said, "Yes, I can review a case for you."
- 23 So he asked me then what would be the charge for doing
- 24 that, and I said I -- at that time I just charged a flat
- 25 fee. Sometimes it was good for me and sometimes -- more

- 1 often it was horrible because I wind up spending many,
- 2 | many, many hours on a case. But I told him I have a
- 3 | flat fee of \$750. And he thought that that was too
- 4 expensive, so I did not have any involvement then in the
- 5 case until Mr. Eyster then asked me to review the case
- 6 later.
- 7 Q So do you know what Scott Poma did when --
- 8 | when he found -- when you found that his -- when he
- 9 | found and told you that the \$750 fee was prohibitive?
- 10 A Well, he then apparently sent the case to Mike
- 11 | Ferenc to review it, and Mike Ferenc then subsequently
- 12 issued the report that he had.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A And those reports went to the district
- 15 attorney's office, and then Mr. Eyster got me involved
- 16 in the case.
- Q Okay. Now, have you taken some of those
- autopsy photos that you found particularly meaningful
- 19 and made either reproductions or made them more glossy
- or made them enlarged so that you could assist this
- 21 grand jury in following the course of your reasoning in
- 22 | coming to the conclusions that you did?
- 23 A Yes, I have.
- Q And could you please tell me how many there
- 25 | are? Is there a certain order you would like to present

- 1 them in?
- 2 A Yes, I have them in order.
- Q Okay. I'll call the collective group, the
 next exhibit, which would be Exhibit 46 for all of
 these, we'll call these the Dr. Chapman selected photos,
- 6 autopsy photos.
- 7 A I believe there are 16, if I've counted them 8 correctly.
- 9 (Exhibit 46 was identified.)
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Okay. Now, would you be able to -- this is a machine called an Elmo machine, and it allows the jury --
- 13 A Right.
- Q -- to see on the television screen what the person is talking about.
- 16 A Yes.

18

19

20

2.1

- Q Would you be willing to just take these photos one by one and walk the grand jury through the process by which you came to the conclusion of blunt force trauma?
 - A Post-its don't release as easily as they say.
- Well, when I first looked at this case,
- because of the general findings, I felt that -- that
- 24 this was certainly not a case that was due to accidental
- 25 | means because of the nature and distribution of the

1 injuries.

2.

2.1

Now, I will be talking to you about different kinds of injuries. Most -- and virtually all of these injuries are blunt force.

I'll be talking about, first of all, contusions, which there are many in this case.

Contusion is our medical name for bruise. And you've all seen bruises on yourself, unless you've lived in a bubble, somewhere and you know that they start out as either reddish or purplish-red and then over a period of days they change colors to greenish and brownish and yellow, and finally they disappear. Depending on how big they are, they can disappear fairly rapidly; but if they are huge bruises, they can last for a long time.

So we can't accurately date bruises or contusions even with a microscope; we can say they're either fresh or they are older. But giving an exact time, like to say four days or five days or two days or a week, that's not possible to do. But we can say they're either fresh, they were made very shortly before death, or they're older.

The other -- one of the injuries I'll talk about is an abrasion. Now, an abrasion, essentially, most commonly is just a scrape of the skin. And you all have had abrasions as well and you know that sometimes

- 1 they can bleed slightly if they're deep, but often --
- 2 most often they look reddish-brown and they heal up and
- 3 they leave without any scars. So that's an abrasion.
- The other injury I will speak about is a
- 5 laceration. Now, a laceration distinguishes an opening
- 6 | in the skin from a cut. A cut or an incision is made
- 7 | with sharp force, but a laceration denotes tearing or
- 8 | bursting of the skin. The skin is just literally torn
- 9 apart by some blunt force that's applied to it and the
- 10 margins are irregular and it has many other
- 11 characteristics. But that is a laceration. So when I
- 12 | say laceration, it does not imply a knife injury at all
- or a sharp force injury, it is a blunt force injury and
- 14 | it's a tearing or a laceration of the skin or organs or
- 15 whatever is involved.
- 16 So those are the basic terms so we have them.
- Now, what I will do is first begin with the
- 18 head. And if I can stand up.
- 19 Q I have a laser pointer here, if that would
- 20 help. You have to just press this little button here.
- 21 A Okay. This doesn't show up on here very well,
- 22 | but if you look -- you will have a chance to look later.
- 23 This is photograph number 001.
- Q Maybe could you hold it up at first and then
- 25 | put it on there.

1 A You can see it's much brighter if you look at 2 it here.

The things of importance in this photograph number 1 shows --

- Q Could you describe what it is so that the jury, if they need to, can find it, a particular individual photo?
- A Okay. I've labeled it right here 001.
- 9 Q Thank you.

2.1

A It's a photograph of the face. So this is at the time of autopsy. And you'll see, if you look at this, there's contusion represented by purplish discoloration of the nose and there's also a clot of blood here in the nose. So it appears to be slight deformity of the nose. I can't be sure, but the findings certainly would be consistent that there might be a fracture under there, but I can't be sure about that.

But another important thing is right here in the forehead. And if you look at this photo itself, you'll see a distinct area of contusion, and this area is all purplish here, it's very deep purplish, and confirming that that is contusion. When we see another photograph where the scalp is peeled back, there's hemorrhage under there.

what a contusion was, did I? A contusion is blunt force injury that causes the escape of blood into the tissues, and that's what causes the discoloration. And the changes in the -- in the coloration of the contusion is due to the breakdown of blood that occurs. Now, we'll mention more about that in a minute.

2.

1.5

2.1

2.2

In the forehead here, just beside this contusion there is an abrasion, that's a little round area that could be seen, and then over here is another contusion, and then over here above the lateral aspect of the left eye, above the eyebrow, is another contusion in this area. None of these contusions were mentioned at all in the original autopsy report. So these are findings I've made from the photographs.

And there's also an abrasion which was described as a contusion here on the lip, and it seems minimally contused. But abrasions and blunt force injuries occur together. You can have an abraded contusion and so forth. And then there are some apparent contusions here in the lower lip down just above the chin.

 $\label{eq:solution} \mbox{So those are one of the first findings that \mathbf{I}}$ $\mbox{made from that photograph.}$

Now, here is a closer photograph. This is

- 1 034, and it's labeled on here as 034. And here you can
- 2 | see better this contusion. It's a very dark purple area
- 3 | that you couldn't see as well in the other photograph.
- 4 And here is a -- the abrasion I mentioned and then on
- 5 over here is more contusion. You can see here the
- 6 purplish contusion of the nose. There's also contusion
- 7 here in the medial aspects of the eye. All of this is
- 8 part of the same injury. And then here at the lateral
- 9 aspect of the right eye there is abrasion. So she had
- 10 | multiple injuries around the head.
- 11 This is 033, which is just another close-up.
- 12 | So you can see better the abrasion that is on the lip
- 13 and some of the changes that are present just above the
- 14 chin, as well as you can see the contusion here on the
- 15 nose.
- Now, that's about what we can see from the
- 17 | photographs and from the report on the -- on that aspect
- 18 of the head.
- This is 018, which I've labeled right here for
- 20 you, and this is the back of the head. And if we're
- 21 | looking at it right, it's oriented in this way. But the
- 22 | head -- the face is here, the back of the head is here.
- 23 We're looking at the upper back of the head. And you
- 24 can see that there is this tearing of the skin, which is
- 25 | a laceration, and it's a rather large laceration, as you

can see.

2.

2.1

And there is -- okay. That's the only one I have of that. But there are other photographs in the other file that show also this. But one can see here that this aspect of the right aspect of this laceration has undermining. It has been torn loose from the skull underneath. What does that indicate to me? That indicates that the force that was applied to this, to produce this laceration, came from the left to the right. It was not a straight-on blow that caused this, but it was from the left to the right, which caused the skin to tear and then tear loose on the right side.

Okay. The -- now, in relationship -- one of the other things I had to take into consideration in this, she is alleged to have fallen backwards on the sharp edge of the counter. That would not be consistent with this injury because this injury is vertical. And if she had fallen straight back on the counter, I would expect the injury to be horizontal with the force indicated going upward.

Now, additionally what helps to refute the idea of falling backwards on the counter is this photograph, which is 026. In the front of the scalp there's also this laceration. And, I'm sorry, that's the only photograph that is decent of it or the most

- decent, but to properly examine a head injury of this
- 2 type, the entire scalp hair should have been shaven so
- 3 that one could see all of the bruises that might be
- 4 present in the scalp and possibly hidden by the hair.
- 5 And, you know, sometimes we can even overlook
- 6 lacerations that may be present. But that was not done.
- 7 But these two lacerations were identified.

So if this woman had a single fall to the back
of her head onto this counter, it's no way possible that
she could have gotten this laceration as well. And the

- 11 laceration that we have is not consistent with her
- 12 | falling backward onto the counter straight back. And
- 13 the bathroom in which she was is a very narrow little
- 14 L-shaped thing, and there would have to be an extreme
- contortion of the body to get the body in such a way
- 16 | that this laceration could have occurred. I don't think
- 17 it's possible.

Now, this is photograph number 052, and this

- 19 is taken from more toward the right side of the body.
- 20 And you can see that under the scalp, once it's
- 21 deflected -- this is the skull here that you can see,
- 22 but under the scalp there's all this massive hemorrhage.
- One cannot get hemorrhage of this nature by a single
- 24 blow or two blows. This is the result of multiple blows
- 25 to the head. It just cannot occur otherwise. And

1 consistent with that are the separate blows that we see 2 over the forehead where I could see in the photographs

3 that contusions were there. So this hemorrhage had to 4 result from multiple blows.

2.1

And this is photograph 051 -- 57 -- 57 -- or 54, I'm sorry, 054. It's another view showing that the major portion of the blood was present on the front and on the right side more so than on the left and, of course, in the back where the laceration was.

Okay. Now, at the scene it was noted the laceration, of course, and so forth, but at the scene also it was noted changes in the hands. She was slumped back against the counter -- the cabinet and there was blood on the edge of the counter and -- but on her clothing that she has, one would have to notice that, in spite of the nasal injury here, there was no dripping of the blood on the front of the clothing. That's number one.

And then we have these injuries to the hands.

Now, Dr. Ferenc in his report and the people maybe at the scene and others have concluded that that might be lividity. Now, I need to explain lividity to you.

Lividity occurs when the blood stops circulating through the body. Once the heart ceases pumping and the person is in cardiac arrest, then the blood does not any longer

circulate. But the red blood cells and the elements of
the blood settle out in the body in obedience to the
laws of gravity. So if the body's laying on the back,
there becomes a purplish discoloration in the body on
the back, and that is called lividity, and usually it is
purplish. So if the body's on the front, the lividity
occurs on the front. So it's in the dependent portions
of the body.

2.1

So she was -- her back was against the -- she was in a semi-sitting position back against the cabinet. So some of the people have interpreted these findings in the hands to be lividity, but I suggest they are not lividity at all, but they are contusions that are contiguous and perhaps with more than one blow in an area producing contusion.

And helping to confirm that is in this photograph, which is number 011. This is the back of the right hand, and you can see that there's not only the contusion — if this were lividity, the entire hand would be involved with it, but this is not the entire hand. You see there's spaces here that are not involved at all, but yet here is bluish-purplish discoloration and here is purplish discoloration and so forth. And In addition to that, there is an abrasion — there's a small abrasion, but it's an abrasion nonetheless —

1 indicating that blunt force has been applied.

2.

2.1

2.2

Now, to properly investigate lesions of this kind, in order to be certain that it is not lividity but is contusion, one should make an incision in it so that you see hemorrhage in the tissue and that confirms absolutely it is contusion. That was not done in this case. But I think from the findings in this, it's absolutely certain that these are contusions with this abrasion. There was another abrasion or a little punctate laceration here which — actually, before the body was cleaned up — had blood on it, dried blood that was present on it, on the thumb. And you can see it in this photograph, which is number 013.

The last photograph was 029 that I showed you a closer-up view of the contusion or the abrasion. But this little lesion right here, when initially viewed at the scene, in the photograph you can see that there is blood on it dried up.

This is the palm of the right hand, and this is photograph 025, and here you can see, again, the whole area is not involved but here is contusion, here is contusion, and different areas involved.

The findings also on the right, on the finger, this is the index finger, 014 is the photograph number, here is an abrasion. And this was out of focus

slightly, it's fuzzy, but there's no question that
that's an abrasion, which again confirms that blunt
force occurred.

1.5

2.1

Then this is the left hand, and the findings are very similar. The dorsal of the hand is involved, the fingers are irregularly involved with contusion, as well as the palm. This is photograph 005 of the outer aspect of the thumb of the left hand and this is the inner aspect.

Now, there is another thing on this photograph, however, which is 020, that is also important. This contusion here is very markedly different from these here. Now, I told you that we could tell when contusions are fresh. They're purplish like this or they're old. This is an older contusion that looks reddish-brown. So it has been there for a few days and it's a rather large one.

This is a photograph of the abdomen and lower chest. This is photograph 004. And what we are seeing here are abrasions. But now you remember, I told you that abrasions that occur on your own body and when you're alive, they're reddish-brown, and these are yellowish and they look parchmentlike. If you look at them in the original photograph, they look like a greasy parchment. And those are postmortem. These are

injuries that occurred after this woman was dead.

2.

1.5

2.1

However, in this same photograph we can see that here is a contusion. And up over the breast there is some irregular areas that are very faint-appearing contusion with a slight abrasion right at this point.

And this is photograph 004. So you can see this contusion here in this fold of skin over the upper abdomen/lower chest and then in the left breast these contusions that cover this area here and — with the abrasion.

Photograph 021 shows the same thing, but a closer view of this contusion that is here. It's sort of a straight edge type of contusion. This could have something to do with the fold of the skin itself. So we can't say what kind of an imprint that — it may not be an imprint at all, but it does appear certainly to be a contusion.

And, finally, this is photograph 022, and this is the left shoulder here. So this is the left breast where I was just showing you these injuries that don't show up so well on this photograph, but there are also some faint what appear to be contusions over this area going up toward the shoulder.

Now, the importance of those could indicate very much that the body was moved postmortem. There's a

lack of blood dripping from the nose, there is no
massive bleeding from the head, and scalp wounds bleed
profusely, and we have these postmortem injuries that -and the contusion in the breast area that could be --

5 could be consistent with the body having been move after death.

Q Thank you, sir.

2.1

A The other indication -- the other question that comes up is about when did death occur. The stomach contents were examined, and there was a full meal in the stomach. The gastric contents had been regurgitated and then went down in the lungs. There also appears to be -- in some of the slides appears to be some blood mixed with it, but it's a -- it's an aspiration of gastric or stomach contents into the lungs.

That is the mechanism of death. This woman suffered these injuries and she aspirated stomach contents into her lungs and that's the immediate reason why she died, but the underlying injuries are the cause of her doing the aspiration.

Q And if you were -- based on your experience as a pathologist over these years, what would be the most reasonable scenario for these multiple contusions, abrasions, and lacerations on the body of Susan Keegan?

A Well, this woman obviously, to me, was assaulted. And she is -- she's under the influence of drugs and alcohol. The -- if I may refer to my notes. I can't keep all of this stuff in my head.

2.

2.1

She had a level of .16, 0.16 percent alcohol, beverage alcohol. That's twice the limit in the law that is presumed for drunk driving. So she was twice the legal limit for driving or to be DUI. She had some Buspirone, which there has never been a fatality reported from that drug, and it was 51 nanograms per milliliter. She had been smoking tetrahydrocannabinol, or marijuana, and that is reflected in her blood. She had free hydrocodone.

And as you -- I'm sure you know from all the stuff in the paper that's been going on, and especially in living in Mendocino County, that opioid -- it is an opioid drug and it is an abused drug, but it is a narcotic analgesic. Her level was 67 nanograms per milliliter. The levels found in toxic cases vary widely, lie they are from 130 to 7,000, and usually they're in the upper ranges of that, but certainly usually above 130, and her level is 67.

Escitalopram was 100 -- excuse me -- 150, and that is a bit above the therapeutic level, but there is -- I don't know what the toxic levels are or the

- 1 | fatal levels, overdose levels. And then there was a
- 2 drug called Zolpidem that was present at the level of 54
- 3 nanograms per milliliter. But, again, the blood
- 4 concentrations of 1120 nanograms per milliliter gives
- 5 headache, drowsiness, and so forth. So that certainly
- 6 is not a toxic level of drug.
- 7 Q Would the amount of alcohol and drugs that
- 8 you've just referenced be enough in and of themselves to
- 9 cause the death of Susan Keegan?
- 10 A Not my opinion. That's what I've been
- 11 explaining here, those levels are -- one of them is
- 12 | slightly above therapeutic. Alcohol is also present,
- which can cause synergism with the drugs. And alcohol,
- 14 together with a drug, can cause an effect that is
- 15 greater than the level of the drug or alcohol together,
- 16 but with these levels and the relatively low level of
- 17 | alcohol, I don't believe that is the case.
- Now, back to your question I didn't get to
- 19 | answer, --
- 20 O Please do.
- 21 A -- how did these injuries occur -- were
- 22 incurred.
- Q Yeah.
- 24 A Now, I mentioned --
- A JUROR: Excuse me, I'm sorry, I need to take

- 1 a break here.
- MR. STOEN: Okay. That's fine. We can do it.
- 3 We're all human.
- A JUROR: I'm actually feeling a little faint.
- 5 I need to go to the restroom.
- JURY FOREPERSON: Please don't discuss the
- 7 case, form any opinions, talk about the case. Thank
- 8 you.
- 9 (Brief recess taken.)
- JURY FOREPERSON: We're all accounted for now.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- 12 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Dr. Chapman, do you have an
- opinion as to whether -- if there was this blunt force
- 14 | trauma cause of death, whether -- if an implement had
- 15 been used of some sort?
- 16 A Well, yes, it's necessary for something to
- 17 have been used to cause these blows. The blows occur to
- 18 the hands, as well as the head, as obviously you see in
- 19 the photographs.
- I mentioned -- just a moment ago I went
- 21 | through the list of drugs and so forth that were
- 22 present, so she was obviously intoxicated. If she was
- 23 intoxicated on alcohol alone, if only alcohol had been
- 24 present, she would have still been intoxicated, but she
- 25 also had some drugs on board. So she's intoxicated.

And the injuries that I described to her hands resulted from some object striking them, as well as striking the head. So the most reasonable way that these injuries incurred were by her trying to defend herself from blows to the head. And I can see an intoxicated person sort of halfway being able to do that and receiving blows to the head and to the hands, but some object was used, some blunt object. I suspect that the object probably did not have a great deal of weight to it like a wooden baseball bat, but something like a metal, an aluminum baseball bat would be considered. Ιt. would be very compatible with this. But any other object that I suggest would be sort of rounded. And so it didn't produce definitive lines or marks, but you see slight abrasions occasionally, and what you're seeing mainly is contusion. So my suggestion is that this is consistent with a blunt object that is rounded to some degree at least, that did not have sharp edges or protrusions to it.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

The suggestion that it is a fairly light instrument is that there was no skull fracture associated with this or was reported. There are photographs of the skull, but there are no photographs of the top of the skull after the skull -- the cranial -- the skull cap was removed. So I can't

- 1 confirm that by photographs, but it was reported that
- 2 there were no skull fractures. And indeed there are no
- 3 injuries per se that you can see to the brain itself,
- 4 but one can have -- with this type of injuries to the
- 5 brain, one undoubtedly has some concussive injuries to
- 6 the brain itself, but those cannot be appreciated
- 7 | grossly, or looking at the brain itself.
- 8 Q Looking at the lacerations on her head,
- 9 particularly the posterior one, or the one on the back
- of head, were you able to detect whether or not an
- 11 | implement was used in any way to create that laceration
- 12 and, if so, would there be a direction of travel that
- 13 | you could estimate?
- 14 A That's what I explained a while ago, is that
- 15 the force came from the left to the right to produce the
- 16 undermining that I showed you on the right side of the
- 17 | laceration. And so if this -- whatever object was used
- 18 | had to have the force directed from the left side toward
- 19 the right side to produce that particular injury.
- 20 Q Based on your special experience and training,
- 21 | Doctor, are you able to determine if a deceased person
- 22 | committed suicide?
- 23 A Well, in many instances, yes.
- 24 Q Is there any indication whatsoever, in your
- 25 professional opinion, that Susan Keegan's death was a

- 1 result of suicide?
- 2 A There is zero chance of that being suicide.
- 3 These are not self-inflicted injuries.
- 4 MR. STOEN: Those are all the questions I
- 5 have. We'll get some from the grand jury now. They're
- 6 active.
- 7 A JUROR: Can we go off the record?
- 8 MR. STOEN: Off the record.
- 9 (Off the record briefly.)
- MR. STOEN: Let's go back on the record.
- 11 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Are you able to estimate the
- 12 | time of death in this case?
- 13 A Well, there's certain indications. As I
- 14 started to explain and then we went off on some other
- 15 | tangent, the stomach contained a full meal. And usually
- 16 | a full meal will stay around for four hours or so in the
- 17 | stomach but will be reduced in volume, but this was
- 18 | still pretty good volume.
- The body at the scene appears not to have been
- 20 | in rigor mortis. Now, rigor mortis is the stiffening of
- 21 | the muscles that occurs after death and it develops over
- 22 | a period of hours depending upon temperature. It's
- 23 temperature dependent, so the body will just simply
- 24 become stiffened in whatever position it is in. But the
- body in this case could obviously be moved, the hands

and so forth, and so rigor mortis was not at least completely developed.

1.5

2.1

The other thing about lividity, which I mentioned before, is that it -- you remember what I told you was that it is the discoloration that occurs in the dependent portions of the body due to the fact that the blood settles out. Okay, up till about -- at ordinary room temperature, up till about nine hours to 12 hours after death, if we put pressure on that lividity, it will show blanching. But after a period of time -- again, dependent on temperature -- it will become fixed, and so if we put pressure on it, it does not blanch out.

The other thing about lividity is that the lividity will change position until the time that it becomes fixed. In other words, you could have a body that died facedown and has lividity in the front; but if the body has not been dead very long, when it's placed over on the back, after a few hours, the lividity disappears from the front and then will reappear in the back.

Now, in this case there is an indication that the lividity is not yet fixed because, as we see at the scene, in the photos she is down on the floor leaning back against the cabinet. Okay, at that point one does not expect lividity to be in the neck because it's not

- 1 dependent. So you expect the lividity to be in the
- lower part of the body. But if one looks at one of the autopsy photos, I think number 23, I'm not sure --
- Q On your list or in the --

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

A In the regular file. If you would give me that, I can look.

The body has been raised up and there is a photograph where you can see the back of the neck and the lividity is definitely in the back of the neck. So she has been dead hours before she was found. How many hours, there's no way that — and despite all of the detective stories and all the stuff you see on the TV and all the CSI and all of that, no one can tell you exactly the time of death unless they were there and had an accurate watch. But — so short of that, we can only guess hours. But I would say she died within a few hours or within — within four or five hours of when she had the meal, and all the findings with change of lividity and the lack of complete rigor and so forth are all consistent with that. But the exact time, no one can tell you.

- MR. STOEN: Thank you, Doctor.
- We have some questions now, I think.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 110 from
- 25 juror number 624323.

- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Dr. Chapman, in your opinion, did Susan have enough drugs and alcohol in her system to
- 3 be intoxicated?
- A I think we've answered that.
- 5 Q Yes.
- A Yes, she was intoxicated; but she did not have enough, in my opinion, to be called an overdose of drugs.
- 9 Q The second has been answered by the first.
- Oh, excuse me, no, sorry.
- If so, i.e., she was intoxicated, is it possible that Susan could have fallen?
- A She might have fallen, but she didn't fall 800 times to produce all these injuries.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 111 from juror 641677.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Based on your vast experience,

 did you find it surprising that the police did not do

 more extensive investigation work at the scene of Susan

 Keegan's death?
- A Absolutely. The -- the initial investigation
 was not complete. And there are various reasons that
 have been given by the sheriff's department for that,
 but that's all I care to say about it.

- Q Okay. Your methodical description of the body
 makes a conclusion of death due to fall a difficult
 conclusion to reach. Dr. Trent is an experienced
 forensic pathologist. Does it surprise you he could
 have reached such a different conclusion?
 - A Well, I don't like criticizing another pathologist, but yes, I was surprised at that conclusion. And what surprised me most, I think, was the fact that he did not document all of these injuries and he did not shave the scalp. He did not make incisions into the injuries of the hands to make sure that they were contusions and not just lividity, which they are obviously contusions, but that has to be confirmed, should be. And so those things to me, yes, are surprising.
 - Q The third question was: What did you conclude was the time of death?
 - And I believe you said it would be within four hours of her meal, approximately?
 - A Four to five hours. It depends on the nature of the meal. Gastric emptying time is -- it's all over the place. So it's just a guess.
- MR. STOEN: Next question.

1.5

2.1

JURY SECRETARY: Question number 112 from juror 610214.

- THE WITNESS: You people have written volumes
- 2 here.
- 3 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Assuming that Mrs. Keegan --
- 4 I'm adding a word "assuming" to this question.
- 5 Assuming Mrs. Keegan, according to her close
- 6 | friends, was not a heavy drinker and they -- and that
- 7 | they had not seen her intoxicated, could she have been
- 8 forced or -- tortured and forced to inject the drugs and
- 9 alcohol?
- 10 A Ingest, not inject, I guess.
- 11 Q Ingest.
- 12 A Ingest.
- Well, that's just a matter of speculation.
- 14 That's a matter of speculation, and I'm not going to go
- 15 | there. I can't -- I can't speculate. The only thing I
- 16 can say is she had the drugs and alcohol in her blood.
- 17 Q When you describe Mrs. Keegan's wounds, I
- 18 picture her being severely beaten over time, like as if
- 19 | tortured. Is that a possibility?
- 20 A Well, the same thought struck me, but it's
- 21 | very difficult to call something torture. But certainly
- 22 | there was a multiplicity of blows to the head and to the
- 23 hands. They appear not to have been intended as lethal
- 24 blows per se because there is no skull fracture, even
- 25 | though there are lacerations to the scalp.

and looking at the description, it could be that one might infer that there was some degree of torture in this. And if we define torture as the infliction of nonlethal wounds to cause pain and suffering, that's — that's consistent with it. I can only say that, but I wouldn't per se be able to make the diagnosis of torture.

2.1

Q I believe that question has been -- by an arrow to be number one has already been answered.

Could the injury to the back of her head be by her head striking a vertical surface in the house, like a door or door frame edge, refrigerator edge, etcetera?

A It would have to be, I think, a fairly sharp edge to do that. Although, blunt objects can -- the scalp does not reflect -- let me start over.

The scalp -- when you have injuries to the scalp, lacerations and such, the scalp does not reflect well the nature of an object that has made the injury.

And so yes, it's possible she could have fallen and had this injury.

I had a friend fall in my house against a bookcase and she had a laceration to her scalp in that very area. So yes, it's possible. But it wasn't that big of a laceration, and she was a very big woman

- 1 falling. But that's an extensive laceration, so we have
- 2 to take that into account. But, again, that's
- 3 speculation.
- But in the presence of all of these other
- 5 injuries, all of these injuries could not possibly have
- 6 been caused by falling, in my opinion. I mean there are
- 7 | just too many of them. And how do you account for the
- 8 injuries on the hand? Those did not occur from falls.
- 9 So the multiplicity of injuries, I would tend to
- 10 discount that the injury to the head was -- to the scalp
- 11 was due to a fall.
- MR. STOEN: Next question.
- 13 A JUROR: Is it possible to clarify that
- 14 question?
- 15 MR. STOEN: Why don't you ask me just off the
- 16 record.
- 17 (Off the record.)
- 18 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Could she have been slammed
- 19 against a door or refrigerator or door frame, in your
- 20 opinion?
- 21 A Certainly that would be possible.
- MR. STOEN: Okay.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 113 from
- 24 juror number 624323.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Is it true that your

- 1 observation is only your professional opinion or theory?
- 2 A Well, I'm rendering my opinion based on my
- 3 training and experience, so some several years, and the
- 4 observations here are recorded. I mean the findings are
- 5 recorded.
- 6 MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 114 from
- 8 juror 620342.
- 9 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Could the weapon be an empty
- 10 liquor bottle?
- 11 A Possible. It's a rounded blunt object, and if
- 12 | it -- with the force that was used to inflict these
- 13 | injuries, I wouldn't expect such a heavy bottle to be
- 14 broken.
- Q When you say such a heavy, you mean full?
- 16 A No, no, I mean heavy glass.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A Liquor bottles, from my experience with
- 19 them -- I have none, of course -- they tend to be rather
- 20 heavy glass. And so with the -- I think that a glass
- 21 | bottle of that type could be used to inflict these
- 22 | injuries without breaking is what I'm saying, because
- 23 there was no skull fracture and things like that.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question 115 from juror
- 25 616861.

- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Would you still bruise if already dead?
 - A No. As a general rule, no. There is -- it's a possibility to inflict a bruise postmortem or an injury that looks like a bruise in some instances, but that virtually never happens. No, these are antemortem injuries.

I don't think -- because in order to produce -- the reason that you can say that without any question is that in order for the blood to infiltrate the tissues like this, there had to be active blood pressure for the -- because the blood vessels are injured, there's hemorrhage in the tissues. In order to have that hemorrhage infiltrating the tissues, you had to have active pumping blood pressure.

Q Thank you.

1.5

2.1

A So there's no question that these are not postmortem injuries; these are antemortem.

- Q Could the levels present in her blood be detrimental for a regular drug abuser?
- A Well, drug abusers tend to get where they tolerate drugs at higher levels. So I would say no.

 They would maybe know they were high a bit, but I would expect them to have greater levels than this.

JURY SECRETARY: Question 116 from juror

1 609424.

7

9

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q (BY MR. STOEN) I'm going to rephrase this a

 little bit: If someone insisted that Mrs. Keegan was a

 long-time and serious drug addict and since there was no

 damage to her internal organs, could that be possible?
- 6 A Could what be possible?
 - Q I didn't -- someone insisted Mrs. Keegan was a long-time and serious drug addict. Since there was no damage to her internal organs, could that be possible?
- 10 If you can answer that if it's clear.
 - A Well, there was no evidence of long-term ethanol abuse or things like that in the body. So that's as far as I can go.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question 117 from juror 624323.
 - Q (BY MR. STOEN) In your opinion, did Susan expire from her choking on her vomit or from her head injury?
 - A Well, I tried to explain that. Cause of death -- let's see, how can I explain it the best.
 - Okay. Say someone dies, they have suffered a gunshot wound and they had a gunshot wound to the back, went through the spinal cord and, as a result, they were paraplegic thereafter. Okay? This happened in 1920 --

or I'll say 1950. I'm too old. 1950 this patient had this injury; they became paraplegic. As a result of the paraplegia, they go to hospitals, they have various hospitalizations, they have urinary tract infections, they have all the problems that go with paraplegia over the years, and ultimately they die from kidney failure, okay, because of all these infections and everything. So what in that is the cause of death? The cause of death is the gunshot wound to the back. The -- that initiated the whole series of things that went on with that patient from there until the time of death. the gunshot wound, we would not have had the kidney infections, the paralysis, and all of the other things that went on with him. So the cause of death is what initiates everything in a series that brings death

1

2.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

about.

The terminal event in this case, the mechanism of death, is the physiologic event that makes life impossible. And in this case she had aspirated gastric content, or choked on it, as you said, down into her lungs. So aspiration of gastric content was the final event, but that's the mechanism of death.

What we have is a woman who is intoxicated with alcohol and drugs, she has been beaten severely about the head, she obviously has concussive injuries to

- 1 her head, she's tried to defend herself with her hands
- 2 and so forth, and as a result of her having the injuries
- 3 and her intoxicated state, she has aspirated the
- 4 vomitus. So the cause of death is blunt force head
- 5 | injuries; the mechanism of death or the reason she
- 6 immediately died was the aspiration of the vomitus.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question 118 from juror
- 8 620342.
- 9 Q (BY MR. STOEN) When you say the body possibly
- 10 was moved, do you think from a different room or the
- 11 body was moved into a different position in the
- 12 bathroom?
- 13 A Well, with the injuries on the front of the
- 14 body, it would be consistent with someone having lifted
- 15 her from the back with the band of her pants that she
- 16 | had on, the pajama pants I quess they were, causing the
- 17 postmortem abrasion in this area. The shirt could have
- 18 been doubled up to produce these. So it would be
- 19 | consistent if somebody moved her from the back.
- 20 There is not a great deal of blood in the
- 21 | bathroom, but -- it would be speculation whether they
- 22 moved her to a different position, but I think the
- 23 indication is that she was moved because of these
- 24 | injuries and the fact that there is no dripping of blood
- 25 on the front of the shirt that she has where it's

- 1 | obviously -- she -- her head is bent over that area,
- 2 that it's consistent with having been moved. But from
- 3 where she was moved, how far she was moved, that's all
- 4 just speculation.
- 5 MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 118 from
- 7 juror 616861.
- 8 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Absent the aspiration of food,
- 9 were the injuries enough -- severe enough to cause
- 10 death?
- 11 A I would say that the injuries that were
- 12 | visible, it would depend on the amount of concussion
- 13 that she had associated with these injuries, which we
- cannot appreciate by just gross examination of the brain
- 15 | after death, that these injuries would have been
- 16 survivable, yes.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- JURY SECRETARY: That's it.
- 19 MR. STOEN: That's it. Dr. Chapman, thank you
- 20 for coming to join us.
- JURY FOREPERSON: One more.
- MR. STOEN: Oh, we have one more.
- THE WITNESS: I want to congratulate the jury.
- You've asked me more questions than any jury I've ever
- 25 seen.

- 1 MR. STOEN: This is some jury.
- 2 Anybody else have a question? I guess we have
- 3 one more.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 119 from
- 5 juror 611538.
- 6 Q (BY MR. STOEN) This question says: Perhaps
- 7 Susan fell a few times before she felt necessary to
- 8 | vomit, rushing to the toilet, she fell head long into
- 9 the doorjamb, then bounced and spun into the wall and
- 10 vanity. Is that a possibility?
- 11 A I don't believe so.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- 13 Anything else?
- Okay. Well, for a second goodbye, thank you.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 16 MR. STOEN: I'm going to move all those
- 17 exhibits into evidence. Did you leave them here?
- 18 THE WITNESS: They have them.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you, Doctor. Appreciate it.
- I'm going to be the next witness in this case,
- 21 and I'm going to be just reading from Exhibit Number 16,
- 22 | which is a set of e-mails that Susan Keegan wrote in
- October and November 2010, to show her state of mind.
- 24 And I've kind of summarized key sentences, so I'm not
- 25 going to read all the e-mails. It's called "Listing of

- 1 Susan Keegan, e-mails from October/November 2010."
- October 2 to Helen Dunn: "Peter is telling me
- 3 he wants a divorce."
- 4 October 3 to Helen Dunn: "I am relying on my
- 5 friends to get me through this."
- 6 October 10 to Karyn Feiden: "Fortunately, I
- 7 have lots of support of friends."
- 8 October 15 to Karyn Feiden: "Thanks for being
- 9 on my side, even though sides are not necessary. It
- 10 still feels good."
- October 20 to Oni and a mass mailing: "Come
- 12 | see me as the Player Queen in Hamlet at Mendocino
- 13 College. We have a wonderful production."
- October 21 to Elaine Richard: "We are already
- 15 | practicing our set, including, among others, Santa Baby
- 16 and Silent Night."
- 17 October 24 to Oni LaGioia: "The And Who
- 18 | Sisters, more info on our Christmas party gig."
- 19 October 25 to Karyn Feiden: "Give me a few
- 20 days to get my resume re-polished."
- 21 October 28 to Karyn Feiden: "The play opens
- 22 | tonight. My dad and Nancy sent me beautiful flowers for
- 23 opening night."
- November 2 to Mary Pierce: "Hamlet is going
- 25 | well. People like it. I'm looking forward to seeing

- 1 | you next week. We'll eat before we talk business."
- November 3 to Helen Dunn: "I can see that in
- 3 | a few years things will be okay."
- 4 November 4 to Karyn Feiden: "This remains a
- 5 | very attractive work opportunity." That's with
- 6 reference to Karyn Feiden trying to get her a job with a
- 7 foundation in New York.
- November 4 to Mary Pierce: "Yes, my first
- 9 days as a blond, and it is truly fabulous. I sparkle.
- 10 I glow."
- November 5 to Karyn Feiden: "Wonderful edits
- 12 | in Susan's resume to a New York foundation. Thank you
- 13 so much, cuz."
- And the edited resume is included in this
- 15 exhibit.
- 16 (Exhibit 16 was identified.)
- MR. STOEN: Now, one question that has come up
- 18 | from Peter Keegan has to do with a request that you, the
- 19 | grand jury, request certain additional exculpatory
- 20 evidence. And as I read to you in my packet, you have
- 21 | the right to request other evidence that will, quote,
- 22 | "explain away the charge." That's the key, will the
- 23 evidence that somebody wants to be brought into this
- 24 case that I have not presented explain away the charge.
- One of the issues had to do with getting

1	documents from the state as to the drug usage of certain
2	people, if I recall correctly. You can correct me if
3	I'm wrong. The other has to do with computer
4	information on the computers that were seized in June of
5	2011.
6	So with respect to the latter question, Andy
7	Alvarado, our supervising district attorney
8	investigator, has some knowledge on that, and I'm going
9	to have him come in here and explain what he knows. And
10	then the foreperson can take over and address ask you
11	guys, as the grand jury, if you want us to try to pursue
12	this additional evidence.
13	(Brief pause.)
14	MR. STOEN: You'll have to be sworn again.
15	THE REPORTER: Will you raise your right hand,
16	please.
17	
18	ANDREW ALVARADO
19	Called as a witness, having been sworn, testified as
20	follows:
21	
22	THE REPORTER: Please state your name.
23	THE WITNESS: Andrew Alvarado.
2 4	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
25	MR. STOEN: Just recite the fact does he

1 remember the charge that you gave him.

JURY FOREPERSON: The secrecy admonishment.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

JURY FOREPERSON: So you remain under that.

THE WITNESS: No problem.

1.5

2.1

2.2

EXAMINATION

Q (BY MR. STOEN) Mr. Alvarado, the question has come up as to what was done with the computers that were seized from the home of Peter Keegan in June of 2011, whether or not the contents of that — of those computers had been reviewed by the DA's office and whether or not anything was found that was — that would explain away the charges in this case.

A So the computers that were seized from Mr. Keegan's residence, a secondary search warrant was prepared for them. They were given to a — they were given to the chief investigator of the Lake County DA's office, who is a forensic computer examiner, or was at the time. He has since retired. He was part of the Northern California Computer Crimes Task Force in Napa. He went through and made forensic copies of the computers and then searched the computers for anything of relevance related to this case at or about the time the crime occurred in November of 2010.

1 Additionally, myself and Chief Investigator

2 Kevin Bailey went to the DA's office sometime after he

3 did his examination and did an additional examination.

4 We did key word searches for murder, crime scene

5 | cleanup, blood, homicide, etcetera, we also just

6 generally looked at the contents of the computers, and

7 didn't find anything at all that we felt was relevant to

8 assist us in our investigation.

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Did we go through every item or every file on the computer? No. We did -- we gave him generally what we were looking for, Investigator Woodworth, to look at. He searched for those things. We felt we wanted to do just an additional search on top of what he did. He sat with us and did those additional searches, and we didn't find anything that we felt was relevant to this case.

Q And where are those computers now?

A Those computers are in my possession. And we have a forensic copy; unfortunately, the forensic copy isn't viewable. It's put into a format that only certain software can view, and so you have to be a forensic computer analyst to -- well, you don't have to, but to have the software is very expensive. So we have a forensic copy of the computers and we also have the computers themselves.

Q Now, if there was going to be a total thorough

search of those computers, would these computers have to be shipped out to any more specialized people?

that Chief Woodworth has retired, the closest location is the group that he used to work with, which is the Computer Crimes Task Force in Napa. They would then take those forensic copies, put them into a format that was viewable by me, and they could also do any searches at my request. Normally, because of their workload and the amount of resources they have available, it takes anywhere from four to six weeks, if we're on a fast pace, to get returns on what we're searching for.

Q So what you're saying is if the grand jury wanted to obtain that information, there would be, as a matter of average, a delay of four to six weeks from today?

A Correct.

1.5

2.1

MR. STOEN: That's all the questions I have.

Anybody from the grand jury?

JURY SECRETARY: Number 120 from juror 616861.

Q (BY MR. STOEN) If Dr. Keegan was browsing or searching in private mode, would your search yield anything?

A I believe there would still be some evidence. Based off my training and experience in dealing with

- 1 | computers, often cases, say, of sexual abuse or child
- 2 pornography, etcetera, we can recover data that's
- 3 been deleted or data that's been viewed in private
- 4 mode. I honestly don't know, though, in 2010 if the
- 5 browsers were set up to have private viewing modes or
- 6 not. I know they do today, but I don't know if they did
- 7 then.
- MR. STOEN: Next question.
- JURY SECRETARY: Question number 121 from
- 10 juror 609424.
- 11 Q (BY MR. STOEN) Were you able to see or read
- 12 Susan Keegan's e-mails?
- 13 A No. We did not -- we did not look at them,
- 14 nor did we view them.
- 15 JURY SECRETARY: Question number 122 from
- 16 juror 620342.
- Q (BY MR. STOEN) Dr. Keegan seemed adamant to
- read Susan's e-mails, as they were possibly her new
- 19 | journals and diaries. Do you have any comment on that,
- 20 whether or not there would be, I guess, new journals and
- 21 diaries on those computers?
- 22 A Anything's possible at this point. If she was
- 23 sending e-mails to people or anything along those lines,
- 24 | anything's possible. I would just say that's -- that's
- 25 too broad to answer with any accuracy.

1 MR. STOEN: Next question. JURY SECRETARY: Number 123 from juror 610214. 2 3 0 (BY MR. STOEN) Are the computer and forensic files available to the defense should an indictment 5 proceed? 6 Α Yes, absolutely. Those are things that we 7 must turn over as part of discovery. In your opinion, are you satisfied that a 9 thorough search has been done on the computers for this 10 purpose? 11 Α Yes. 12 A JUROR: I'm sorry, could you repeat that? THE WITNESS: Yes, I do feel a thorough search 13 14 has been done of the computers for what we thought was 1.5 relevant to this case. 16 JURY SECRETARY: Ouestion number 124 from 17 juror 636055. 18 (BY MR. STOEN) Did you find any general 19 entries of diaries authored by Mrs. Keegan? 20 Α No. 2.1 MR. STOEN: Anything else? 22 Thank you, Mr. Alvarado. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. STOEN: Ladies and gentlemen, it's time 24 25 for me to make a little brief closing statement because

- 1 the case is about to be submitted to you for
- 2 deliberation.
- The evidence is now closed. All the evidence
- 4 that has been collected is available for you to look at.
- 5 I did prepare a -- well, I labeled prematurely as a
- 6 revised and final list of exhibits, but there's about
- 7 four additional ones that have come in. You'll get
- 8 everything except for maybe the last four items. Each
- 9 of you will have that to -- if you need to look at
- 10 | something, you'll at least have a number.
- 11 I'm going to go over the legal instructions
- 12 once more with you, the key ones particularly.
- A JUROR: Is it possible to cite the page
- 14 you're on so we can follow along?
- 15 MR. STOEN: I'll do my best because I don't
- 16 have it by memory myself.
- JURY SECRETARY: Are you talking about the
- 18 jury packet?
- 19 MR. STOEN: I'm talking about the jury packet
- 20 now. I've had some supplemental ones prepared that are
- 21 usually used in a jury trial, but I thought they would
- 22 be good just to have to be a little more complete even
- 23 in this proceeding.
- JURY FOREPERSON: Excuse me, we have a couple
- 25 | jurors that would like to take a break while you're

- 1 getting that together.
- MR. STOEN: That's fine.
- 3
 JURY FOREPERSON: We'll take a five-minute
- 4 break again, ten-minute break. It's five to 4:00 and
- 5 | we'll re-gather at five after 4:00. Thank you for not
- 6 discussing the case and forming any opinions.
- 7 (Recess taken.)
- JURY FOREPERSON: Would you like me to do roll
- 9 | call again?
- MR. STOEN: Yes, please.
- 11 (Roll call taken; all jurors present.)
- JURY FOREPERSON: We're all here.
- MR. STOEN: Thank you.
- Ladies and gentlemen, I want to thank you for
- 15 the wonderful way you've paid attention to this case.
- 16 It was a compliment to you that Dr. Chapman said he's
- 17 | never seen so many jury questions come in, and I feel
- 18 | the same way.
- 19 We're here to do justice, and it's going to be
- 20 | in your hands. Only you are going to be able to make
- 21 | this decision. I have prepared a summary of the
- 22 | criminal grand jury procedures just simply for the
- foreperson, to assist her in the process, and I'm giving
- her the original form of the indictment, which you have
- 25 | a copy of in your grand jury packet. I'm briefly going

- 1 to go over some of the instructions because that's
- 2 what -- those are the rules. "Instructions" simply mean
- 3 the rules by which you make your decision. And so if
- 4 you want to refer to your packet.
- Now, as I told you in my opening grand jury
- 6 instruction number 1, which is a key one, there was
- 7 | a typo in the last paragraph which I've amended in a
- 8 | handout that you have. So let me just read it to
- 9 you.
- The grand jury shall find an indictment when
- 11 all the evidence before it, taken together, if
- 12 unexplained or contradicted, would, in its judgment,
- warrant a conviction by a trial jury.
- 14 The California Supreme Court has explained
- 15 | that the standard of proof for returning an indictment
- 16 | is probable cause. And there's a quote from the Supreme
- 17 | Court:
- "It is the grand jury's function to determine
- whether probable cause exists to accuse a defendant of a
- 20 particular crime. In other words, the grand jury serves
- 21 as part of the charging process of criminal procedure,
- 22 | not the adjudicative process that is the province of the
- 23 | courts or trial jury."
- 24 "'Probable cause' means a state of facts as
- 25 | would lead a man of ordinary caution or prudence to

- 1 believe, and conscientiously entertain, a strong
- 2 suspicion of the guilt of the accused. 'Reasonable and
- 3 probable' cause may exist although there may be some
- 4 room for doubt."
- 5 The Johnson Rule I just briefly mention, it's
- 6 where you have the right to ask for additional
- 7 | exculpatory evidence that you believe will explain away
- 8 the charge.
- 9 Yes, sir.
- 10 A JUROR: Would you be willing to clarify the
- difference between probable cause and compare that to
- 12 beyond a -- what --
- MR. STOEN: If you return an indictment in
- 14 this case, you are basically charging -- you are making
- 15 an accusation that sends this case to a regular trial
- 16 jury. You are not deciding quilt or innocence in this
- 17 | case. You are deciding probable cause of guilt, but you
- 18 | are not finding -- you are not being asked to find
- 19 beyond a reasonable doubt.
- A JUROR: Thank you.
- 21 MR. STOEN: So there's a different standard of
- 22 certainty at a trial level than is in a grand jury
- 23 proceeding.
- Does that clarify?
- A JUROR: Yes, it does. Thank you very much.

MR. STOEN: So then you have the Johnson Rule, again, evidence that will explain away the charge.

2.

1.5

2.1

2.2

Witness credibility. You have to evaluate the credibility and believability of these witnesses using your common sense and experience. You have to judge every witness by the same standard, whether they are an expert or a lay person. And you may believe all, part, or none of any witness' testimony.

And then there are things that you have to look for, behavior and remembrance, understanding of the question, attitude about the case, etcetera.

Then you have the definition of evidence. As I mentioned before, evidence is what came from the mouths of the witnesses. I can not emphasize this strong enough: That grand jury packet you have is not evidence. If anything came in that's inconsistent with it, you look at the evidence. And particularly that applies to my letter to the foreperson, which was to lay out a map. So if there's something stated in that letter that didn't come forward in evidence, then you have to disregard it totally.

So the evidence are the witness statements under oath and the exhibits that have been identified by a witness and brought into evidence.

And I am hereby receiving all identified items

- 1 of evidence into evidence.
- 2 (All exhibits identified were received.)
- 3 MR. STOEN: You have direct and circumstantial
- 4 | evidence. They're both -- direct is eyewitness;
- 5 circumstantial is based on inference. In the law they
- 6 each have the same value.
- 7 Note-taking. Keep in mind that it's good to
- 8 take notes to refresh your recollection, but the point
- 9 was to listen to what the witness said. Use the notes
- 10 only as a secondary means to remember what was said in
- 11 court.
- 12 No independent investigation is allowed.
- 13 Anything that I said in this case is not
- 14 evidence.
- 15 So the two most important instructions are
- 16 instruction number 1 and instruction number 10. Now,
- 17 | instruction number 10 --
- 18 JURY SECRETARY: About number 9, did we talk
- 19 | about that?
- MR. STOEN: Number 9, I did skim over that.
- 21 | That's -- what I tried to say is that the evidence is
- 22 | witnesses produced who were sworn and they were
- furnished by writings, presented to the senses,
- 24 etcetera.
- JURY SECRETARY: Okay.

1 MR. STOEN: So what are you looking for.

2.

1.5

2.1

You're detectives and trying to find what the facts are in this case. You basically find out what the facts are based on what the witnesses say. And when you find the facts, you have to find out what the standards are — the standard in the law is for the particular crime involved.

And so that's where you come to instruction number 10. It talks about the elements of second degree murder. That's the only charge before you. So the subject person in this case is charged with second degree murder with malice aforethought. And to prove by probable cause that this person committed this crime, the People must prove that:

- (1) This person committed an act that caused the death of another person; and
- (2) When this person acted, he had a state of mind called malice aforethought.

This can be of two kinds: Express if there was an unlawful intent to kill, or implied if the person committed the act, and the natural and probable consequences of the act were dangerous to human life, and at the time that person acted he knew his act was dangerous to human life, and he deliberately acted with conscious disregard for human life.

It does not require hatred or ill will towards the victim. It is a mental state that must be formed before the act that causes death is committed. It does not require deliberation -- which is what first degree murder would be, it would be premeditation -- or the passage of any particular period of time.

2.

2.1

An act causes death if the death is the direct, natural, and probable consequence of the act and the death would not have happened without the act. A natural and probable consequence is one that a reasonable person would know is likely to happen if nothing unusual intervenes. In deciding whether a consequence is natural and probable, consider all of the circumstances established by the evidence.

There may be more than one cause of death. An act causes death only if it is a substantial factor in causing the death. A substantial factor is more than a trivial or remote factor. However, it does not need to be the only factor that causes the death.

If you find by probable cause that the subject committed murder, it is murder of the second degree.

Now, you will find that in your jury packet I listed at the outset our anticipated witnesses. And those anticipated witnesses, I think, all did appear, but there's two additional ones. One is Gary Hudson,

who was the -- who was in the play with Susan Keegan, and the other was Dr. Peter Keegan himself. All of these witnesses must be taken into account in your

deliberations.

1.5

2.1

- When it comes now to exhibits, we started out with not as many as we have, and right now I think we have -- we're at 46. Your initial list was only 32. So what I prepared this morning for you was up through what I thought would be the end, which was 44, but then we've added two more, which is that e-mail that Peter Keegan sent, two and-a-half pages, called 'exculpatory' which he sent to me, and we made it into evidence as People's Exhibit 45, and then 46 is A. Jay Chapman's glossy autopsy photos. Those are not listed, but I think you can remember them.
- Now, keep in mind that some of these exhibits are not evidence. Like, for example, the grand juror written questions are not evidence, nor are the grand juror written questions that were not asked by me.

 They're not evidence either. They're part of the record so that anybody reviewing this case would know what questions, for example, I asked, what questions I didn't ask.
- And you will find on item 44 that Peter Keegan submitted an e-mail which I found not admissible.

- 1 Again, I had to put it into the record so anybody
- 2 reviewing this case would know what it is that I
- 3 declared was inadmissible. But that's not evidence and
- 4 | it's not to be reviewed by you in your deliberations.
- JURY SECRETARY: What was 45?
- 6 MR. STOEN: Forty-five was Peter Keegan's,
- 7 | quote, "exculpatory," close quote, e-mail received
- 8 August 7, 2017, yesterday. He testified about two
- 9 and-a-half pages. Do you remember that? That's what
- 10 I'm talking about.
- The 15 pages of the poetry and the diary
- 12 | entries of Susan, that is part of your evidence. It's
- been accepted into evidence. You can review it, you can
- 14 | consider it, and that is number 43. It includes that
- poem that he read and the diary entries that I allowed
- 16 in.
- JURY FOREPERSON: Clarification.
- 18 JURY SECRETARY: I asked about 45.
- MR. STOEN: Pardon me?
- JURY SECRETARY: I asked about 45.
- 21 MR. STOEN: Forty-five is Peter Keegan's
- 22 exculpatory e-mail received August 7th.
- JURY FOREPERSON: It's him asking -- he
- 24 submitted additional --
- JURY SECRETARY: Oh, asking for more.

1 MR. STOEN: Yes.

1.5

2.1

JURY SECRETARY: Okay. Got it. Thank you.

MR. STOEN: Then 46 is Dr. Chapman's glossy autopsy photos. I didn't know how else to describe them, so I just called them glossy. And they're the last set of exhibits, the ones he showed on the screen.

We're going to go now over the additional instructions. So these are supplemental grand jury instructions, and I've labeled them 11 through 19.

I put in Grand Jury Instruction Number 11 for you to deal with conflicting evidence because conflicting evidence did come in in this case. And then if you decide there's a conflict, you must decide what evidence to believe. And you don't count the number of witnesses, but you do not disregard the testimony of any witness without a reason or because of prejudice or a desire to favor one side or the other. What is important is whether the testimony or any other evidence convinces you, not just the number of witnesses who testify about a certain point.

Grand Jury Instruction Number 12, Limited

Purpose: During the hearing certain evidence was

submitted for a limited purpose. You may consider that

evidence only for that purpose and for no other.

For example, I believe that Kevin Bailey

testified that when he reviewed Susan's diaries, that

some third person was accused of -- of infidelity or

something like that. You cannot take that into account

in your considerations. It only goes to the mindset of

the witness.

1.5

2.1

2.2

Expert witness testimony: You have experts who have been allowed to give opinions. You are not required to accept them as true and correct. You are to evaluate their believability like anybody else and you are to consider the knowledge, the skill, the experience, the training and education and the reasons that the expert gives for his or her opinion, and the facts or information that were relied by that expert in reaching that opinion.

Number 14 is the opinion testimony of a lay person. Witnesses who are not testifying as experts did give their opinions during this hearing. You are not required to accept those opinions as true and correct. You give them the weight you think is appropriate. You have to consider the extent of their ability to perceive and the reasons the witness gave for their opinion and the facts or information on which that witness relied in forming that opinion.

Motive: The People are not required to prove that the defendant had a motive to commit the crime

charged. In reaching your decision on probable cause,
you may, however, consider whether the defendant had a
motive.

1.5

2.1

Having a motive may be a factor tending to show that the defendant is guilty. Not having a motive may be a factor tending to show the defendant is not guilty.

The Grand Jury Instruction Number 16, Evidence of Defendant's Statement: You have heard evidence that the defendant made oral or written statements before the hearing. You must decide whether the defendant made any of these statements in whole or in part. And if you decide that the defendant did make such statements, consider the statements, along with all the other evidence, in reaching your decision. It is up to you to consider how much importance to give to the statement.

Consider with caution any statements made by the defendant tending to show his guilt unless the statement was written or otherwise recorded.

Consciousness of Guilt, False Statements: If
the defendant made a false or misleading statement
before this hearing relating to the charged crime,
knowing the statement was false or intending to mislead,
that conduct may show he was aware of his guilt of the
crime and you may consider it in determining probable

1 cause of guilt.

2.

1.5

2.1

It is up to you, if you conclude that the defendant made the statement, to decide its meaning and importance. Evidence that the defendant made such a statement cannot prove probable cause of guilt by itself.

Consciousness of Guilt, Suppression of

Evidence: If the defendant tried to hide evidence or

discourage someone from testifying against him -- which

we're not saying -- which I am not making any position

of -- that conduct may show that he was aware of his

guilt. If you conclude that the defendant made such an

attempt, it is up to you to decide its meaning and

importance. However, evidence of such an attempt cannot

prove probable cause of guilt by itself.

The final one is the one to help you making your decision in this case because you've heard a lot of evidence in this case, 47 pieces of exhibits, many of them with multiple pages in it. So this is the concluding instruction on submission to the grand jury.

It's your duty to talk with one another and to deliberate in the jury room. You should try to agree on a verdict, if you can.

When I use the word "verdict," it's verdict meaning a verdict of probable cause, not of guilt.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but only after you have discussed the evidence with the other jurors. Do not hesitate to change your mind if you become convinced that you are wrong, but do not change your mind just because other jurors disagree with you.

2.1

Keep an open mind and openly exchange your thoughts and ideas about this case. Stating your opinion too strongly at the beginning or immediately announcing how you plan to vote may interfere with an open discussion. Please treat one another courteously. Your role is to be an impartial judge of the facts, not to act as an advocate for one side or the other.

To return an indictment -- there are different words for it, returning an indictment, finding a indictment, whatever -- to vote -- if you vote in favor of an indictment, it requires 12 people who have heard all of the evidence to agree. And there's one -- and then afterwards each person will be asked by the Court or the foreperson would be asked by the Court if everybody who voted -- if the 12 people or more who voted for an indictment heard all the evidence. Because if any person votes for an indictment that did not hear all the evidence, then that's an infirm indictment. So I presume everybody here, present right now, did hear

all the evidence. So that may not be an issue. We hope not.

The 9-1-1 call I previously numbered as

Exhibit 36, but I had -- I overlooked an exhibit, so

it's really Exhibit 37. Keep in mind that the

transcript that you have is not evidence. It's the

phone call itself that's evidence. The same thing with

respect to the phone call to Linda Puls, it's the phone

call itself, the CD, that's evidence. The transcript is

not evidence. It's only to guide you in remembering

what the real evidence was.

So I'm going to conclude by saying it's going to be in your hands now. The foreperson will be running the show starting tomorrow. I will be available if there's any legal questions along the way. I've given her a summary of the grand jury procedure. Our reporter, who has been so gracious with us, will be here in case you need any read-back of the testimony. The main thing is that you just have to go home and relax tonight, assuming you're not going to start deliberations tonight. But you're going to have to make that initial decision as to whether or not you want us to pursue the evidence because you believe it will undermine the basic charge in this case.

Yes.

2.1

A JUROR: Let's just take that one topic. 1 2 Would we just vote and go the way the majority votes? 3 MR. STOEN: Yes, there has to be a consensus. I mean obviously you can't just say one person votes one way and that chooses the whole issue. But the main 5 6 thing is it has to be a collective decision by the grand 7 jury. It's never come up in my experience, but I'm just using my common sense. It should a collective vote by 9 majority of those who are here, the 19 people, as to 10 what you want to do about that issue. We will do 11 whatever you ask us to do. Keep in mind what the 12 standard is. Okay? 13 A JUROR: No, about going home tonight. 14 MR. STOEN: The standard is -- and I'll read 15 it again. The standard is, if the grand jury has reason 16 to believe that other evidence within the DA's reach or 17 by service of process, like a subpoena, will explain 18 away the charge. That's the key set of words, will the 19 evidence explain away the charge based on all the 20 evidence you heard in this case. 2.1 A JUROR: My question was just do we go home 22 tonight or stay? 2.3 Well, that's up to the foreperson. MR. STOEN: A JUROR: I mean do we vote about that? 24

She can do whatever she wants.

MR. STOEN:

25

- 1 I'm simply saying that if you vote for us to get it,
- 2 | then we'll try to get it. But that's up to you guys.
- JURY FOREPERSON: I see what you're saying.
- 4 So he's talking about -- he's talking about the request
- 5 that Peter Keegan suggested to us, as the grand jury, to
- 6 request additional exculpatory evidence.
- 7 MR. STOEN: You got it.
- JURY FOREPERSON: And you're asking about if
- 9 we're going to start deliberating tonight or not.
- 10 A JUROR: That's right.
- MR. STOEN: It seems to be you shouldn't
- deliberate if you're going to ask for more evidence. It
- 13 | wouldn't make sense.
- JURY FOREPERSON: Right. Yes.
- 15 A JUROR: No, I meant -- you know what I'm
- 16 asking -- do we stay or go, and then how do we decide
- 17 | that. It's okay if you decide or do we vote?
- JURY FOREPERSON: I understand what you're
- 19 saying.
- 20 A JUROR: Two different things.
- JURY FOREPERSON: Yes.
- 22 A JUROR: He misunderstood what I asked.
- JURY FOREPERSON: Yes, he did. But if we're
- going to ask for additional evidence, what you're saying
- doesn't matter because we're going to meet again to

	715
1	discuss that.
2	A JUROR: So in the future, okay. I see what
3	you're saying.
4	MR. STOEN: Because you wouldn't have all the
5	evidence before you.
6	A JUROR: That's right. So we have a lot of
7	things to decide.
8	MR. STOEN: So the point is that's your vote,
9	that's your decision. I have nothing to do with it.
10	I'm just your servant here.
11	Thank you again.
12	MR. STOEN: We're off the record.
13	(Proceedings adjourned.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

ı

J

2.1

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the above transcript of proceedings was taken down, as stated in the caption, and that the foregoing pages 498 through 715 represent a complete, true and correct transcript of the proceedings had thereon.

Dated: August 17, 2017

Anne Ramirez, C.S.R. 6186 Court Reporter